GENOME-WIDE IDENTIFICATION, MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION AND EXPRESSION ANALYSIS OF THE ROP GTPase FAMILY IN PEPPER (CAPSICUM ANNUUM)

DINGQUAN HUANG¹, SHUILIN HE^{2*} AND MINGYANG LI^{1*}

¹College of Horticulture and Landscape, Chongqing Engineering Research Center for Floriculture, Key Laboratory of Horticulture Science for Southern Mountainous Regions of Ministry of Education, Southwest University, Beibei 400715, Chongqing, China ²College of Crop Science, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou 350002, Fujian, China

* Corresponding author's e-mail: shlhe201304@aliyun.com, limy@swu.edu.cn,

Abstract

ROP/RAC GTPases is a plant-specific subfamily of Rho GTPases that plays a versatile role in the regulation of plant growth, development, in hormone signal transduction and response to the environment. Prior to the present study, only one *Rop* gene in pepper has been described. However, with the recent release of the draft genome sequence of pepper allowes us to conduct a genome wide search to identify how many *Rop* family members existed in pepper genome. We carried out bioinformatics analysis to establish the conserved as well as divergent regions on the protein sequences, phylogenetically analysis and the corresponding result shows that, CaROPs could be distributed into four groups as described in the literature for their homologs in *Arabidopsis*. To understand the function of nine *Rop* genes in pepper, we accordingly studied the tissue, fruit development and ripening expression patterns of *CaRop* genes by obtained RNA-seq data from public database. From our analysis, we realized that the expression of *CaRop* genes shows no total tissue or developmental specific expression. Furthermore, gene expression profiles of *CaRop* genes to environment stresses and hormone treatment, such as inoculated with *Ralstonia solanacearum*, by heat stress as well as treated with four phytohormones respectively and evaluated with real time RT-PCR. The potential involvement of specific *CaRop* genes in growth, fruit development, ripening, environment stresses as well as hormone responses discussed and may lay the foundation for future functional analysis to unravel their biological roles.

Key words: ROP GTPase, Pepper, Phylogenetic analysis, Phytohormones and Ralstonia solanacearum.

Introduction

Rho-family small GTPases are monomeric guanine nucleotide-binding proteins that act as versatile molecular switches in the regulation of many important cellular processes. Plants possess a single subfamily of Rho GTPases termed ROPs (Rho-related GTPase from plants), and their amino acid sequence are most similar to RACs, thus have also been referred to as RACs (Yang, 2002). ROP/RAC is the solo subfamily of signaling small GTPases in plants. The solitude of ROP in small GTPase signaling in plants could explain why ROPs have emerged as signal integrator and coordinators of a wide range of signaling pathways that regulate fundamental developmental processes, responses to pathogens and environmental stresses (Fu et al., 2008). Moreover, there is strong evidence that ROPs participate in regulating plant responses to several hormones such as abscisic acid, auxin and brassinosteroids (Fischer et al., 2006; Fujita et al., 2006; Li et al., 2005; Xin et al., 2005).

Since the first plant homolog of Rho-related GTPases was discovered in the garden pea, other members of the ROP family have been identified widely from a number of plant species such as *Arabidopsis* (Hai *et al.*, 1998), barley (Schultheiss *et al.*, 2003), rapeseed (Chan & Pauls, 2007), grapevine (Abbal *et al.*, 2007), peach (Falchi *et al.*, 2010), rice (Christensen *et al.*, 2003), and maize (Hassanain *et al.*, 2000). Genome sequencing reveals *Arabidopsis* contains 11 *Rop* genes: three closely related *Rops*, *Rop1*, *Rop3* and *Rop5* are expressed in pollen and functionally redundant in the control of pollen tube tip growth (Fu *et al.*, 2008; Vernoud *et al.*, 2003). However, *Rop2*, *Rop4* and *Rop6* mediated auxin regulation of jigsaw puzzle appearance in pavement cells also participated in root hair elongation (Fu

et al., 2005; Jones, 2002; Jones et al., 2007; Xin et al., 2005; Xu et al., 2010). Immune responses mediated by *Rop* genes have been studied in rice and showed that a series of immune responses induced by CA-OsRAC1 leads to enhance disease resistance to virulent race of *Magnaporthe* grisea and Xanthomonas oryzae (Ono et al., 2001). In tobacco, expression of DN-OsRAC1 delays lesion formation when inoculated tobacco mosaic virus, which is correlated with reduced ROS production, altered PR gene expression and reduction of salicylic acid accumulation (Moeder et al., 2005).

Pepper, the world's most widely grown spice crop is not only satisfies people's spicy taste as a major ingredient in cuisines, but also as a model crop systems for research in the mechanisms of resistance against biotic and abiotic stresses (Dang et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2014). Recently, the genome of C. annuum cv. CM334 and Zunla-1 were sequenced and released. These new reference genome sheds light on the biology of the pepper's hallmark pungency or spiciness, its fruit-ripening and disease-resistance mechanisms (Kim et al., 2014; Qin et al., 2014). Compared to the extensive studies of ROP GTPases in Arabidopsis and rice, no research has been reported in pepper so far. Considering the economic importance of this crop as well as the ROP as significant signal integrator and coordinators in plant developmental processes and stress responses, it was of interest for us to characterize the ROP family in pepper. In this study, we performed a genome-wide analysis and identified nine ROP GTPases coding genes distributed in pepper. Then, we analyzed the expression profiles of these *CaRop* genes in pepper across different tissues, fruit development and ripening by obtained from public RNA-seq databases. Additionally, real time RT-PCR was employed to detect the transcript abundance of *CaRop* genes under *Ralstonia solanacearum* challenge, heat stress as well as four phytohormones treatment, in order to gain further insights into their roles in pepper defense responses.

Materials and Methods

Sequence database searches: Arabidopsis ROP protein sequences obtained from TAIR were (http://www.Arabidopsis.org), 11 AtROP proteins as query sequences and carried out BLASTP searches against the annotated CM334 pepper genome platform (http: //peppergenome.snu.ac.kr/) and Zunla-1 genome database (http://peppersequence.genomics.cn), respectively. Candidate proteins were selected and further checked whether it represented or not a complete CDS. After that, the ROP-like sequences were reconfirmed by in turn used reiteratively to search the CM334 annotated proteins and CDS until no new sequences were found. Files of CM334 annotation CDS (v1.5) and Zunla-1 CDS (v2.0) were download from the pepper genome platform and Zunla-1 pepper genome respectively.

Multiple sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis: The amino acid sequence of nine CaROP proteins and the selected AtROP9 protein (At4g28950) was used to create multiple protein sequence alignments using DNAMAN software and default setting was applied for the alignment in Fig. 1. For phylogenetic analysis ,amino acid sequence alignments and comparision of the nine CaROP proteins and eleven AtROP proteins were performed with ClustalW (Thompson *et al.*, 1994) and MEGA5.05 program (Tamura *et al.*, 2011) using the neighbor-joining method.

RNA-seq data extract and cluster analysis: The RNAseq data for various tissues, pericarp and placenta development and ripening stages were obtained from public database (Kim *et al.*, 2014), and the corresponding *CaRop* genes expression value were extracted (listed in Table 2) and imported into Genesis program (v1.75) (Sturn *et al.*, 2002) for cluster analysis. Hierarchical clustering was performed based on Pearson coefficients with average linkage rule.

	GTPase	Effector domain	GTPaseII
CaROP1a.seq	MSASE TKCVTVGDGAVGKTCLISY	ISNTFFTDYNFTVFDNFSANV <mark>VV</mark> NGSTV	NLGIWDTAGQ 64
CaROP1b.seq	MSASEFIKCVTVGDGAVGKTOL	ISNTFFTCYNFTVFCNFSANV <mark>VVNGAT</mark> V	NLGLWDTAGO 64
CaROP3a.seq		ISNTFFTDYNPTVFDNFSANV <mark>VV</mark> NGATV	NLGLWETAG
CaROP3b.seq		ISNTEFTCYNFTVECNESANV <mark>VV</mark> NGATV	NLGIWDTACNYLFAQ 69
CaROP6.seq	MSASE IKCVIVGDGAVGKICIIISY	ISNIFFICYWPTVFCNFSANV <mark>VVC</mark> GSIV	NLGLWDTAGO 64
CaROP7.seq		ISNTFFTDYNFTVFDNFSANV <mark>VVD</mark> GSTV	NLGLWETAGC 64
CaROP8.seq	MNTSTTSASNNATASSGTRFIKCVTVGDGAVGKTCI	ISNIFFICYWPTVFCNFSANV <mark>NVC</mark> GKIV	NLGIWDTAG
CaROP9.seq		ISNEFFICYIPTVFCNFSANVATEGRIV	NLGLWDTAGTLSLYR 69
CaROP11.seq		ISNNFFTDYNFTVFDNFSANV <mark>VVB</mark> GTTV	NLGIWDTAGO 66
AtROP9.seg		ISNEFFICY <mark>I</mark> PTVFENESANVAVEGQIV	ALGIWDTAG 63
Consensus	msasrfikcvtvgdgavgktcllisy	tentfptdyvptvfdnfsanvvvdg tv	nlglwdtagg
	-	1	GDP/GTP
CaROP1a.seq	ECMERIRPISYRGAD FILES	STISKASYENVSKRAIPEIKHYAEGVPI	VEVGTKLDLEDEKCF 128
CaROP1b.seq	EDMIRLRPISYRGADVEILEFS	SLISKASYENVSKKWIPELKHYAEGVPI	VLVGTKLDLRDCKOF 128
CaROP3a.seq	EDYNRLRPISYRGADVEILAFS	SL <mark>ISKA</mark> SYENVSKKWIPELKHYAFGVPI	VLVGTKLDLRDDKCF 128
CaROP3b.seq	HLIYESDFICMNRLRPISYRGADVEILAFS	SL <mark>ISKASYENVS</mark> KKW <mark>I</mark> PEL <mark>KHY</mark> APGVPI	VLVGTKLDLRD <mark>DBCF</mark> 141
CaROP6.seq	ECMERLRPISYRGADVEILF	SL <mark>ISKASYENVS</mark> KKWIPEL <mark>RHY</mark> AEGVPI	ILVGTKLDLREDKCF 128
CaROP7.seq	ECMNRLRPISYRGADVELLAFS	SL <mark>ISKA</mark> SYEN TYKKATPEL <mark>RHY</mark> AETIÇI	VLVGTKLDLREDKCY 128
CaROP8.seq	EDMRLRPISYRGADVELLAFS	SL <mark>ISRESFENIS</mark> KKAVPEL <mark>RHY</mark> AESVPI	VEVGTKIDIREEKCF 142
CaROP9.seq	FYTYHLMDFYFCVCFKLGCECMSRLRPISYRGADVEVLTFS	SL <mark>ISRASYENVI</mark> KKWMPEL <mark>RRF</mark> AF <mark>DVPI</mark>	VLVGTKLDLRDDNGY 152
CaROP11.seq	EDWRLRPISYRGADVEVLEF	SL <mark>VSRASYENIL</mark> KKWIPELCHYAFGIPV	AGTELDLREDERF 130
AtROP9.seq		SL <mark>ISKA</mark> SYENVIKKWMPELRRFAENVPI	VLVGTKLDLRDDKGY 128
Consensus	edynrlrplsyrgadvfilaf:	sliskasyenvskkwipelrhyapgvpi	vlvgtkldlrddkqf
	Rho insert GDP/GTP	Membrane	ocalization domain
CaROP1a.seq	FVEHEGAVPITTACGEELFETIGA PSHIECSSKICQNVKAV	EDAAIKVVLQFFK CKKKK. GKSCK	ACSIL 197
CaROP1b.seq	FVDHFGAVPITTACGEELSRTIGAPSTVECSSKTCCNVKAV	FDAAIKVVLQPPKTKKKK.GKSQK	ACSIL 197
CaROP3a.seq	FTDHFGAVPITIACGEELFRTIGAPS TIECSSKTCENVKG	FCAAIKVVLQFPKAKKKK.GKSQR	ACSIL 197
CaROP3b.seq	FVDHPGAVPITTACGEELREAIGAPSWVECSSETCCNVKAV	FEAAIKVVLQFFKTKKKK.GRSQK	ACSIL 210
CaROP6.seq	FVDHPGAVPLITACGEEL FESIGAAA LECSARTCONIRA	PEAAIKVVLÇPPKCKKKKKRKGÇK	ACSIL 198
CaROP7.seq	LSDHPGATPISTACGEELWEMIGAVANIECSSKTCCNVKAV	FETAIKVALRPPKVKKRP.CKRRT	LCAIL 197
CaROP8.seq	REDYFCACTISTECCEELRECIGAVAWECSARTCONVER	BETAIKVVLQPPKSKKQKRKHK	ACWIL 210
CaROP9.seq	LADHMGSNIITTACGEELARQIGAAATVECSSKICCNVKAV	TTAIKVVLCPPRRMEVASKRK.HRST	GCSIV 224
CaROP11.seq	LADHPGLVPVTTACGEELPKQIGAAYYIECSSKICCVGCS	FS	
AtROP9.seq	LADHT NVITSTCGEELERCIGAAAYIECSSKTCCNVKAV	EDTAIKVVLCPPRRKEVPRRRKNHRRS	GCSIASIVCGGCTA 208
Consensus	f dhpgavpittaggeelrk iga aviedssktggnvkav	vfdaaikvvlgppk kkkk k gk	acsil

Fig. 1. Alignment of the nine *C. annuum* ROP deduced amino acid sequences with ROP9 of *Arabidopsis*. Identical amino acid residues are highlighted by black backgrounds. Disctinct functional domains are assigned according to Zheng & Yang (2000): the N-terminal two GTPase domains (GTPase I and GTPase II), an effector domain (Effector domain), two domains responsible for GDP/GTP-binding (GDP/GTP), the RHO insert region (Rho insert), and the Membrane localization domain. The three motifs are shown in grey boxes and highlighted by red arrowhead are putative serine/threonine-dependent phosphorylation sites.

RNA-seq data extract and cluster analysis: The RNAseq data for various tissues, pericarp and placenta development and ripening stages were obtained from public database (Kim *et al.*, 2014), and the corresponding *CaRop* genes expression value were extracted (listed in Table 2) and imported into Genesis program (v1.75) (Sturn *et al.*, 2002) for cluster analysis. Hierarchical clustering was performed based on Pearson coefficients with average linkage rule.

Plant materials and treatments: Pepper (C. annuum, 68-2#, a cultivar provided by pepper breeding group in Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University) seeds were sown in a soil mix [peat moss: perlite (2/1)] in plastic pots, and grown for additional 3-4 weeks. Pepper plants were grown in a greenhouse with 16/8 h photoperiod at 25±2°C, 60-70µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹, and a relative humidity of 70%. For hormone treatment, pepper plants at the four-leaf stage were sprayed with 10 µM 1-Naphthaleneacetic acid (thereafter with NAA), 10 µM 24-epibrassinolide (thereafter with EBR) (both dissolved in 1% ethanol). Mock plants were sprayed with 1% ethanol. One month old pepper plants were sprayed with 100 µM abscisic acid (thereafter with ABA) and 10 mM ethephon (thereafter with ET) in ddH₂O and mock plants were sprayed with ddH₂O. For R. solanacearum inoculation and heat stress treatment were performed as described in (Dang et al., 2013). Samples collected at various hour post treatments or inoculation (thereafter with hpt or hpi), were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C before use. To ensure that hormone treatments were eliciting expected responses in our research, we first examined the responses of ABA and ET responsive genes as described in (Dang et al., 2013). Also examined a few genes that are proposed to be orthologs of Arabidopsis genes reported specifically respond to NAA and BR treatment according to (Nemhauser et al., 2006). Results shown that expression of these marker genes was induced when treated by respective hormones, verified the efficiency of these hormone applications (data not shown).

RNA extract and real-time RT-PCR: Total RNA was isolated from reserved samples using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen), according to the manufacture's instruction. The RNA samples were reverse-transcribed using the PrimeScript RT-PCR kit (TaKaRa, China). A 10-fold dilution of the resulting cDNA was amplified employing SYBR® Premix ExTaqTM II using BIO-RAD CFX96 Real-time PCR system (Foster City, CA, USA) in standard volume with the following program: 95°C for 30 s; 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 s, 60°C for 34 s, with a final melt gradient starting from 60°C and heating to 95°C at a rate of each 1°C increment. The amplification of the target genes was investigated (see Table 3 for gene-specific primers) and the primer specificity was reconfirmed by analysing dissociation

curves of the PCR amplification products. The Ct (threshold cycle), defined as the real-time RT-PCR cycle at which a statistically significant increase of reporter fluorescence was first detected, used as a measure for the starting copy numbers of the target genes. Three replicates of each experiment were performed. Data were analyzed by the Livak method and expressed as a normalized relative expression level $(2^{-\Delta\Delta CT})$ of respective genes (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001). The relative transcript levels of the CaRop genes were normalized to the transcript levels of *CaEF-1a* and the default value for each gene was set as 1 at 0 h point. In each case, three technical replicates were performed for each of at least three independent biological replicates, each value represents mean ± standard error of three replicates. Single or double asterisks indicating a significant difference of p<0.05 p<0.01 between controls or and treatments respectively.

Results

Identification of genes encoding ROP GTPases in Capsicum annuum: To identify ROP GTPases coding genes in the pepper genome, we used eleven Arabidopsis ROP proteins as query sequences, and then carried out BLASTP searches against a total of 34903 predicated pepper gene coding proteins from the C. annuum cv. CM334 pepper genome platform (Kim et al., 2014). The sequences were selected as candidate proteins if the Limite Expect Value was \leq -50, then each candidate protein sequences were further checked whether it represented or not a complete sequence. After that, the ROP-like sequences were reconfirmed by in turn used reiteratively to search the CM334 pepper annotated proteins and CDS until no new sequences were found. In this manner, we identified nine ROP GTPase protein coding genes in the CM334 pepper genome. By the same manner, also nine Rop genes were identified in genome of Zunla-1(data not show). The CaRop genes were named according to their clear sequences similarity to Rops from A.thaliana and showed below (Table 1) (Abbal et al., 2007).

Sequences alignment and structure analysis of the nine CaRop genes: As expected, Sequence identity among the open reading frames of nine CaRops ranged from 55.2% to 90.5% at the nucleotide level, and 65.57% to 98.5% similarities at the amino acid sequences. This range of sequences conservation is similar in Arabidopsis ROPs. The CaRops encoded proteins with 197-224 residues, with predicated molecular masses about 21 kDa, and theoretical isoelectric points from 9.1 to 9.3. Except the CaRop11, due to missing the C terminal region results a lower molecular mass and theoretical isoelectric. The amino acid sequences of all CaROPs contain the common characteristics of other plant ROPs, which typically possess the seven functional domains according to previously researches (Zheng & Yang, 2000).

	Table 1. Charac	teristics of the deduced ROP molecular	• GTPases from <i>C. annuun</i> • mass and their closest <i>Ar</i>	n, accession nun abidopsis homole	ber, genome locati ogues.	on, the theoretical pI,	
Gene	Accession number ¹	The genome location	Number of residues (aa)	Pmm ² (kDa)	Theoretical	Arabidopsis closest homologue	Arabidopsis AGI gene
CaRopla	CA00g55680	PGAv.1.5.scaffold1274	197	21.5	9.3	AtROP1	AT3G51300
CaRopIb	CA00g84620	PGAv.1.5.scaffold1817	197	21.4	9.3	AfROP1	AT3G51300
CaRop3a	CA02g05500	PGAv.1.5.scaffold225	197	21.4	9.2	AtROP3	AT2G17800
CaRop3b	CA04g03710	PGAv.1.5.scaffold354	210	23.0	9.1	AtROP3	AT2G17800
CaRop6	CA00g09420	PGAv.1.5.scaffold588	198	21.7	9.4	AtROP6	AT4G35020
CaRop7	CA02g04310	PGAv.1.5.scaffold79	197	21.9	9.3	AtROP7	AT5G45970
CaRop8	CA00g20730	PGAv.1.5.scaffold756	210	23.2	9.3	AtROP8	AT2G44690
CaRop9	CA00g82910	PGAv.1.5.scaffold1774	224	25.2	9.0	AtROP9	AT4G28950
CaRop11	CA03g24880	PGAv.1.5.scaffold781	174	1.61	6.2	AtROP11	AT5G62880
The theoretical pl a	and molecular mass ana	lysis was performed using compu	te pl/Mw tool on (http://web.e.	xpasy.org/compute	pi/).		

1 Indicated the corresponding accession numbers derived from the pepper CM334 annotation CDS (v1.5). 2 Indicated "Predicated molecular mass".

÷.	
E	
ñ	
al.	
G	
E	
Ξ	
Ē	
p	
ite	
00	
let	
ē	
ver	1
é	
nic	1
3	
es,	
50	
s	1
Ē	
Ĩ	
6	
ve	
qe	
La l	
ē	
-	
sey	
nd sev	-
e and sev	-
sue and sev	
tissue and sev	
ch tissue and sev	
each tissue and sev	
on each tissue and sev	
on on each tissue and sev	
ssion on each tissue and sev	
pression on each tissue and sev	
expression on each tissue and sev	
es expression on each tissue and sev	
enes expression on each tissue and sev	
r genes expression on each tissue and sev	
for genes expression on each tissue and sev	
ita for genes expression on each tissue and sev	
data for genes expression on each tissue and sev	
eq data for genes expression on each tissue and sev	
A-seq data for genes expression on each tissue and sev	
NA-seq data for genes expression on each tissue and sev	
. RNA-seq data for genes expression on each tissue and sev	
e 2. RNA-seq data for genes expression on each tissue and sev	
uble 2. RNA-seq data for genes expression on each tissue and sev	

					We extr	acted the corr	esponding.	CaRop g	enes expr	ession val	ue to constru	ict heatmap.					
Gene	Leaf	Root	Stem	PC-6DPA	PC-16DPA	PC-25DPA	PC-MG	PC-B	PC-B5	PC-B10	PL-6DPA	PL-16DPA	PL-25DPA	PL-MG	PL-B	PL-B5	PL-B10
CaRopla	20.0	56.2	52.7	62.5	36.2	40.9	25.1	20.2	19.4	24.2	46.9	45.5	40.8	32.1	30.4	34.4	29.3
CaRop1b	26.0	69.1	58.8	42.3	40.9	28.1	25.5	18.8	21.4	19.0	62.2	50.9	36.5	30.4	27.4	33.0	23.4
CaRop3a	3.3	9.1	13.5	15.0	6.7	6.2	3.3	1.4	1.2	2.3	14.8	2.9	3.9	2.8	3.8	5.2	3.4
CaRop3b	6.7	14.8	15.6	10.1	7.8	7.0	4.8	3.2	4.1	5.0	18.9	18.6	8.6	7.7	7.9	10.0	7.2
CaRop6	35.6	73.2	72.0	171.1	230.2	193.9	116.2	74.5	72.0	95.7	68.7	82.8	70.0	50.1	55.2	100.2	90.5
CaRop7	3.2	45.8	135.9	0.85	3.1	1.1	0.89	0.14	0.0	0.0	6.1	9.7	2.0	0.9	0.2	0.0	0.2
CaRop8	3.1	16.8	29.7	3.7	1.9	2.8	1.0	0.6	0.5	0.1	14.4	8.2	4.1	1.7	1.2	0.5	0.0
CaRop9	7.7	43.9	131.8	19.6	8.6	6.5	3.1	1.8	1.6	0.9	90.5	11.1	2.8	3.8	1.3	0.6	0.0
CaRop11	13.7	32.5	38.3	126.8	122.8	101.7	74.3	41.2	38.2	34.5	27.4	16.4	37.6	21.0	28.6	25.2	19.8

	1 1	1 1	
Genes	Forward primer sequence	Reverse primer sequence	Size (bp)
CaRop1a	5'-GGATGATAAGCAGTTCTTCGT	5'-TGGAGCACGACCTTGATAGCC	167
CaRop1b	5'-CTGCTGGACAGGAGGACTACAAT	5'-CTATTGGGACACCAGGAGCATAG	150
CaRop3a	5'-CGAGATGATAAGCAATTCTTCAC	5'-GACTTTCCTTTCTTTTTTCTTTGC	202
CaRop3b*	5'-TTGCTGCACTTACATCTTTGATGG	5'-TTGAAGCATTGTAATTCGAGCCAA	108
CaRop6	5'-GACCATCCAGGTGCCGTTCCGC	5'-CAAAAACAGCCTTAATATTCTGT	120
CaRop7	5'-TAAGTGATCATCCAGGGGGCTACT	5'-CACCTTAGGGGGGTCGCAATGCT	142
CaRop8	5'-GAGAGGACAAGCAGTTTAGAAGG	5'-GTGTTTTCTTTTTCTGCTTTTTGGA	192
CaRop9	5'-GCTGATCATATGGGGTCAAATATCA	5'-TCTTACTTGCAACTTCCATTCTCCG	180
CaRop11	5'-TAGCATTGTCCTTGGTTAGTCGTG	5'-GTGCAGTGGTGACAGGAACTAAT	177
CaEF1a	5'-CTCCAGGCTGATTGTGCT	5'-GAAGGGCTTGTCTGATGG	340

Table 3. Gene specific primers used for real-time quantitative PCR experiments.

*: Indicate the primer pair target sequence located on 5'-UTR of CaRop3b

A comparison between the amino acid sequences of nine CaROPs reveals that the N-terminal of two GTPase domains (GTPase I and GTPase II), two GDP/GTP binding domain and the effector domain are almost absolutely identical (Fig. 1) which domains corresponding to the effector loop that is thought to be responsible for interaction with GTPase activating proteins. However, most striking differences are the Rho insert region and the C-terminal hypervariable region (or Membrane localization domain). The Rho insert region is unique to ROP subclass and consists of 9-10 amino acid residues that is necessary for interaction with other downstream signaling proteins such as RICs (Rhointeracting CRIB domian containing proteins). CaROPs could be divided into three groups according to the hypervariable region: seven of them contained the geranylgeranylation motif CAAL (C, cysteine; L, leucine; A, aliphatic amino acid), while the others showed a Cterminal region with the CAAX (the terminal X can be any amino acids except for Leucine) farnesylation motif (CaROP9) or with a mutated C-terminal resulted from deletion of the hypervariable region (CaROP11). In addition, all CaROPs contained three putative serine/threonine phosphorylation sites (SSK, SKK and SYR), that have been suggested to interact with receptor like kinases (Trotochaud et al., 1999).

Phylogenetic relationships analysis of ROP GTPases in Capsicum annuum: An analysis of phylogenetic relationships among the nine CaROP and the AtROP family was performed, that was used as a boostrap analysis to construct an unrooted consensus phylogenetic tree (Fig. 2). The resulting tree showed that the nine CaROPs could be classified into four groups corresponding to group , , and in Arabidopsis (Zheng & Yang, 2000). Results shows CaROP8 assigned to group with AtROP8, CaROP7 distributed to group with AtROP7. It is worth noting that CaROP9 and CaROP11 group together with AtROP9, AtROP10 and AtROP11 corresponding to group with different Cterminal sequences. As expected that CaROP1a, CaROP1b, CaROP3a, CaROP3b and CaROP6 contains almost the same C-terminal QKACSIL sequence with AtROP1, AtROP3, AtROP5 and AtROP6 were assigned to the group



Fig. 2. Phylogenetic relationships analysis of the nine *C. annuum* ROP GTPase and eleven *A.thaliana* ROP GTPase. The four groups identified previously in *Arabidopsis* ROP sequences are shown(Zheng & Yang, 2000).

Expression profiles of CaRop genes across different tissues, fruit development and ripening processes: To assess how widespread ROP signaling occurs in pepper growth and fruit development, we first investigated the expression patterns of CaRop genes in different vegetative tissues and at seven crucial stages of pepper fruit development, by reanalyzing the RNA-seq data on each tissue and several development stages, which were deposited on (Kim et al., 2014). Result shows the nine CaRop genes were expressed in all vegetative tissues investigated and displayed preferentially somewhat higher expression level in stem and root than in leaf (Fig. 3). Among them, CaRop7 and CaRop9 were exhibited a higher expression level in stem and root, suggesting a high probability for it to participate the stem and root development processes.



Fig. 3. Expression profiles of *C. annuum Rop* genes across different tissues, fruit development and ripening visualized as heatmap. PC: pericarp, PL: placenta, green fruit stages (6, 16 and 25 DPA), fruit ripening stages (B, B5 and B10), MG: mature green, DPA: day post anthesis, B: breaker.

It is very interesting to determine whether the widespread ROP signaling occurs in pepper fruit development, and if so, whether the different *CaRop* genes were expressed in distinct patterns. As shown in Fig. 3, all *CaRop* genes were expressed in pericarp at most stages of fruit development and displayed a similar developmentally regulated pattern of expression. It is interesting to note that *CaRop6* and *CaRop11* showed a remarkably high expression level at green fruit stages (at 6DPA, 16DPA, 25DPA and MG), and decreased progressively towards fruit ripening (at B, B5 and B10).

To gain deeper insights into whether the ROP signaling occurs in capsaicinoids biosynthesis on the placenta, in which the primary capsaicinoids are produced exclusively in glands on it. As shown in Fig. 3, all *CaRop* genes transcripts on planceta displayed a developmentally regulated expression profile as in pericarp. It is interesting to note that *CaRop9* with a high expression level at 6 DPA, whereas it sharply down-regulated to rarely expressed in subsequent development and ripening stages. Compared with others, *CaRop6* exhibited a higher expression level at green fruit stages (at 6DPA, 16DPA and 25DPA) and further enhanced toward subsequent ripening stages (at B5 and B10), that appears coincide with peaks of capsaicinoids biosynthesis speed and accumulation (B.Estrada *et al.*, 1999).

Expression analysis of *CaRop* genes response to *Ralstonia solanacearum* infection: Plant-specific ROP small GTPases functions as molecular switches in defense signal transduction (Thao *et al.*, 2007). *R. solanacearum*, a Gram-negative necrotrophic pathogen, is classified as one of the most important pepper phytopathogenic bacteria due to its lethality and caused great yields lost (Salanoubat *et al.*, 2002). To test whether *Rop* genes in pepper is involved in the compatible interaction with *R. solanacearum*, we employed real time RT-PCR to investigate the responses of *Rop* genes after inoculated with *R. solanacearum*. As shown in Fig. 4, compared with

the mock plants, *R.solanacearum* infection caused upregulation of only *CaRop3b* (with mild enhancement) while downregulation of other eight *CaRop* genes. Among them, the transcripts for *CaRop1a*, *CaRop1b*, *CaRop3a*, *CaRop6*, *CaRop7* and *CaRop9* were slightly repressed after *R. solanacearum* infection being 3-9 folds lower at 6 and 12 hpi, then enhanced gradually to their ground states. However, the transcripts for *CaRop8* and *CaRop11* were significantly repressed with 3-40 folds lower between 6 and 48 hpi.

Expression of CaRop genes under heat stress conditions: Higher temperature stress is a real problem and becoming one of the major concerns for plant scientists worldwide due to the human activities are substantially adding the existing concentrations of greenhouse gases. In general, an elevation in temperature, usually 10-15°C above ambient, is considered heat stress, which often occurred during July and August in much of the country. Heat stress has devastating effects on plant growth and metabolism, as these processes have their optimum temperature limits in every plant species (Wahid et al., 2007). However, plants have evolved a variety of responses to heat stress in order to minimize damages and maintaining cellular homeostasis. So it was very interesting to determine whether the versatile ROP signaling pathway involved in pepper response to heat stress. As shown in Fig. 5, all CaRops expression were modulated when response to heat stress (at 42°C), caused upregulation of six *CaRop* genes and downregulation of three CaRop genes. It is interesting to note that CaRop3b, CaRop6 and CaRop7 were strongly up-regulated and displayed a similar expression pattern, especially CaRop3b showing a 30 fold induction at 12 hpt. However, the transcript for CaRop9 was repressed, being nearly 5 fold lower at 24 hpt when compared with the control plants.



Fig. 4. Time-course analysis the transcript profiles of CaRop genes when respond to R.solanacearum challenge.

Expression analysis of *CaRop* genes response to hormone treatments: To investigate the possible involvement of *CaRop* genes in signaling pathways utilized by the phytohormones or hormonal controled mechanisms underlying the nine *CaRop* genes expression. We treated pepper seedlings with four phytohormones ABA, NAA, BR and ET, then monitored the transcript abundance of these *CaRop* genes by real time RT-PCR.

To test if CaRop genes were involved in ABA signaling pathway, which serve as important signaling molecules and play crucial roles in controlling the downstream defense expression of genes and physiological reactions against various abiotic stresses (Fujita et al., 2006). Results shown in Fig. 6, the amplitude of transcripts modulation was substantially weaker after ABA treatment, five CaRop genes transcript abundance were enhanced, on the contrary expressions of four CaRop genes were repressed. Among them, CaRop1b, CaRop3b and CaRop7 displayed a similar expression pattern and peaked at 12 hpt with about 3-8 fold enhancement respectively. However, CaRop9 displayed significantly repressed with 3-5 fold lower between 6 and 48 hpt.

When responded to exogenous application of ET, transcripts of four *CaRop* genes were enhanced while five *CaRop* genes were repressed as shown in Fig. 7. The transcripts of *CaRop3b* was enhanced notably and peaked at 24 hpt with 16 fold induction. Whereas, the transcripts of *CaRop7*, *CaRop8* and *CaRop9* were downregulated significantly and shared a similar expression pattern with 4-55 fold lower between 3 and 48 hpt when compared with the control plants.

To further confirm the *CaRop* genes expression when response to auxin treatment, we treated pepper seedlings with NAA and investigated their expression modulation. Results showed in Fig. 8, only *CaRop3b* was repressed, while transcripts of other eight *CaRop* genes were upregulated and exhibited mainly similar expression patterns between 3 and 48 hpt. The eight *CaRop* genes transcripts were slightly upregulated after NAA treatment and being peaked at 6 or 12 hpt with 3-5 fold enhancements, after which returned to their ground states.



Fig. 5. Time-course analysis the transcript profiles of CaRop genes treated by 42°C heat stress.



Fig. 6. Time-course analysis the transcript profiles of CaRop genes when respond to ABA treatment.



Fig. 7. Time-course analysis the expression patterns of *CaRop* genes when sprayed with ethephon.



Fig. 8. Time-course analysis the transcript profiles of CaRop genes respond to NAA treatment.



Fig. 9. Time-course analysis the expression patterns of CaRop genes when treated with EBR.

Expression of *CaRop* genes was also investigated after treatment with 24-epibrassinolide (EBR), a BR. As shown in Fig. 9, two *CaRop* genes (*CaRop3b* and *CaRop9*) were downregulated, while other seven *CaRop* genes were upregulated and yielded mainly similar expression profiles. The transcripts of seven *CaRop* genes were gradually increased and reached their highest expression level at 24 hpt. It is interesting to note that the expressions of *CaRop3a* and *CaRop6* with 14 or 45 fold induction at 24 hpt respectively. However, the transcripts of *CaRop9* was significantly repressed by BR treatment, being 30-170 fold lower between 3 and 48 hpt when compared with the mock plants.

Discussion

The complete genome sequence of pepper recently released shows that, CM334 cultivar has a total of 34 903 protein-coding genes, 337 328 contigs and 37 989 scaffolds whilst, Zunla-1 cultivar contains a total of 35 336 predicated protein-coding genes. Our investigation

shows that both CM334 and Zunla-1 contains nine ROP GTPase protein coding genes according to our bioinformatic analysis of genome sequence obtained from respective database. The number of ROP GTPase protein coding genes in pepper genome corresponds with the number of Rops found in other plant species (Chen *et al.*, 2010; Zheng & Yang, 2000).

Further, phylogenetic assessment reveals that, CaROPs could be categorized into four different phylogenetic groups and were accordingly numbered a manner coinciding with their similarities with *Arabidopsis* ROPs. Subsequent comparative sequence analysis showed that CaROPs are highly conserved and contained seven typical functional domains identified in other plants ROPs (Falchi *et al.*, 2010; Zheng & Yang, 2000). While five out of the seven domains are perfectly conserved, there is however a variation within the Rho insertion region and the C-terminal hypervariable region of CaROPs in group and group .More so, group and group CaROPs exhibites 100% identity with each other and adequately suggests that

these variable domains may be exerting functions different from other CaROPs groups and validates previous knowledge that, the hypervariable region at the C-terminus is required for directing the proteins to specific membrane (Lavy et al., 2002). Our alignents result shows that in exception of group , all CaROPs are terminated with the geranylgeranylation motif CAAL, the target for geranylgeranyl transferase and hence, suggesting that these ROPs are recruited to specific plasma membrane through geranylgeranylation modification. As a result, CaROP11 mutant generated by deletion the hypervariable region of the C-terminal resulted in partial loss of affinity to plasma membrane. It is however, interesting to know that CaROP9 terminated with the CAAX motif, which implicated as acceptor for farnesylation, exert different functions from others.

Possible functions of CaROPs were investigated by studying the expression patterns in different tissues and fruit development processes as carried out for some plant species in others studies (Abbal et al., 2007). Our results showed that, all CaRop genes are expressed but their expression patterns are varied with stem and root exhibiting the highest expression pattern. Individual evaluation of CaRop from gene expression trials shows that, CaRop7 and CaRop9 were most abundant and evidently implicating their possible involvement in the development of stems and roots. During pericarp development, the expression of CaRop6 and CaRop11 were remarkably higher at green stages (at 6DPA, 16DPA, 25DPA and MG), but were downregulated in correlation with maturity and ripening (at B, B5 and B10) and suggests the possible functions of CaRop6 and CaRop11 in pepper fruit development in accordance with similar function of VvRop genes in grape berry development (Abbal et al., 2007). Further more, we investigated the link between expressions of CaRop genes and capsaicinoid biosynthesis during the placenta development and ripening, findings from this investigation showed that, CaRop6 was most abundant during placenta development and was upregulated constitutively towards placenta ripening and also coincides with peaks of capsaicinoids biosynthesisas well as it accumulation. So, we speculated that the higher expression of CaRop6 in placenta could be contributing to capsaicinoid biosynthesis or accumulation. Taken together, these results indicates that the expression of CaRop6, CaRop7, CaRop9 and CaRop11 or it's involved signaling pathways may execute important roles in pepper plant growth, fruit development and capsaicinoid biosynthesis.

Previous reports indicate that *Rop* genes have general roles in disease responses in plants (Moeder *et al.*, 2005; Ono *et al.*, 2001). Furthermore, mild heat stress will promote cultured *B. napus* microspores shift toward embryo production which accompanied with highly expression of some *BnRop* (Chan & Pauls, 2007). In this study, *R.solanacearum* infection caused upregulation of only *CaRop3b* and downregulated the other eight *CaRop* genes, consistent with the *Rop* family members in rice which are known to play multiple roles and has both positive and negative functions in rice blast resistance (Chen et al., 2010). However, heat stress induced upregulation of six CaRop genes while repressing the other three CaRop genes. It is interesting to note that CaRop3b was upregulated by both treatments, implicating that it play a positive role in response to R. solanacearum infection and heat stress. However, the expression of CaRop1b, CaRop3a and CaRop9 were repressed by both treatments and seems to portray it as a negative regulator of resistance to R. solanacearum and heat stress. Taken together, we tentatively proposed that different CaRop genes could function as positive or negative regulators and may function synergistically or antagonistically in R. solanacearum during infection and heat stress, as an adaptive mechanism to fine-tune the host responses in plants.

Several observations suggest that ROP GTPases play a pivotal role in phytohormone signaling, and thus help to coordinate plant growth, development and physiology (Berken, 2006). In this study, expression of *CaRop* genes was affected by hormonal treatments, which is in accordance with regulatory role of ROP GTPases in the regulation of hormones responses and developmental processes (Fu et al., 2008). In this study, treatment with ABA enhanced the abundance of five CaRop genes, while four CaRop genes expression were weakly repressed except the CaRop9, which displayed 3-5 folds lower abundance between 6 and 48 hpt. As regards the response of CaRop9 to ABA, this expression profile, as well as their C-terminal region contain the CAAX farnesylation motif, suggests that CaROP9 is functionally analogous to the AtROP10 which is implicated as a negative regulator of ABA responses in Arabidopsis (Zheng et al., 2002). When exogenous application of ET increased the transcripts of CaRop3b and achieved 16 folds abundance at 24 hpt, while transcripts of CaRop7, CaRop8 and CaRop9 were dramatically repressed with 4-55 folds lower between 3 and 48 hpt. It should be note that both ABA and ET treatment significantly enhanced the abundance of CaRop3b, whereas pronouncedly repressed the expression level of CaRop9. These data suggested an involvement in ABA and ET signaling cascade, especially CaRop3b and CaRop9 have a higher probability be common downstream integrators and may play important roles in both ABA and ET signaling participated cellular responses. Compared with ABA and ET treatment, CaRop genes were commonly upregulated by NAA and BR, except CaRop3b slightly repressed by NAA treatment and BR treatment downregulate the expression of CaRop3b and CaRop9. Marked modulation in expression of CaRop genes after NAA and BR treatment, suggest that these CaROPs participate in cellular processes triggered by auxin and brassinosteriod as reported in other plants (Li et al., 2001; Tao et al., 2002).

In conclusions, the present results are consistent with a regulation the expression of *CaRop* genes by developmental and hormonal signals, as well as by boitic and abiotic stresses. However, *CaRop* genes probably respond to distinct signalings payhways as inferred from their various responses to phytohormones and biotic stress, and some of those may make contributions to pepper fruit development, ripening and capsaicinoid biosynthesis. Based on all the results in this study, we speculated that the functional versatile of CaROP proteins has played a critical role in the development of pepper throughout the entire life cycle of the plant and also contributes to pepper plant response to various stresses.

Conclusion

We identified nine ROP GTPases protein coding genes in the pepper genome, and analyzed their expression patterns for tissues, fruit development and ripening processes. In addition, these genes expression profiles in response to pathogen inoculation and various hormone treatments, as well as heat stress was obtained by real time RT-PCR. To our knowledge, this is the first research showing Rop genes respond to heat stress and ethylene treatment in plants. Also we first described a CaRop seem to be associated with capsaicinoid biosynthesis or accumulation in placenta. These results may lay the foundation for future research to unraveling the widespread ROP signaling and their biological roles.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos.30971718, 31372061) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (NO.XDJK2013A004).

References

- Abbal, P., M. Pradal, F.X. Sauvage, P. Chatelet, S. Paillard, A. Canaguier, A.F. Adam-Blondon and C. Tesniere. 2007. Molecular characterization and expression analysis of the Rop GTPase family in Vitis vinifera. J. Exp. Bot., 58: 2641-2652.
- B. Estrada, F. P., J. Diaz, F. Merino and M.A. Bernal. 1999. Pungency level in fruits of the Padrón pepper with different water supply. *Sci Horti.*, 81: 385-396.
- Berken, A. 2006. ROPs in the spotlight of plant signal transduction. *Cell Mol Life Sci.*, 63: 2446-59.
- Chan, J. and K.P. Pauls. 2007. Brassica napus Rop GTPases and their expression in microspore cultures. *Planta.*, 225: 469-484.
- Chen, L., K. Shiotani, T. Togashi, D. Miki, M. Aoyama, H.L. Wong, T. Kawasaki and K. Shimamoto. 2010. Analysis of the Rac/Rop Small GTPase Family in Rice: Expression, Subcellular Localization and Role in Disease Resistance. *Plant and Cell Physiol.*, 51: 585-595.
- Christensen, T.M., Z. Vejlupkova, Y.K. Sharma, K.M. Arthur, J.W. Spatafora, C.A. Albright, R.B. Meeley, J.P. Duvick, R.S. Quatrano and J.E. Fowler. 2003. Conserved subgroups and developmental regulation in the monocot rop gene family. *Plant Physiol.*, 133: 1791-1808.
- Dang, F.F., Y.N. Wang, L. Yu, T. Eulgem, Y. Lai, Z.Q. Liu, X. Wang, A.L. Qiu, T.X. Zhang, J.H. Lin, Y. S. Chen, D.Y. Guan, H.Y. Cai, S.L. Mou and S.L. He. 2013. CaWRKY40, a WRKY protein of pepper, plays an important role in the regulation of tolerance to heat stress and resistance to Ralstonia solanacearum infection. *Plant Cell Environ.*, 36: 757-774.
- Falchi, R., G. Cipriani, T. Marrazzo, A. Nonis, G. Vizzotto and B. Ruperti. 2010. Identification and differential expression dynamics of peach small GTPases encoding genes during fruit development and ripening. J. Exp. Bot., 61: 2829-2842.

- Fischer, U., Y. Ikeda, K. Ljung, O. Serralbo, M. Singh, R. Heidstra, K. Palme, B. Scheres and M. Grebe. 2006. Vectorial information for Arabidopsis planar polarity is mediated by combined AUX1, EIN2, and GNOM activity. *Curr. Biol.*, 16: 2143-9.
- Fu, Y., Y. Gu, Z.L. Zheng, G. Wasteneys and Z.B. Yang. 2005. Arabidopsis interdigitating cell growth requires two antagonistic pathways with opposing action on cell morphogenesis. *Cell.*, 120: 687-700.
- Fu, Y., T. Kawasaki, K. Shimamoto and Z.B. Yang. 2008. ROP/RAC GTPases. Ann Plant Rev., 33: 64-99.
- Fujita, M., Y. Fujita, Y. Noutoshi, F. Takahashi, Y. Narusaka, K. Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and K. Shinozaki. 2006. Crosstalk between abiotic and biotic stress responses: a current view from the points of convergence in the stress signaling networks. *Curr. Opin in Plant Biol.*, 9: 436-442.
- Hai, Li., W. Doreen, R. Keith-Davis and Z.B. Yang. 1998. Arabidopsis pho-related GTPases: differential gene expression in pollen and polar localization in fission yeast. *Plant Physiol.*, 118: 407-417.
- Hassanain, H.H., Y.K. Sharma, L. Moldovan, V. Khramtsov, L.J. Berliner, J.P. Duvick and P.J. Goldschmidt-Clermont. 2000. Plant rac proteins induce superoxide production in mammalian cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res Commun.*, 272: 783-788.
- Jones, M.A. 2002. The arabidopsis Rop2 GTPase is a positive regulator of both root hair initiation and tip growth. *Plant Cell.*, 14: 763-776.
- Jones, M.A., M.J. Raymond, Z.B. Yang and N. Smirnoff. 2007. NADPH oxidase-dependent reactive oxygen species formation required for root hair growth depends on ROP GTPase. J. Exp. Bot., 58: 1261-1270.
- Kim, S., M. Park, S.I.Yeom, Y.M. Kim and J.M. Lee. 2014. Genome sequence of the hot pepper provides insights into the evolution of pungency in *Capsicum* species. *Nat. Genet.*, 46: 270-280.
- Lavy, M., K. Bracha-Drori, H. Sternberg and S. Yalovsky. 2002. A cell-specific, prenylation- independent mechanism regulates targeting of type II RACs. *Plant Cell.*, 14: 2431-2450.
- Li, H., J.J. Shen, Z.L. Zheng, Y. Lin and Z.B. Yang. 2001. The Rop GTPase switch controls multiple developmental processes in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.*, 126: 670-684.
- Li, L., J. Xu, Z.H. Xu and H.W. Xue. 2005. Brassinosteroids stimulate plant tropisms through modulation of polar auxin transport in Brassica and Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell.*, 17: 2738-2753.
- Livak, K.J. and T.D. Schmittgen. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods*, 25: 402-408.
- Moeder, W., K. Yoshioka and D.F. Klessig. 2005. Involvement of the small GTPase Rac in the defense responses of tobacco to pathogens. *Mol Plant Microbe Inter.*, 18: 116-124.
- Nemhauser, J.L., F. Hong and J. Chory. 2006. Different plant hormones regulate similar processes through largely nonoverlapping transcriptional responses. *Cell.*, 126: 467-475.
- Ono, E., H.L. Wong, T. Kawasaki, M. Hasegawa, O. Kodama and K. Shimamoto. 2001. Essential role of the small GTPase Rac in disease resistance of rice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.*, 98: 759-764.
- Qin, C., C. Yu, Y. Shen, X. Fang, L. Chen, J. Min and J. Cheng. 2014. Whole-genome sequencing of cultivated and wild peppers provides insights into Capsicum domestication and specialization. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U* S A., 111: 5135-5140.

- Salanoubat, M., S. Genin, F. Artiguenave, J. Gouzy, S. Mangenot and M. Arlat. 2002. Genome sequence of the plant pathogen Ralstonia solanacearum. *Nature*, 415: 497-502.
- Schultheiss, H., C. Dechert, K.H. Kogel and R. Huckelhoven. 2003. Functional analysis of barley RAC/ROP G-protein family members in susceptibility to the powdery mildew fungus. *Plant J.*, 36: 589-601.
- Sturn, A., J. Quackenbush and Z. Trajanoski. 2002. Genesis: cluster analysis of microarray data. *Bioinformatics.*, 18: 207-208.
- Tamura, K., D. Peterson, N. Peterson, G. Stecher, M. Nei and S. Kumar. 2011. MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, 28: 2731-2739.
- Tao, L.Z., A.Y. Cheung and H.M. Wu. 2002. Plant Rac-like GTPases are activated by auxin and mediate auxinresponsive gene expression. *Plant Cell.*, 14: 2745-2760.
- Thao, N.P., L.Chen, A. Nakashima, S. Hara, K. Umemura, A. Takahashi, K. Shirasu, T. Kawasaki and K. Shimamoto. 2007. RAR1 and HSP90 form a complex with Rac/Rop GTPase and function in innate-immune responses in rice. *Plant Cell.*, 19: 4035-4045.
- Thompson, J.D., D.G. Higgins and T.J. Gibson. 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 22: 4673-80.

- Trotochaud, A.E., T. Hao, G. Wu, Z.B. Yang and S.E. Clark. 1999. The CLAVATA1 receptor-like kinase requires CLAVATA3 for its assembly into a signaling complex that includes KAPP and a Rho-related protein. *Plant Cell.*, 11: 393-406.
- Vernoud, V., A.C. Horton, Z.B. Yang and E. Nielsen. 2003. Analysis of the small GTPase gene superfamily of Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.*, 131: 1191-208.
- Wahid, A., S. Gelani, M. Ashraf and M. Foolad. 2007. Heat tolerance in plants: An overview. *Environ and Exp Bot.*, 61: 199-223.
- Xin, Z., Y. Zhao and Z.L. Zheng. 2005. Transcriptome analysis reveals specific modulation of abscisic acid signaling by ROP10 small GTPase in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.*, 139: 1350-1365.
- Xu, T., M. Wen, S. Nagawa, Y. Fu, J.G. Chen, M.J. Wu, C. Perrot-Rechenmann, J. Friml, A.M. Jones and Z.B. Yang. 2010. Cell surface and rho GTPase-based auxin signaling controls cellular interdigitation in Arabidopsis. *Cell.*, 143: 99-110.
- Yang, Z.B. 2002. Small GTPases: versatile signaling switches in plants. *Plant Cell.*, 14 Suppl: S375-S388.
- Zheng, Z.L., M. Nafisi, A. Tam, H. Li, D.N. Crowell, S.N. Chary, J.I. Schroeder, J. Shen and Z.B. Yang. 2002. Plasma membrane-associated ROP10 small GTPase is a specific negative regulator of abscisic acid responses in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell.*, 14: 2787-2797.
- Zheng, Z.L. and Z.B. Yang. 2000. The Rop GTPase: an emerging signaling switch in plants. *Plant Mol. Biol.*, 44: 1-9.

(Received for publication 12 November 2014)