IN VITRO PROPAGATION OF CHUNGAH (CARALLUMA TUBERCULATA N.E. BROWN)

RIAZ UR REHMAN¹, MUHAMMAD FAYYAZ CHAUDHARY², ZARRIN FATIMA RIZVI³, IHSAN UL HAQ⁴ AND MUHAMMAD ZIA^{5*}

¹Horticulture and Floriculture Institute, Government of Punjab, Rawalpindi Pakistan
²Preston Institute of Nano Science and Technology, Preston University, Islamabad Pakistan
³Department of Plant Sciences, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad Pakistan
⁴Department of Pharmacy, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad Pakistan
⁵Department of Biotechnology, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad Pakistan 45320
*Corresponding author: ziachaudhary@gmail.com

Abstract

In vitro propagation of *Caralluma tuberculata* (Chungah) was developed from shoot tip and meristem explants. *C. tuberculata* is an imperative medicinal plant comprising antidiabetic and anticancer properties. The explants were inoculated on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium containing different plant growth regulators. Presence of BA or Kin alone in the MS medium did not favor regeneration of shoot from both explants. However, addition of 2,4-dichlorophenoxy acetic acid (2,4-D), gibberellic acid (GA₃) and thidiazuron (TDZ) along with 6-benzyl amino purine (BA) or kinetin (Kin) in the medium exhibited significant percentage response, number of shoots per explant and shoot length. Maximum shooting response (53.3 \pm 5.77% from meristem and shoot tip explants each) with highest number of shoots per explant (5.33 \pm 2.08 and 5.6 \pm 2.52 from meristem and shoot tip explants, respectively) were observed at 13.32 µmol BA along with 2.26 µmol 2,4-D, 2.89 µmol GA₃ and 9.08x10⁻³ µmol TDZ. Replacing BA with kin showed less shoot regeneration response and number of shoots per explant, however, shoots length markedly increased in the presence of Kin. The regenerated plants were successfully rooted and acclimatized in ex vitro condition. The protocol described here can be used for fast multiplication of this endangered herb and genetic transformation.

Key words: In vitro, Caralluma tuberculata, Antidiabetic, Anticancer.

Introduction

The genus Caralluma (Asclepiadaceae) comprises about 260 species that are succulent, perennial and branched herbs. The species are distributed in Middle East, Africa, Spain and some other countries. In Pakistan, two species C. tuberculata (N.E. Brown) and C. edulis (Edgew) grow wild in the scattered foot-hill areas of Suleiman and Hindukush ranges in Baluchistan, Punjab and Khyber Paktunkhwa. The genus Caralluma has great industrial and pharmaceutical interest because it contains variety of pregnane glycosides e.g. russelioside A-D (Al-Yahya et al., 2000); russeliosides E-G (Abdel-Sattar et al., 2007); carumbellosides I and II (Lin et al., 1994); carumbellosides III, IV and V (Qiu et al., 1997); lasianthoside-A and B (Qiu et al., 1999); megastigmane glycosides and flavone glycosides 3 and 4 (Bader et al., 2003). These phytochemical compounds possess antitumor (Qiu et al., 1999); platelet pro- aggregating (Piacente et al., 1998); anti-fungal (Hu et al., 1999); digitalis receptor binding (Templeton et al., 1993); and antiulcer properties (Zakaria et al., 2002). The plants also have significant antiinflammatory, antioxidant and antitumor activities (Zakaria et al., 2001). Despite its importance in modern era, Caralluma species are also prescribed as herbal drug from long to control diabetic ailments in Avurvedic system of medicine. Furthermore, Caralluma species are used to treat pain, fever, appetite suppressant, and also stimulate central nervous system. Native populaces collect Caralluma (Chungah) plant material from the wild to use it as food, medicine and trade. This over collection has resulted in gradually vanishing of this specie from natural biome. There is urgent need to conserve the plant by developing protocols for its multiplication both through conventional and modern plant tissue culture techniques.

Caralluma species could not attain much attention because difficulties in culturing process, release of phenolics and latex that hinder callus induction and shoot regeneration. Recently a protocol for shoot organogenesis of *C. sarkariae* (Sreelatha *et al.*, 2009; Ugraiah *et al.*, 2011) and *C. adscendens* (Aruna *et al.*, 2009) was developed using MS medium enriched with plant growth regulators. There is no report of regeneration of *C. tuberculata* through direct organogenesis. The present study was undertaken to develop an efficient procedure for *In vitro* regeneration of *C. tuberculata* from shoot tip and meristem explants through organogenesis on different combinations and concentrations of plant growth regulators.

Materials and Methods

Plant material used for this study was obtained from Quetta (Balochistan, Pakistan) and was identified by Prof. Dr. Mir Ajab Khan Department of Plant Sciences, Quaidi-Azam University Islamabad, Pakistan. The plant material was multiplied in earthen pots in green house for continuous supply of explants.

Surface sterilization: Before the experiment, the plants were initially washed under running tap water for 30 min to remove all the adhering dust particles following washing with liquid detergent (Titron X 100) for 15 min. The plant material was rinsed with distilled water succeeding treatment with bevistin (a fungicide) for 20-30 min to remove fungus and again rinsed with distilled water. Under aseptic conditions, the explants were treated with 0.1% HgCl₂ (w/v) solution for 5-10 min. The explants were thoroughly rinsed (5x5 min) with sterilized distilled water to remove traces of HgCl₂. Thereafter,

meristem and shoot tip portions (5-10 mm²) were isolated aseptically and used as explants.

Culture media and culture conditions: The MS medium (Murashige & Skoog, 1962) was used as basal medium in all the experiments. Basal medium was supplemented with BA (6-benzyl amino purine) or Kin (kinetin), alone or in combination with 2,4-D (2,4dichlorophenoxy acetic acid), GA₃ (gibberellic acid) and TDZ (thidiazuron), at different concentrations. In all the culture media, sucrose (3%) was added as carbon source. The pH of media was adjusted at 5.7±0.2 using 0.1N KOH or 1N HCl before autoclaving at 121°C under pressure of 103.42 KPa for 20 min. The media was solidified with 0.7% noble agar (Merck). All the cultures were maintained in growth room at a temperature of 25±2°C. The source of illumination consisted of 4 feet long 40 W Philips tubes, day light fluorescent lamps and incandescent bulb (25 W). The intensity of illumination was 3500 lux at the level of cultures, and all cultures were provided with 16 h light photoperiod.

Under aseptic condition, meristem and shoot tip explants were inoculated on the MS medium. The inoculation medium without growth regulator was used as control. A total of 15 explants (meristem and shoot tip each) were inoculated independently. Percentage response, shoot length and number of shoots emerged per explant were recorded after 40 days of culture. Afterward, the shoots were separated from the base and cultured on rooting medium comprised of half strength MS medium supplemented with indol butyric acid (IBA) or indol 3-acetic acid (IAA). Once a significant rooting system was developed, the plants were removed from the flasks, rinsed under running tap water to remove media and planted in plastic pots containing peat moss and sand (1:1). The plastic pots were also covered with transparent polythene bags to retain humidity that was gradually removed after 10 days. The survived plants were shifted to earthen pots and shifted to natural conditions.

Statistical analysis: Each concentration and combination was considered as an independent experiments. A total of 15 explants (shoot tip and meristem independently) were cultured in each experiment. Shooting response, number of shoots per explant and shoot length was recorded after 40 days of culture. To study rooting response, 10 plants were cultured at different concentrations of IBA and IAA. Percent rooting response and number of roots per plant were calculated after 30 days of culture. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed for shoots and root regeneration data analysis. Data were processed statistically with SAS v.9 software. Least significant difference (lsd) test was applied at 5% probability level for the comparison of treatment means. The results are expressed as mean \pm standard error for these experiments.

Results and Discussion

Organogenesis requires the re-initiation of cell division by the application of appropriate plant growth regulators (Benson, 2000). Only a few reports of latexproducing plants have been documented, including Hevea (Wilson et al., 1976), Papaver (Nessler, 1982) and Asclepias (Dunbar et al., 1986). For organogenesis of C. tuberculata from meristem and shoot tip explants, BA (6-benzyl amino purine) was found better as compared with Kin (kinetin). However, addition of TDZ (thidiazuron), 2,4-D (2,4 dichloro phenoxy acetic acid) and GA₃ (gibberellic acid) were obligatory for optimum results. Absence of TDZ from culturing media drastically affected percent shooting response and number of shoots per explant (unpublished data). Therefore, we incorporated TDZ quite at low concentration $(9.08 \times 10^{-3} \mu mol)$ in the medium with other growth regulators. The treatment of TDZ normally involves in morphogenesis of the competent cells into shoots (Murthy & Saxena, 1998). The transition effect of TDZ for embryogenesis and organogenesis has been reported in many plant species i.e., Arachis hypogaea (Chengalaryan et al., 1997), Paspalum scrobiculatum (Vikrant & Rashid, 2002). However, TDZ in combination with auxin (2,4-D), effectiveness to produce shoots increases many times (Chandrasekhar et al., 2006). While, the stimulatory effect of GA₃ in organogenesis have been reported by Hita et al., (1997) and Rudus et al., (2002). In all the cases, formation of callus, at the base of shoot tip explants or conversion of meristem explant into callus, slackened the shooting response, thereof, number of shoots per explant and shoot length. It was also observed that shoot tip explants responded better in terms of percentage response and number of shoots per explant as compared with meristem explants. These results are consistent with those of many reports, that the source of explants, explants type and age are important factors in determining In vitro responses. This may suggest that levels of endogenous hormones or their hormone responsivity might vary between organs (Sharma & Rajam, 1995; Zia et al., 2010).

The percentages of regeneration 43.3 and 46.6 were recorded when meristem and shoot tip explants, respectively, were cultured on MS medium containing 8.88 µmol BAP singly in the culturing medium (Table 1). At this concentration, 2.3 shoots per shoot tip explant and 1.3 shoots per meristem explant were observed with average shoot length 3.6 and 4.6 cm, respectively. The same concentration was also found effective for induction of multiple shoots from nodal segments of C. adscendens (Aruna et al., 2009) and C. bhupenderiana sarkaria (Ugraiah et al., 2011). Wang & Bao (2007) also observed that combinations of 2,4-D or NAA with BAP were essential for maximum production of calli in Viola wittrockiana. Combination of BAP with GA3 and TDZ was not much persuading, however, addition of 2,4-D along with these regulators accelerated the outcomes in

different fashions. Application of 8.88 µmol BA with 2,4-D (2.26 µmol and 4.52 µmol), GA3 (2.89 µmol and 5.78 µmol) and TDZ (9.08x10⁻³ µmol) gave 33% initiation response from meristem explant, while shoot tip explant responded 30 to 46% (Fig. 1a & b). The highest average number of shoots viz. 2.66±1.15 and 3.3±0.58 were recorded from meristems and shoot tip explants, respectively (Table 1). Maximum mean shoot length regenerated from meristem explants was 5.3±0.58 cm while 4.3±0.58 cm from shoot tip explants. These results are consistent with previous reports that explants from different organs resulted in different organogenic responses (Petersen et al., 1999; de Paiva-Neto et al., 2003; Osuna et al., 2006). The shoot length response from meristem derived shoots was comparatively better than that of shoot tip explants at all the combinations. The use of plant growth regulators in combination has been extensively reported. Application of many growth regulators in the medium cumulatively affect in number of fashions; presence of cytokinin (BA) induces the shoots, GA₃ favor elongation through increased cell division, and auxin (2,4-D) for cell division while inclusion of TDZ also modulates endogenous growth hormones (Thomas & Shankar, 2009). Combination of BA, Kin and NAA has been found effective for shoot induction from Caralluma sarkariae explants (Aruna et al., 2009).

Increase in the concentration of BA (13.32 µmol) along with 2,4-D, GA₃ and TDZ enhanced shooting response (%) as well as number of shoots per explant. The response from meristem explants varied from 36% to 53%, and that of shoot tip explant 46% to 56%. The maximum shooting response 53% was recorded on 13.32 µmol BA + 2.26 µmol 2,4-D + 2.89 µmol GA₃ + 9.08x10⁻³ µmol TDZ in MS medium. This treatment also produced the highest average number of shoots from meristem (5.33) and shoot tip (5.60) explants. However, average length of shoots from meristem and shoot tip explants was 3.0 and 3.3 cm, respectively. Further increase in BA concentration decreased the rate of shooting response as well as the number of shoots produced per explant. Though, the length of shoots increased considerably by increasing the BA concentration. On MS medium supplemented with 17.76 µmol BA, 2.26 µmol 2,4-D, 5.78 µmol GA₃ and 9.08x10⁻³ µmol TDZ, the shooting response decreased up to 26% from meristem explant and 36% from shoot tip explant. The meristem explants produced 3.0 shoots as compared to 2.3 shoots produced from shoot explants. Combination of cytokinin (BA, Kin or TDZ) with auxin has been reported for shoot induction for many species of Asclepiadaceae (Thomas & Shankar, 2009; Thomas & Philip, 2005), nevertheless, percent response and induction of multiple shoots depends upon plant species, explant used, and concentration and combination of plant growth regulators. The cells can produce shoots under stresses including auxins alone or in combination with cytokinins (Gaj, 2004). Although, induction of shoots from cells is sequential process but still

exogenous supply of plant growth regulators especially auxin derive the cell to produce shoots healthier way (Jimenez, 2001). The exogenous supply also modulates internal concentration of PGRs or PGR synthesizing enzymes (Thorpe, 2000; Gaspar *et al.*, 2003; Gazzarrini & McCourt, 2003) involving modulation of endogenous plant hormone concentrations resulting in shoots development.

The response of Kin was not as profound as that of BA in relation to percentage response, average number of shoots per explant and average length of shoot (Table 2). No shoot formation was observed from both explants when explants were cultured on MS medium containing 9.3 µmol Kin. Among all the Kin combinations, shoot induction varied from 23% to 53%. With the treatment of 9.3 µmol Kin, 2.26 µmol 2,4-D along with 5.78 µmol GA₃ and 9.08x10⁻³ µmol TDZ, the average number of shoots from meristem explants was 3.3, and 3.0 from shoot tip explant (Fig. 1c & d). At all the combinations of Kin with other growth regulators, percentage shooting response and mean number of shoots were less while mean shoot length was higher irrespective to explant type. Inclusion of kin in MS medium for organogenesis of C. bhupenderiana sarkaria did not produce better percent response and number of shoots per explant (Ugraiah et al., 2009).

The regenerated shoots cultured on half strength MS medium (control) failed to root. Addition of 5.07 µmol IAA (indol 3-acetic acid) in half strength MS medium resulted in 3.0±0.15 mean number of roots per plantlet (Table 3) after 30 days of culture (Fig. 1e). The same findings are also reported by Ugraiah et al., (2009). Environmental conditions for ex vitro growth are quite different from those used for In vitro cultivation. During acclimatization process, water loss from plantlets in ex vitro conditions is a major problem (Hazarika, 2003, 2006). The In vitro regenerated plantlets were acclimatized ex vitro in pots covered with translucent plastic bags to ensure high humidity (Bibi et al., 2011). The use of this procedure during the acclimatization phase ensured that most plantlets transplanted to ex vitro conditions continued to grow vigorously (Fig. 1f). When the plastic bags were removed after two weeks, a mean of 80% of the plantlets survived. The acclimatized plants propagated and produced more number of shoots. It is important to note that the morphology of the In vitro regenerated plantlets showed a true to type rosette growth habit, both In vitro and when transferred to ex vitro growth conditions.

The present study describes for the first time protocol for the production through tissue culture of plantlets of *C. tubercaulata*, an endangered species with potential industrial application. This protocol could be used to clone plantlets growing from native plant seeds and therefore may represent an important tool for the preservation of biodiversity and provide valuable material for the long-term storage of plant genetic resources. Moreover, this protocol can also be used for genetic transformation.

Pls	ant growth	reonlator	(lomit).	Shooting r	esnonse (%)	No. of shoots	s ner exnlant	Mean shoot	lenoth (cm)
BA	2,4-D	GA3	TDZ	Meristem	Shoot tip	Meristem	Shoot tip	Meristem	Shoot tip
8.88				$43.3 \pm 5.77b$	$46.6 \pm 11.55b$	$1.33 \pm 0.58e$	2.3 ± 0.58 cd	$4.6 \pm 0.58b$	3.6 ± 0.58 cd
8.88	,	2.89	9.08×10^{-3}	$36.6 \pm 5.77c$	$46.6 \pm 15.28ab$	$1.33 \pm 0.58e$	2.3 ± 0.58 cd	$4.6 \pm 0.58b$	3.6 ± 0.58 cd
8.88		5.78	9.08×10^{-3}	$36.6 \pm 5.77c$	43.3 ±5.77bc	$2 \pm 1c$	2 ± 1	$4 \pm 1bcd$	3.6 ± 0.58 cd
8.88	2.26	2.89	9.08×10^{-3}	33.3 ± 5.77 cd	$30 \pm 10d$	$2 \pm 1c$	2.3 ± 0.58 cd	$4.3 \pm 0.58 \mathrm{bc}$	4 ± 1.73 abc
8.88	2.26	5.78	9.8×10^{-3}	33.3 ± 5.77 cd	40 ± 0 cd	$2.66 \pm 1.15cd$	$3.3 \pm 0.58 bcd$	3.3 ± 0.58 cde	$4 \pm 0 bc$
8.88	4.52	2.89	9.08×10^{-3}	33.3 ± 5.77 cd	$46.6 \pm 5.77 bc$	$1.3 \pm 0.58e$	$1.3 \pm 0.58d$	$5.3 \pm 0.58a$	$4.3 \pm 0.58b$
8.88	4.52	5.78	9.08×10^{-3}	33.3 ± 5.77 cd	$36.63 \pm 5.77c$	$1.66 \pm 1.15 de$	$1.6 \pm 1.15d$	$4 \pm 1 bc$	$4 \pm 1 \mathrm{bc}$
13.32	2.26	2.89	9.08×10^{-3}	$53.3 \pm 5.77a$	$53.3 \pm 5.77 ab$	$5.33 \pm 2.08a$	$5.6 \pm 2.52a$	3 ± 0 de	$3.3\pm0.58d$
13.32	2.26	5.78	9.08×10^{-3}	$36.6 \pm 5.77c$	$46.6 \pm 5.77 bc$	$4.66 \pm 1.53 ab$	$5.3 \pm 2.08ab$	3.3 ± 0.58 cde	$3.3 \pm 0.58d$
13.32	4.52	2.89	9.08×10^{-3}	$40 \pm 10ab$	$53.3 \pm 5.77 ab$	$4.66 \pm 1.53 ab$	$4.3 \pm 1.53 bc$	$3.6\pm0.58cd$	$3.3 \pm 0.58d$
13.32	4.52	5.78	9.08×10^{-3}	$43.3 \pm 11.55b$	$56.6 \pm 15.28a$	$4.66 \pm 1.53 ab$	$5.3 \pm 2.08ab$	3.3 ± 0.58 cde	$3.3 \pm 0.58d$
17.76	2.26	2.89	9.08×10^{-3}	$26.6 \pm 5.77d$	$36.6 \pm 5.77c$	$3 \pm 1b$	2.3 ± 0.58 cd	3.6 ± 0.58 cd	$4 \pm 0 bc$
17.76	2.26	5.78	9.08×10^{-3}	$26.6 \pm 5.77 d$	$36.6 \pm 5.77c$	$2.66 \pm 1.15cd$	3 ± 1 bcd	$4 \pm 1bc$	$4.3\pm0.58b$
17.76	4.52	2.89	9.08×10^{-3}	33.3 ± 5.77 cd	$53.3 \pm 5.77 ab$	$1.66 \pm 1.15 de$	$1.6 \pm 1.15d$	$4.3 \pm 0.58 bc$	$4.6 \pm 0.58a$
17.76	4.52	5.78	9.08×10^{-3}	30 ± 10 cd	40 ± 10 bcd	2.66 ± 1.53 cd	3 ± 1 bcd	4.3 ± 1.53 abc	$4.3\pm0.58\mathrm{b}$

	-
	9
	9
	2
	š
	9
	-
. 1	~
	5
	2
	-
	-
- 5	2
	Ξ
	-
	2
۰.	5
	-
	÷
	¢
	ŝ
	-
	Ξ
	3
	-
	2
	×
	ల
	^
	≚
- 5	₽
	-
	5
	ð
	ē
	50
	0
	п
	2
	Ξ
	5
	2
. 1	S
•	Ħ.
	-
	e
	Ξ
	-
	=
	•
	-
•	-
	ŝ
•	Ξ.
	22
	2
	Ξ.
	Ξ.
	ār
	8
	õ
	anog
	ganog
	rganog
	organog
	organog
	ot organog
	ot organog
	1001 organog
	hoot organog
	shoot organog
	n shoot organog
	on shoot organog
	on shoot organog
	2 on shoot organog
	12 on shoot organog
	DZ on shoot organog
	IDZ on shoot organog
	IDZ on shoot organog
	d TDZ on shoot organog
	nd TDZ on shoot organog
	and TDZ on shoot organog
	and IDZ on shoot organog
	and TDZ on shoot organog
	A ₃ and TDZ on shoot organog
	rA ₃ and TDZ on shoot organog
	GA ₃ and TDZ on shoot organog
	, GA ₃ and TDZ on shoot organog
	D, GA ₃ and TDZ on shoot organog
	-D, GA ₃ and TDZ on shoot organog
	4-D, GA ₃ and TDZ on shoot organog
	, 4-D, GA ₃ and TDZ on shoot organog
	$2, 4-D, GA_3$ and TDZ on shoot organog
	2, 4-D, GA ₃ and TDZ on shoot organog
	$\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{Z}, 4\text{-}\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{GA}_3$ and \mathbf{TDZ} on shoot organog
	in, z , 4-D, GA_3 and TDZ on shoot organog
	tin, 2, 4-D, GA ₃ and TDZ on shoot organog
	etin, 2, 4-D, GA ₃ and TDZ on shoot organog
	netin, 2, 4-D, GA ₃ and TDZ on shoot organog
	inetin, 2, 4-D, GA ₃ and TDZ on shoot organog
	Kinetin, 2, 4-D, GA ₃ and TDZ on shoot organog
	Kinetin, 2, 4-D, GA ₃ and TDZ on shoot organog
	of Kinetin, 2, 4-D, GA ₃ and TDZ on shoot organog
	of Kinetin, 2, 4-D, GA ₃ and TDZ on shoot organog
	t of Kinetin, 2, 4-D, GA ₃ and TDZ on shoot organog
	ict of Kinetin, 2, 4-D, GA ₃ and TDZ on shoot organog
	fect of Kinetin, 2, 4-D, GA ₃ and TDZ on shoot organog
	flect of Kinetin, 2 , 4-D, GA_3 and TDZ on shoot organog
	effect of Kinetin, 2, 4-D, GA ₃ and TDZ on shoot organog
	s effect of Kinetin, 2 , 4-D, GA_3 and IDZ on shoot organog
	ie effect of Kinetin, 2, 4-D, GA ₃ and 1DZ on shoot organog
	he effect of Kinetin, 2, 4-D, GA ₃ and 1DZ on shoot organog
	I he effect of Kinetin, 2, 4-D, GA ₃ and 1DZ on shoot organog
	. The effect of Kinetin, 2 , 4 -D, GA_3 and TDZ on shoot organog
	2. The effect of Kinetin, 2 , 4-D, GA_3 and TDZ on shoot organog
	2. The effect of Kinetin, 2 , $4-D$, GA_3 and TDZ on shoot organog
	e 2. The effect of Kinetin, 2, 4-D, GA_3 and IDZ on shoot organog
	ble 2. I he effect of Kinetin, 2 , 4 -D, GA_3 and TDZ on shoot organog
	the 2. The effect of Kinetin, 2, 4-D, GA_3 and TDZ on shoot organog
	able 2. I he effect of Kinetin, 2, 4-D, GA_3 and 1DZ on shoot organog
	1 able 2. The effect of Kinetin, $2, 4$ -D, GA_3 and 1DZ on shoot organog
	Table 2. The effect of Kinetin, 2 , 4-D, GA_3 and IDZ on shoot organog

Kin2,4DGA3TDZMeristenShoot tipMeristenShoot tipMeristenShoot tip 9.3 0000000 9.3 0000000 9.3 00000000 9.3 5.789.08 × 10 ³ 30.40c36.6 ± 5.77cd1.33 ± 0.58d2.6 ± 1.15bc5 ± 1ab3.6 ± 0 9.3 -5.789.08 × 10 ³ 16.6 ± 5.77cd3.5.4 ± 1.5bc2.6 ± 1.15bc5 ± 1ab3.6 ± 0 9.3 2.265.789.08 × 10 ³ 16.6 ± 5.77cd3.3 ± 5.77ad2.6 ± 1.15bc5 ± 1ab3.6 ± 0 9.3 4.525.789.08 × 10 ³ 16.6 ± 5.77cd3.3 ± 0.58a3.3 ± 0.58d4.6 ± 1.15bc4.4 ± 0 9.3 4.525.789.08 × 10 ³ 16.6 ± 5.77ab3.3 ± 0.58a2.6 ± 1.15bc2.6 ± 1.15bc3.6 \pm 0.58bc4.6 ± 0.58bc4.6 ± 1.15bc 9.3 4.525.789.08 × 10 ³ 36.6 ± 5.77ab5.3 ± 0.58a2.6 ± 1.15bc3.6 ± 0.58bc4.6 ± 0.58bc4.6 ± 1.15bc 13.94 4.522.899.08 × 10 ³ 36.6 ± 5.77ab5.3 ± 0.58d2.6 ± 1.15bc3.6 ± 0.58bc4.3 ± 0. 13.94 4.8525.789.08 × 10 ³ 3.6 ± 0.55bc2.6 ± 1.15bc2.5 ± 1cd4.6 ± 0.58bc4.5 ± 0.6 13.94 4.852<	Pla	nt growth	regulator	(Inmol)	Shooting re	esponse (%)	No. of shoots	s per explant	Mean shoo	t length (cm)
9.3 $ 0$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 9.3 $ -$ <	Kin	2,4-D	GA3	TDZ	Meristem	Shoot tip	Meristem	Shoot tip	Meristem	Shoot tip
9.3 $ 2.89$ 9.08×10^3 $30 \pm 0c$ $36.6 \pm 5.77cd$ $1.33 \pm 0.58d$ $2 \pm 1cd$ $5.3 \pm 0.58a$ 4.6 ± 0 9.3 $ 5.78$ 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77cd$ $36.6 \pm 5.77cd$ $2.15b$ $2.5 \pm 1.5bcd$ $5 \pm 1ab$ $3.6 \pm 1.$ 9.3 $ 5.78$ 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77cd$ $35.6 \pm 1.15d$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $5.1 \pm 1.55bcd$ $3.3 \pm 0.58a$ $3.6 \pm 1.$ 9.3 2.26 5.78 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77cd$ $4.3 \pm 5.77cd$ $1.33 \pm 0.58a$ $3.6 \pm 1.15bcd$ $3.5 \pm 1.53bcd$ 9.3 4.52 2.89 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77cd$ $4.3 \pm 0.58a$ $1.3 \pm 0.58d$ $4.6 \pm 1.15bcd$ 9.3 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77cd$ 1.33 ± 0.58 $1.3 \pm 0.58d$ $4.6 \pm 1.15bcd$ 9.3 4.52 2.89 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77a$ $1.56 \pm 5.77a$ $2.3 \pm 0.58bcd$ $4.6 \pm 1.15bcd$ 9.3 4.52 2.89 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77a$ $3.5 \pm 5.77a$ $3.6 \pm 1.15bcd$ $3.6 \pm 1.15bcd$ 9.4 4.52 2.89 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77a$ $3.5 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.58bcd$ $4.6 \pm 1.53acd$ $3.6 \pm 1.6acd$ 13.94 2.26 5.78 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77a$ $53.3 \pm 5.77a$ $3.6 \pm 1.15bcd$ $3.6 \pm 1.53acd$ $4.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.68bcd$ 13.94 4.852 5.78 9.08×10^3 $36.6 \pm 5.77a$ $5.6 \pm 1.15bcd$ $2.3 \pm 0.58bcd$ $4.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.68bcd$ 13.94 4.852 5.7	9.3				0	0	0	0	0	0
9.3 $-$ 5.778 9.08×10^3 $23.3 \pm 5.77d$ $36.6 \pm 5.77cd$ $2 \pm 1c$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $5 \pm 1ab$ $3.6 \pm 0.58e$ 9.3 $ -$ <	9.3		2.89	9.08×10^{-3}	$30 \pm 0c$	36.6 ± 5.77 cd	$1.33 \pm 0.58d$	2 ± 1 cd	$5.3 \pm 0.58a$	$4.6 \pm 0.58a$
9.3 2.26 2.89 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77e$ $26.6 \pm 1.15d$ $2.6 \pm 1.15b$ $2.3 \pm 1.53bcd$ $3.3 \pm 0.58e$ $3.6 \pm 1.53bcd$ 9.3 2.26 5.78 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77cd$ $43.3 \pm 5.77bc$ $3.33 \pm 0.58a$ $3 \pm 1b$ $4 \pm 1cde$ $4.3 \pm 0.58bc$ 9.3 4.52 2.89 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77cd$ $43.3 \pm 5.77cd$ 1.33 ± 0.58 $1.3 \pm 0.58d$ $4.6 \pm 1.15abc$ 4.4 ± 0.6 9.3 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77e$ $5.3.3 \pm 5.77a$ $1.66 \pm 1.15cd$ $2.4 \pm 1cd$ $4.6 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.6 \pm 1.15abc$ 9.3 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77ab$ $5.3.3 \pm 5.77a$ $1.66 \pm 1.15cd$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $3.6 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.6 \pm 1.53bc$ 13.94 2.26 5.78 9.08×10^3 $36.6 \pm 5.77ab$ $5.33 \pm 0.58bc$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $3.6 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.6 \pm 1.53bc$ 13.94 2.26 5.78 9.08×10^3 $36.6 \pm 5.77ab$ $5.33 \pm 0.58bc$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $3.6 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58bc$ 13.94 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^3 $30.4 10bc$ $53.3 \pm 5.77a$ $1.66 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.5 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58bc$ 13.64 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^3 $30.4 10bc$ $53.3 \pm 5.77a$ $1.66 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.5 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58bc$ 18.6 2.26 ± 5.78 9.08×10^3 $36.6 \pm 5.77bc$ $1.66 \pm 1.15b$ $3.6 \pm 1.53abc$ $4.5 \pm 0.58bc$	9.3	,	5.78	9.08×10^{-3}	$23.3 \pm 5.77d$	36.6 ± 5.77 cd	$2 \pm 1c$	$2.6 \pm 1.15 bc$	5 ± 1 ab	$3.6 \pm 0.58c$
9.3 2.26 5.78 9.8×10^3 $26.6 \pm 5.77cd$ $43.3 \pm 5.77bc$ $3.33 \pm 0.58a$ $3 \pm 1b$ $4 \pm 1cde$ $4.3 \pm 0.38dc$ 9.3 4.52 2.89 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77cd$ $3.65 \pm 5.77cd$ $1.33 \pm 0.58d$ $1.6 \pm 1.15abc$ $4.6 \pm 1.15abc$ 4.4 ± 0 9.3 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77e$ $53.3 \pm 5.77a$ $1.66 \pm 1.15cd$ $2 \pm 1cd$ $4.6 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.6 \pm 1.15abc$ $1.3.94$ 2.26 5.78 9.08×10^3 $36.6 \pm 5.77b$ $46.6 \pm 5.77abc$ $2.33 \pm 0.58bc$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $3.6 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.6 \pm 1.15bc$ 13.94 2.26 5.78 9.08×10^3 $36.6 \pm 5.77b$ $53.3 \pm 5.77a$ $2.33 \pm 0.58bc$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $3.6 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58bc$ 13.94 2.26 5.78 9.08×10^3 $36.6 \pm 5.77a$ $53.3 \pm 5.77a$ $3 \pm 1ab$ $3.6 \pm 1.55c$ $3.5 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58bc$ 13.94 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^3 $30 \pm 10bc$ $53.3 \pm 5.77a$ $1.33 \pm 0.58bc$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $3.6 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58bc$ 13.94 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^3 $30 \pm 10bc$ $53.3 \pm 5.77a$ $1.66 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.5 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.5 \pm 0.58bc$ 18.6 2.26 2.89 9.08×10^3 $36.6 \pm 5.77b$ $3.5.77bc$ $1.66 \pm 1.15b$ $3.6 \pm 1.53abc$ $4.5 \pm 1.53abc$ $4.5 \pm 1.53abc$ 18.6 4.52 2.89 9.08×10^3 $36.6 \pm 5.77bc$ $3.3 \pm 1ab$ <	9.3	2.26	2.89	9.08 x 10 ⁻³	$16.6 \pm 5.77e$	$26.6 \pm 1.15d$	$2.6 \pm 1.15b$	2.3 ± 1.53 bcd	$3.3 \pm 0.58e$	$3.6 \pm 1.15bcd$
9.3 4.52 2.89 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77e$ $36.6 \pm 5.77cd$ 1.33 ± 0.58 $1.3 \pm 0.58d$ $4.6 \pm 1.15abc$ 4.4 ± 0 9.3 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77e$ $53.3 \pm 5.77a$ $1.66 \pm 1.15cd$ $2 \pm 1cd$ $4.6 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.6 \pm 1.15abc$ 13.94 2.26 2.89 9.08×10^3 $36.6 \pm 5.77b$ $46.6 \pm 5.77a$ $2.33 \pm 0.58bc$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $3.6 \pm 0.58de$ $3.6 \pm 0.58de$ 13.94 2.26 2.89 9.08×10^3 $46.6 \pm 5.77a$ $53.3 \pm 5.77a$ $3 \pm 1ab$ $3.6 \pm 1.53a$ $3.5 \pm 0.58de$ $3.5 \pm 0.68de$ 13.94 2.26 5.78 9.08×10^3 $46.6 \pm 5.77a$ $53.3 \pm 5.77a$ $3.5 \pm 1ab$ $3.6 \pm 1.53a$ $3.3 \pm 0.58de$ $4.3 \pm 0.68de$ 13.94 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77a$ $50.5 \pm 1.33 \pm 0.58dd$ $2.6 \pm 1.53a$ $3.5 \pm 0.58ec$ $4.3 \pm 0.68de$ 13.94 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77a$ $50.5 \pm 10.58dd$ $2.3 \pm 0.58bcd$ $4.5 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.68de$ 18.6 2.26 2.89 9.08×10^3 $30.4 \pm 10bc$ $53.3 \pm 5.77bc$ $3.66 \pm 1.15b$ $3.6 \pm 1.53abc$ $4.5 \pm 1.53abc$ $4.5 \pm 1.53abc$ 18.6 2.26 5.78 9.08×10^3 $36.6 \pm 5.77b$ $33.5 \pm 5.77bc$ $3.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $3.6 \pm 1.53abc$ $4.5 \pm 1.53abc$ $4.5 \pm 0.58cd$ 18.6 4.52 2.89 9.08×10^3 $36.6 \pm 5.77bc$ $33.1ab$ $3.5 \pm 1.cd$ $4.5 \pm 0.58cd$ <td< td=""><td>9.3</td><td>2.26</td><td>5.78</td><td>9.8 x 10⁻³</td><td>$26.6 \pm 5.77 cd$</td><td>$43.3 \pm 5.77 bc$</td><td>$3.33 \pm 0.58a$</td><td>$3 \pm 1b$</td><td>4 ± 1 cde</td><td>$4.3 \pm 0.58 bc$</td></td<>	9.3	2.26	5.78	9.8 x 10 ⁻³	$26.6 \pm 5.77 cd$	$43.3 \pm 5.77 bc$	$3.33 \pm 0.58a$	$3 \pm 1b$	4 ± 1 cde	$4.3 \pm 0.58 bc$
9.3 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^3 16.6 ± 5.776 $53.3 \pm 5.77a$ $1.66 \pm 1.15cd$ $2\pm 1cd$ $4.6\pm 0.58bc$ $4.6\pm 1.53a$ $3.6\pm 0.58bc$ $4.6\pm 1.35bc$ $3.6\pm 1.33ac$ $3.5\pm 1.26cc$ $4.3\pm 1.3ac$ $3.5\pm 1.53ac$ $3.5\pm 1.26ccc$ $4.3\pm 1.66\pm 1.3ac$ $4.5\pm 1.33ac$ $3.5\pm 1.53ac$ $4.3\pm 1.67ac$ $4.3\pm 1.73ac$ $4.5\pm 1.53ac$ $4.5\pm 1.53ac$ $4.3\pm 1.67ac$ $4.3\pm 1.73ac$ $4.3\pm 1.67ac$ $4.5\pm 1.77ac$ $2.266\pm 1.15bc$ $3.6\pm 1.15bc$ $3.6\pm 1.53abc$ $4.3\pm 1.67ac$ $4.3\pm 1.73abc$ $4.3\pm 1.67ac$ $4.5\pm 1.73abc$ $4.5\pm 1.73abc$ $4.5\pm 1.73abc$ $4.5\pm 1.23abc$ $4.5\pm 1.73abc$ $4.5\pm 1.23abc$ $4.5\pm 1.73abc$ $4.5\pm 1.23abc$ $4.5\pm 1.77abc$ $4.5\pm 1.15bcc$ $4.5\pm 1.77abc$ 4.5 ± 1.155	9.3	4.52	2.89	9.08×10^{-3}	$16.6 \pm 5.77e$	36.6 ± 5.77 cd	1.33 ± 0.58	$1.3 \pm 0.58d$	$4.6 \pm 1.15 abc$	$4.4 \pm 0.58b$
13.94 2.26 2.89 9.08×10^3 $36.6 \pm 5.77b$ $46.6 \pm 5.77a$ $2.33 \pm 0.58bc$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $3.6 \pm 1.53a$ $3.5 \pm 0.58bc$ $3.6 \pm 3.3 \pm 0.58bc$ 13.94 2.26 5.78 9.08×10^3 $46.6 \pm 5.77a$ $53.3 \pm 5.77a$ $3 \pm 1ab$ $3.6 \pm 1.53a$ $3.3 \pm 0.58c$ $4.3 \pm 0.58bc$ 13.94 4.52 2.89 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77a$ $53.3 \pm 5.77a$ $1.33 \pm 0.58d$ $2.3 \pm 0.58bcd$ $4.6 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58bc$ 13.94 4.52 2.89 9.08×10^3 $30 \pm 10bc$ $53.3 \pm 5.77a$ $1.66 \pm 0.58dc$ $2.3 \pm 0.58bcd$ $4.5 \pm 0.58bcd$ $4.3 \pm 0.58bcd$ 13.94 4.852 5.78 9.08×10^3 $30 \pm 10bc$ $53.3 \pm 5.77a$ $1.66 \pm 0.58cd$ $2.3 \pm 0.58bcd$ $4.3 \pm 0.58bcd$ 18.6 2.26 5.78 9.08×10^3 $36.6 \pm 5.77b$ $43.3 \pm 5.77bc$ $1.66 \pm 1.15b$ $3.6 \pm 1.53abc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58cd$ 18.6 2.26 5.78 9.08×10^3 $36.6 \pm 5.77b$ $33.3 \pm 5.77bc$ $1.66 \pm 1.15cd$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58cd$ 18.6 4.52 2.89 9.08×10^3 $36.6 \pm 5.77b$ $33.3 \pm 5.77bc$ $1.66 \pm 1.15cd$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58cd$ 18.6 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77bc$ $33.3 \pm 5.77bc$ $1.66 \pm 1.15cd$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58cd$ 4.5 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77bc$ $3.3 \pm 0.58dd$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58cd$ 4.5 <td< td=""><td>9.3</td><td>4.52</td><td>5.78</td><td>9.08×10^{-3}</td><td>$16.6 \pm 5.77e$</td><td>$53.3 \pm 5.77a$</td><td>$1.66 \pm 1.15cd$</td><td>2 ± 1cd</td><td>$4.6\pm0.58 \mathrm{bc}$</td><td>$4.6 \pm 1.15 ab$</td></td<>	9.3	4.52	5.78	9.08×10^{-3}	$16.6 \pm 5.77e$	$53.3 \pm 5.77a$	$1.66 \pm 1.15cd$	2 ± 1 cd	$4.6\pm0.58 \mathrm{bc}$	$4.6 \pm 1.15 ab$
13.94 2.26 5.78 9.08×10^3 $46.6 \pm 5.77a$ $53.3 \pm 5.77a$ $3 \pm 1ab$ $3.6 \pm 1.53a$ $3.3 \pm 0.58e$ $3.3 \pm 0.58e$ 13.94 4.52 2.89 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77e$ $26.6 \pm 5.77d$ $1.33 \pm 0.58d$ $2.3 \pm 0.58bcd$ $4.6 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58bc$ 13.94 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^3 $30 \pm 10bc$ $53.3 \pm 5.77a$ $1.66 \pm 0.58d$ $2.3 \pm 0.58bcd$ $4.6 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58bc$ 13.94 4.852 5.78 9.08×10^3 $30 \pm 10bc$ $53.3 \pm 5.77a$ $1.66 \pm 0.58d$ $2.3 \pm 1.53abc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58cd$ 18.6 2.26 5.78 9.08×10^3 $36.6 \pm 5.77b$ $43.3 \pm 5.77bc$ $1.66 \pm 1.15b$ $3.6 \pm 1.53abc$ $4.5 \pm 0.28cd$ 18.6 2.26 5.78 9.08×10^3 $36.6 \pm 5.77bb$ $33.3 \pm 5.77bc$ $1.66 \pm 1.15cd$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58cd$ 18.6 4.52 2.89 9.08×10^3 $36.6 \pm 5.77bb$ $33.3 \pm 5.77bc$ $1.66 \pm 1.15cd$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58cd$ 18.6 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77bc$ $1.33 \pm 0.58d$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58cd$ 18.6 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77bc$ $1.33 \pm 0.58d$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58cd$ 18.6 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77bc$ $1.66 \pm 1.15cd$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58cd$ 18.6 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77bc$ $1.66 \pm 1.15cd$ $2.4.3 \pm 0.58cd$ <td>13.94</td> <td>2.26</td> <td>2.89</td> <td>9.08×10^{-3}</td> <td>$36.6 \pm 5.77b$</td> <td>$46.6 \pm 5.77 abc$</td> <td>$2.33 \pm 0.58 bc$</td> <td>$2.6 \pm 1.15 bc$</td> <td>$3.6 \pm 0.58 de$</td> <td>$3.6 \pm 0.58c$</td>	13.94	2.26	2.89	9.08×10^{-3}	$36.6 \pm 5.77b$	$46.6 \pm 5.77 abc$	$2.33 \pm 0.58 bc$	$2.6 \pm 1.15 bc$	$3.6 \pm 0.58 de$	$3.6 \pm 0.58c$
13.94 4.52 2.89 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77e$ $26.6 \pm 5.77d$ $1.33 \pm 0.58d$ $2.3 \pm 0.58bcd$ $4.6 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58bcd$ 13.94 4.852 5.78 9.08×10^3 $30 \pm 10bc$ $53.3 \pm 5.77a$ $1.66 \pm 0.58cd$ $2.1cd$ $4.5 \pm 0.58cd$ $4.3 \pm 0.58cd$ 18.6 2.26 2.89 9.08×10^3 $46.6 \pm 5.77a$ $50 \pm 10ab$ $2.66 \pm 1.15b$ $3.6 \pm 1.53ab$ $4.6 \pm 1.53abc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58cd$ 18.6 2.26 5.78 9.08×10^3 $36.6 \pm 5.77b$ $43.3 \pm 5.77bc$ $1.66 \pm 1.15b$ $3.6 \pm 1.53ab$ $4.5 \pm 0.3ab$ 18.6 4.52 2.89 9.08×10^3 $36.6 \pm 5.77b$ $33.3 \pm 5.77bc$ $1.66 \pm 1.15cd$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58cd$ $4.6 \pm 0.28cd$ 18.6 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77bc$ $1.66 \pm 1.15cd$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58cd$ $4.6 \pm 0.28cd$ 18.6 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77bc$ $1.53 \pm 0.58dd$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $4.6 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.5 \pm 0.58bc$	13.94	2.26	5.78	9.08×10^{-3}	$46.6 \pm 5.77 a$	$53.3 \pm 5.77a$	$3 \pm 1ab$	$3.6 \pm 1.53a$	$3.3 \pm 0.58e$	$3.3 \pm 0.58d$
13.94 4.852 5.78 9.08×10^3 $3.0 \pm 10bc$ $53.3 \pm 5.77a$ $1.66 \pm 0.58cd$ $2 \pm 1cd$ $4.3 \pm 0.58cd$ $4.3 \pm 0.58cd$ 18.6 2.26 2.89 9.08×10^3 $46.6 \pm 5.77a$ $50 \pm 10ab$ $2.66 \pm 1.15b$ $3.6 \pm 1.53a$ $4.6 \pm 1.53abc$ $4.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.256$ 18.6 2.26 5.78 9.08×10^3 $36.6 \pm 5.77b$ $43.3 \pm 5.77bc$ $1.66 \pm 1.15bc$ $3.5 \pm 1.73ab$ $4.5 \pm 0.58cd$ $4.5 \pm 0.58cd$ $4.6 \pm 1.53abc$ $4.5 \pm 0.28cd$ $4.6 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.28cd$ $4.6 \pm 0.28cd$	13.94	4.52	2.89	9.08×10^{-3}	$16.6 \pm 5.77e$	$26.6 \pm 5.77d$	$1.33 \pm 0.58d$	$2.3 \pm 0.58 bcd$	$4.6 \pm 0.58 bc$	$4.3 \pm 0.58 bc$
18.6 2.26 2.89 9.08×10^{-3} $46.6 \pm 5.77a$ $50 \pm 10ab$ $2.66 \pm 1.15b$ $3.6 \pm 1.53a$ $4.6 \pm 1.53abc$ $4.3 \pm 0.13abc$ 18.6 2.26 5.78 9.08×10^{-3} $36.6 \pm 5.77b$ $43.3 \pm 5.77bc$ $3 \pm 1ab$ $3 \pm 1b$ $5 \pm 1.73ab$ 4.5 ± 0.340 18.6 2.26 5.78 9.08×10^{-3} $36.6 \pm 5.77b$ $33.3 \pm 5.77bc$ $1.66 \pm 1.15cd$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58cd$ $4.6 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.58cd$ 18.6 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^{-3} $16.6 \pm 5.77bc$ $1.66 \pm 1.15cd$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58cd$ $4.6 \pm 0.18bc$ 18.6 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^{-3} $16.6 \pm 5.77bc$ $1.33 \pm 0.58dd$ $2.5 \pm 1cd$ $4.6 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58bc$	13.94	4.852	5.78	9.08×10^{-3}	$30 \pm 10bc$	$53.3 \pm 5.77a$	1.66 ± 0.58 cd	2 ± 1 cd	4.3 ± 0.58 cd	$4.3 \pm 0.58 bc$
18.6 2.26 5.78 9.08×10^{-3} $36.6 \pm 5.77b$ $43.3 \pm 5.77bc$ $3 \pm 1ab$ $3 \pm 1b$ $5 \pm 1.73ab$ $4.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3 t^{-1}$ 18.6 4.52 2.89 9.08×10^{-3} $36.6 \pm 5.77b$ $33.3 \pm 5.77bc$ $1.66 \pm 1.15cd$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58cd$ $4.6 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.58cd$ 18.6 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^{-3} $16.6 \pm 5.77e$ $46.6 \pm 5.77abc$ $1.33 \pm 0.58dd$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $4.6 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.5 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.5 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.5 \pm 0.58bc$	18.6	2.26	2.89	9.08×10^{-3}	$46.6 \pm 5.77 a$	50 ± 10 ab	$2.66 \pm 1.15b$	$3.6 \pm 1.53a$	$4.6 \pm 1.53 abc$	$4.3 \pm 0.58 bc$
18.6 4.52 2.89 9.08 x 10^3 $36.6 \pm 5.77b$ $33.3 \pm 5.77bc$ $1.66 \pm 1.15cd$ $2.6 \pm 1.15bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58cd$ $4.6 \pm 0.18bc$ 18.6 4.52 5.78 9.08×10^3 $16.6 \pm 5.77e$ $46.6 \pm 5.77abc$ $1.33 \pm 0.58d$ $2.41cd$ $4.6 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.58bc$	18.6	2.26	5.78	9.08×10^{-3}	$36.6 \pm 5.77b$	$43.3 \pm 5.77 bc$	$3 \pm 1ab$	$3 \pm 1b$	$5 \pm 1.73 ab$	$4.3 \pm 0.58 bc$
18.6 4.52 5.78 9.08 x 10 ⁻³ $16.6 \pm 5.77e$ $46.6 \pm 5.77abc$ $1.33 \pm 0.58d$ $2 \pm 1cd$ $4.6 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.24 + 1.24c$ $4.6 \pm 0.58bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.24c$ $4.4 \pm 0.28bc$ $4.3 \pm 0.24c$ $4.$	18.6	4.52	2.89	9.08×10^{-3}	$36.6 \pm 5.77b$	$33.3 \pm 5.77 bc$	$1.66 \pm 1.15cd$	$2.6 \pm 1.15 bc$	4.3 ± 0.58 cd	$4.6 \pm 0.58a$
	18.6	4.52	5.78	9.08×10^{-3}	$16.6 \pm 5.77e$	$46.6 \pm 5.77 abc$	$1.33 \pm 0.58d$	2 ± 1 cd	$4.6 \pm 0.58 bc$	$4.3 \pm 0.58 bc$



Fig. 1. Shoot Organogenesis from shoot tip and meristem explants of *Caralluma tuberculata*; (A & B) Shooting response on 13.32 μ mol BA, 2.26 μ mol 2,4-D, 2.89 μ mol GA₃ and 9.08x10⁻³ TDZ from shoot tip and meristem explant, respectively; (C & D) Shooting response on 13.94 μ mol Kin, 2.26 μ mol 2,4-D, 5.78 μ mol GA₃ and 9.08x10⁻³ TDZ from meristem and shoot tip explant, respectively; (E) Rooting response on 5.07 μ mol IAA; (F) Acclimatization of *In vitro* grown *Caralluma tuberculata*.

		8 1	
IAA (µmol)	IBA (µmol)	Response (%)	No. of Roots/plant
2.54	0.0	$22.5 \pm 0.5c$	$0.63 \pm 0.15c$
5.07	0.0	$50.16 \pm 1.6a$	$3.06 \pm 0.15a$
7.61	0.0	$35.16 \pm 1.0b$	$2.0 \pm 0.1b$
0.0	2.46	$19.2 \pm 0.3 d$	$0.5 \pm 0.1c$
0.0	4.92	$24.03\pm0.9c$	$0.6 \pm 0.1 c$
0.0	7.38	$22.33 \pm 1.5c$	$0.7 \pm 0.2c$

Table 3. Effect of IAA and IBA on root induction of In vitro grown plantlets of C. tuberculata

 \pm Shows value of standard deviation from treatment mean.

Different letter(s) after data within a column represent statistically significant difference among treatment means at $p \le 0.05$ using *lsd* test

References

- Abdel-Sattar, E., A.A. Ahmed, F.M.E. Hegazy, M.A. Farag and M.A. Al-Yahya. 2007. Acylated pregnane glycosides from *Caralluma russeliana. Phytochem.*, 68: 1459-1463.
- Al-Yahya, M.A., E. Abdel-Sattar and E. Guittet. 2000. Pregnane glycosides from *Caralluma russeliana*. J. Nat. Prod., 63: 1451-1453.
- Aruna, V., C. Kiranmai, S. Karuppusamy and T. Pullaiah. 2009. Micropropagation of three varieties of Caralluma adscendens via nodal explants. J. Plant Biochem. Biotech., 18: 121-123.
- Bader, A., A. Braca, N. De-Tommasi and I. MorelliI. 2003. Further constituents from *Caralluma negevensis*. *Phytochem.*, 62: 1277-1281.
- Benson, E.E. 2000. In vitro plant recalcitrance: an introduction. In vitro Cell Dev. Biol.-Plant., 36: 141-148.
- Bibi, Y., M. Zia, S. Nisa, D. Habib, A. Waheed and M.F. Chaudhary. 2011. Regeneration of *Centella asiatica* plants from non-embryogenic cell lines and evaluation of antibacterial and antifungal properties of regenerated calli and plants. *J. Biol. Engin.*, 5: 13.
- Chandrasekhar, T., H.T. Mohammad, G.G. Rama and R.J.V. Srinivasa. 2006. Somatic embryogenesis of *Tylophora indica* (Burm.f.)Merril, an important medicinal plant. *Inter. J. App. Sci. Engin.*, 1: 33-40.
- Chengalaryan, K., V.B. Mhaske and S. Hazra. 1997. High frequency conversion of abnormal peanut somatic embryos. *Plant Cell Rep.*, 16: 783-786.
- de Paiva-Neto, V.B., T.R. da Mota and W.C. Otoni. 2003. Direct organogenesis from hypocotyls-derived explantsof annatto (Bixa orellana). *Plant Cell Tiss. Org. Cult.*, 75: 159-167.
- Dunbar, K., K. Wilson, B. Petersen and D. Biesboer. 1986. Identification of laticifers in embryoids derived from callus and suspension of cultures of *Asclepias* species (Asclepiada- ceae). *Am. J. Bot.*, 73: 847-851.
- Gaj, M.D. 2004. Factors influencing somatic embryogenesis induction and plant regeneration with particular reference to *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. *Plant Growth Regul.*, 43: 27-47.
- Gaspar, T., C. Kevers, O. Faivre-Rampant, M. Crèvecoeur, C. Penel, H. Greppin and J. Dommes. 2003. Changing concepts in plant hormone action. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol. Plant*, 39: 85-105.
- Gazzarrini, S. and P. McCourt. 2003. Cross-talk in plant hormone signaling: what Arabidopsis mutants are telling us. Ann. Bot., 91: 605-612.
- Hazarika, B.N. 2003. Acclimatization of tissue-cultured plants. *Curr. Sci.*, 85: 1704-1712.
- Hazarika, B.N. 2006. Morpho-physiological disorders in *In vitro* culture of plants. *Sci. Hortic.*, 108: 105-120.
- Hita, O., C. Lafarga and H. Guerra. 1997. Somatic embryogenesis from chick pea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) immature cotyledons: the effect of zeatin, ghibberellic acid and indole-3-butyric acid. Acta. Physiol. Plant, 19: 333-338.
- Hu, K., X.S. Yao, A.J. Dong, H. Kobayashi, S. Iwasaki and Y.K. Jing. 1999. New pregnane glycosides from *Dioscorea* collettii. J. Nat. Prod., 62: 299-301.
- Jiménez, V.M. 2001. Regulation of *In vitro* somatic embryogenesis with emphasis on the role of endogenous hormones. *Rev. Bras. Fisiol. Veg.*, 13: 196-223.
- Lin, L.J., L.Z. Lin, R.R. Gil, G.A. Cordell, M. Ramesh, B. Srilatha, B. Reddy and A.V.N.A. Rao. 1994. Pregnane glycosides from *Caralluma umbellata*. *Phytochem*. 35: 1549-1553.
- Murashige, T. and F. Skoog. 1962. A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassay with tobacco tissue culture. *Physiol. Plant*, 15: 473-497.
- Murthy, B.N.S. and P.K. Saxena. 1998. Somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration of neem (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss.). *Plant Cell Rep.*, 17: 469-475.
- Nessler, C.L. 1982. Somatic embryogenesis in the opium poppy, Papaver somniferum. Physiol. Plantarum. 55: 453-458.

- Osuna, L., M.E. Tapia-Pe'rez, O. Figueroa, E. Jime'nez-Ferrer, M.L. Gardun'o-Rami'rez, M.T. Gonza'lez-Garza, P. Carranza-Rosales and D.E. Cruz-Vega. 2006. Micropropagation of *Lepidium virginicum* (Brassicaceae), a plant with antiprotozoal activity. *In vitro Cell Dev. Biol.-Plant*, 42: 596-600.
- Petersen, K.K., J. Hansen and P. Krogstrup. 1999. Significance of different carbon sources and sterilization methods on callus induction and plant regeneration of Miscanthus 9 ogiformis Honda "Giganteus". *Plant Cell Tiss. Org. Cult.*, 58: 189-197.
- Piacente, S., M.A. Belisario, H. Del-Castillo, C. Pizza and V. De Feo. 1998. Croton ruizianus: platelet proaggregating activity of two new pregnane glycosides. J. Nat. Prod., 61: 318-322.
- Qiu, S.X., G.A. Cordell, B.R. Kumar, Y.N. Rao, M. Ramesh and C. Kokate. 1999. Studies on the C-21 steroids from asclepiadaceous plants bisdesmosidic pregnane glycosides from *Caralluma lasiantha*. *Phytochem*. 50: 485-491.
- Qiu, S.X., L.Z. Lin, G.A. Cordell, M. Ramesh, B.R. Kumar, M. Radhakrishna, G.K. Mohan, B.M. Reddy, Y.N. Rao, B. Srinivas, N.S. Thomas and A.V.N.A. Rao. 1997. Acylated C-21 Steroidal Bisdesmosidic Glycosides from *Caraluma umbellate*. *Phytochem*. 46: 333-340.
- Rudus, I., E. Kepczynska and J. Kepczynski. 2002. Regulation of *Medicago sativa* L. somatic embryogenesis by gibberellins. *Plant Growth Regul.*, 36: 91-95.
- Sharma, P. and M.V. Rajam. 1995. Genotype, explant and position effects of organogenesis and somatic embryogenesis in eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.). J. Exp. Bot., 46: 135-141.
- Sreelatha, V.R., S.S. Rani, P.V.K. Reddy, M. Naveen, A. Ugraiah and T. Pullaiah. 2009. *In vitro* propagation of *Caralluma sarkariae* Lavranos & Frandsen-An endemic and endangered medicinal plant. *Indian J. Biotech.*, 8: 236-239.
- Templeton, J.F., Y. Ling, T.H. Zeglam and F.S. LaBella. 1993. Synthesis of 20-hydroxy-, 20-amino-, and 20 nitro-14hydroxy- 21-nor-5b, 14b pregnane C-3 glycosides and related derivatives: structure–activity relationships of pregnanes that bind to the digitalis receptor. J. Med. Chem., 36: 42-45.
- Thomas, T.D. and S. Shankar. 2009. Multiple shoot induction and callus regeneration in *Sarcostemma brevistigma* Wight & Arnott, a rare medicinal plant. *Plant Biotechnol. Rep.* 3: 67-74.
- Thomas, T.D. and B. Philip. 2005. Thidiazuron-induced high frequency shoot organogenesis from leaf derived callus of a medicinal climber, *Tylophora indica* (Burm.F.)Merrill. *In Vitro Cell Dev. Biol. Plant*, 41: 124-128.
- Thorpe, T.A. 2000. Somatic embryogenesis: morphogenesis, physiology, biochemistry and molecular biology. *Korean J. Plant Tiss. Cult.*, 27: 245-258.
- Ugraiah, A., S. Karuppusamy and T. Pullaiah. 2011. Micropropagation of *Marsdenia brunoniana* Wight & Am. A Rare Antidiabetic Plant. *Plant Tiss. Cult. Biotech.*, 21: 89-93.
- Vikrant, and A. Rashid. 2002. Induction of multiple shoots by thidiazuron from caryopsis cultures of minor millet (*Paspalum* scrobiculatum L.) and its effect on the regeneration of embryogenic callus cultures. *Plant Cell Rep.*, 21: 9-13.
- Wang, J. and M.Z. Bao. 2007. Plant regeneration of pansy (Viola wittrockiana) 'Caidie' via petiole-derived callus. *Scientia. Horticul.*, 111: 266-270.
- Wilson, H.M., M.Z. Eisa and S.W.B. Irwin. 1976. The effects of agitated liquid medium on *In vitro* cultures of *Hevea* brasiliensis. *Physiol. Plantarum.* 36: 399-402.
- Zakaria, M.N.M., M.W. Islam, and R. Radhakrishnan. 2001. Antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory properties of *Caralluma arabica*. J. Ethnopharmacol. 76: 155-158.
- Zakaria, M.N.M., M.W. Islam, and R. Radhakrishnan. 2002. Antigastric ulcer and cytoprotective properties of *Caralluma arabica. Pharm. Biol.*, 40: 225-230.
- Zia, M., Z.F. Rizvi, U.R. Rehman and M.F. Chaudhary. 2010. Micropropagation of two Pakistani soybean (*Glycine max* L.) cultivars from mature seeds cotyledon nodes. *Spanish J. Agri. Res.*, 8: 448-453.

(Received for publication 20 June 2013)