# GENETIC MONITORING OF INTROGRESSED ALLELES FROM *GOSSYPIUM ARBOREUM* L. INTO *G. HIRSUTUM* L. USING SSR MARKERS: A POTENTIAL APPROACH FOR BRINGING NEW ALLELES UNDER CULTIVATION

ZAINAB RAHMAT<sup>1</sup>, SAGHIR AHMAD<sup>2</sup>, ZIA ULLAH ZIA<sup>3</sup> AND MEHBOOB-UR-RAHMAN<sup>1\*</sup>

 <sup>1\*</sup>Plant Genomics & Molecular Breeding (PGMB) Lab, National Institute for Biotechnology & Genetic Engineering (NIBGE), Jhang Road Faisalabad, Pakistan
 <sup>2</sup>Cotton Research Institute (CRI), Ayub Agricultural Research Institute (AARI), Faisalabad, Pakistan
 <sup>3</sup>Cotton Research Station, Multan.
 \*Corresponding author's email: mehboob\_pbd@yahoo.com

## Abstract

In the present investigation, a BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> population was developed from a cross [(2 *G. arboreum*) *G. hirsutum*] for transferring resistance to cotton leaf curl disease (CLCuD) from *G. arboreum* into *G. hirsutum*. Both parent species were surveyed with 156 SSR markers, and 19 SSRs were found polymorphic amplifying 81 alleles. Out of these, 31 alleles were informative (nine derived from *G. arboreum* while 24 derived from *G. hirsutum*). Four high yielding BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> selected plants were surveyed with these polymorphic SSRs. Two SSR markers (JESPR-156-closely linked with QTLs conferring resistance to CLCuD and MGHES-15-closely linked with genes involved in shaping plant architecture) showed introgression of alleles derived from *G. arboreum* into *G. hirsutum* (BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub>). One novel allele (not derived from either of the parent species) was also amplified in one of the BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> plants, possibly generated due to structural changes in cotton genome after polyploidization, or colchicine treatment/ovule culture. These genetic introgressions monitored through DNA markers from alien sources into cultivated gene pool can buffer the potential risks of diseases and the changing environment.

Key words: Introgression, SSRs, Novel allele, Gossypium

## Introduction

Cotton, a source of natural lint, sustains textile industry worldwide—generates 550 billion US\$. Sustainable cotton production is largely challenged by a number of biotic and abiotic factors (Rahman *et al.*, 2012; Rahman, 2016). Among these, cotton leaf curl disease (CLCuD) is the most detrimental that suppress cotton production in Pakistan by approximately two million bales annually (Rajagopalan *et al.*, 2012; Nazeer *et al.*, 2014; Rahman *et al.*, 2014). This disease has been spread to other major cotton growing countries like India and China (Cai *et al.*, 2010: Singh *et al.*, 2013). The disease is also a potential threat for those regions where whitefly is a major or minor pest.

Several control measures were adapted to combat the disease however; development of resistant cotton varieties was found the most effective way to control this disease (Rahman et al., 2005; Abbas et al., 2015). A number of cotton varieties conferring resistance to this disease were released in Pakistan since the first epidemic observed in early 1990s (Rahman et al., 2005; Ahmad et al., 2012). This resistance was derived from two cotton genotypes LRA-5166 and CP-15/2, and two resistant and one suppressor genes were involved in conferring resistance to the disease (Rahman et al., 2005). This resistance was broken down by the emergence of a new cotton leaf curl virus (CLCV) strain, appeared in Burewala region of Pakistan in 2001 (Briddon, 2003; Mansoor et al., 2006; Akhtar et al., 2010; Amrao et al., 2010). A number of reports are available for developing genetically engineered cotton conferring resistance to CLCuD but none of these has been commercialized (Rahman et al., 2016).

Gossypium arboreum L. (till now) is immune and or highly resistant to all naturally occurring viral strains (including Burewala) causing leaf curl disease (Rahman *et al.*, 2002, 2005; Iqbal *et al.*, 2015). Thus attempts were made to introgress alleles conferring resistance to the disease from *G. arboreum* into *G. hirsutum* using conventional means (Zhang *et al.*, 2009; Maqbool *et al.*, 2010; Nazeer *et al.*, 2014; Rahman *et al.*, 2014; Rahman, 2016). However, linkage drags of negatively linked traits ramined a major challenge in introgressing resistance in *G. hirsutm* varieties. Resistance is often lost when selections like adapted parent genotype were made in succeeding backcross populations.

These concerns can be partly addressed using DNA markers for monitoring the introgression of alleles originating from the donor parent (G. arboreum) in backcross populations. In recent studies, alleles from Gossypium australe and Gossypium stocksii were introgressed in G. hirsutum (Chen et al., 2014; Nazeer et al., 2014). In the resultant progenies, fluctuations in expression of the desired traits (resistance to CLCuD) were noticed. DNA markers associated with resistance to the disease are not mapped (using G. arboreum as a donor parent). Use of DNA markers can help in selecting plants containing the maximum number of alleles from G. arboreum while keeping intact the desirable traits in succeeding backcross populations, thereby accelerating the breeding process (Ulloa et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012).

A number of microsatellites markers mapped to chromosomes are available (Yu *et al.*, 2011, 2012). These markers can be utilized for monitoring the introgressed parts of genomes derived from *G. arboreum* to minimize losing genomic regions involved in conferring resistance to disease. In this study, we selected markers evenly mapped on all possible chromosomes to ensure maximum genome coverage and reduce sampling errors (Liu *et al.*, 2000; Nguyen *et al.*, 2004; Guo *et al.*, 2007; Yu *et al.*, 2012).

Thus objective of the present study was to track the introgressed alleles from *G. arboreum* into selected advanced generations of the [ $(2 \ G. arboreum) \ G.$  *hirsutum*] BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> with the aim to introduce the cotton leaf curl disease resistance trait.

## **Materials and Methods**

**Developing backcross progenies:** The BC<sub>1</sub> progenies were produced in 2011 by backcrossing of F<sub>1</sub> CLCuDresistant plants (having *G. arboreum* as one of the parent genotype) with *G. hirsutum* and were sown in the cotton field of Cotton Research Station in Multan, Pakistan. Successive backcrossing with *G. hirsutum* (2012-2015) was attemped to raise BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> population. The asymptomatic plants showing normal phenotype were selected to perform backcrosses with *G. hirsutum*. The plant progenies showing minor disease symptoms were rejected. The schematic representation of backcross population development is given in Fig. 1.

Screening of backcross population against CLCuD resistance: The resistance /susceptibility to the disease was evaluated using disease scoring scale by examining leaves of each plant, assigned a score using 0 to 4 disease scale (Rahman, unpublished data). While a score '0' assigned to asymptomatic (extremely resistant) plants, '1' for resistant individuals, '2' for tolerant, '3' for susceptible individuals and '4' for highly susceptible plants. Disease scoring data were used to calculate CV (coefficient of variance) with the JMP 5.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, 5.0 PC version) statistical program at 95% confidence level. The yield data and fiber quality traits (GOT, staple length, micronnaire and fiber strength) of all the population were also recorded.

**DNA extraction and microsatellite analysis:** Parental genotypes of *G. arboreum* species (Mollisoni) and *G. hirsutum* (MNH-886) along with their four selected progenies having higher yield and resistance to CLCuD at BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> generation were collected from Cotton Research Station (CRS) Multan and stored at -80°C at the National Institute for Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering (NIBGE) Faisalabad, Pakistan. Genomic DNA was extracted using the CTAB method (Iqbal *et al.*, 1997). DNA concentration was measured using Thermo Scientific Nanodrop 2000, USA. Quality of the genomic DNA was tested on 0.8 % agarose gel (Rahman *et al.*, 2002).

Molecular analysis was conducted on parental species (*G. arboreum* and *G. hirsutum*) by surveying 156 SSR markers of JESPR, BNL, STV, SHIN, MONCERO, NAU and MGHES series (available in the Plant Genomics and Molecular Breeding lab, NIBGE). These SSR contained 2-10 nucleotide repeat motif. Out of these, 19 primer pairs were found polymorphic and four selected plants of BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> generation were screened with these polymorphic SSRs to monitor the introgression of *G. arboreum* alleles. Data of these SSRs including their polymorphic alleles and mapping positions on different chromosomes is given in Table 1.

The PCR amplification was performed in a BIO-RAD C1000 Touch Thermal Cycler. The high fidelity Tag DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific, USA) was utilized for amplifying the genomic DNA in the PCR. Total reaction mixture of 20 µl contained 0.25 mM dNTPs each, 1x Thermo Taq buffer, 2.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.15 µM primers, one unit Taq DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific USA). Initial denaturation in programming of thermal cycle was carried out for 5 min at 95°C for 1 cycle and 35 cycles each of 94°C for 30 s for denaturation, 50°C primer annealing for 30 s and extension at 72°C for 30 s. The final extension at 72°C was for 10 min. The PCR products were size-fractionated on 6% polyacrylamide gels, visualized via ethidium bromide staining and the gel image was captured using UVP GelDoc-It<sup>2</sup> imager. The PCR reaction was repeated twice to ensure consistency of the amplification.

Scoring of Data and statistical Analysis: Gossypium arboreum (var Mollisoni), G. hirsutum (MNH-786) and four  $BC_4F_2$  selected plants were investigated using SSR primer pairs. We also calculated the average allele size, and banding profile. The size of the all amplified fragments was estimated by comparing with a 50-bp DNA marker (Thermo Scientific, USA). Null allele was scored for the genotypes which did not amplify a fragment under the given optimized conditions. We also calculated number of amplified alleles in each plant/genotype regardless of the polymorphism. The polymorphic alleles were also counted. The scoring data of all the amplified alleles by polymorphic SSRs is given in Table 1. Following formula was used to calculate the cross-species transferability rate (introgression).

% of introgression = <u>No. of *G. arboreum* alleles amplified</u> x 100

**BLASTn analysis:** Sequence comparison was performed for the introgressing marker MGHES-15 using BLASTn tool with standard search statistics alignment with DNA sequences of all other cotton species to find the homology. It showed 100% homology with *G. hirsutum* (with 2e-92 E value), 98% with *G. arboreum* (6e-87) and 95% with *G. raimondii* (5e-73) vacuole membrane protein KMS1 encoding genes.

### Results

**Cotton leaf curl virus disease resistance response in BC4F2 population:** Resistant, moderately tolerant and susceptible plants were recognized based on severity of disease symptoms (Fig. 2). Coefficient of variance (CV) (at a 95% confidence level) showed the presence of significant variation for disease response among the total plants under study. Out of the 500 BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> plants, a total of four asymptomatic and high yielding cotton plants were selected to monitor the introgression of *G. arboreum* allele. It is worth mentioning that only the cotton plants having breeding values (agronomically desirable) were selected for genomic analysis. The other plants were rejected. A number of morphological characters were also observed. Few of the plants showed phenotypic resemblance with *G. arboreum* and also with *G. hirsutum* (Fig. 3).

S. No.	Marker	Chr. location	<i>G. arboreum</i> alleles(bp)	<i>G. hirsutum</i> alleles(bp)	BC4F2 Progeny-1(bp)	BC4F2 Progeny- 2(bn)	BC4F2 Progeny- 3(bn)	BC4F2 Progeny- 4(hn)
1.	MONCERO-00145	8	0	130	130	130	130	130
			120	120	120	120	120	120
			0	100	100	100	100	100
2.	MONCERO-00057	8	120	0	0	0	0	0
3.	MONCERO-00061	8	155	155	155	155	155	155
			0	130	130	130	130	130
4.	SHIN-940	8	0	190	190	190	190	190
			195	0	0	0	0	0
5.	JESPR-156	14	110	0	110	0	0	0
			0	85	0	85	85	85
			0	80	80	80	80	80
6.	BNL-3280	18/20	210	210	210	210	210	210
			0	0	0	0	215	0
			0	260	260	260	260	260
7.	JESPR-304	2	210	0	0	0	0	0
			0	200	200	200	200	200
			0	190	190	190	190	190
8.	JESPR-131	8	135	0	0	0	0	0
			0	150	150	150	150	150
			0	155	155	0	155	155
9.	BNL-2634	16	0	200	200	200	200	200
			250	250	250	250	250	250
10.	BNL-834	17	125	125	125	125	125	125
			0	150	150	150	150	150
11.	BNL-116	26	140	0	0	0	0	0
			0	150	150	150	150	150
			0	160	160	160	160	160
12.	BNL-448	22	100	100	100	100	100	100
			190	190	190	190	190	190
			205	205	205	205	205	205
			0	210	210	210	210	210
13.	BNL-3255	8	0	250	250	250	250	250
			300	300	300	300	300	300
			340	0	0	0	0	0
			0	360	360	360	360	360
			400	400	400	400	400	400
14.	BNL-4095	15	200	200	200	200	200	200
			0	210	210	210	210	210
15.	BNL-2967	12	150	150	150	150	150	150
			0	160	160	160	160	160
16.	STV-80	13	0	245	245	245	245	245
			250	250	250	250	250	250
17.	MONCERO-0105	8	145	145	145	145	145	145
			0	155	155	155	155	155
			170	0	0	0	0	0
18.	MGHES-15	5	200	0	200	0	0	0
			0	190	190	190	190	190
			0	180	180	180	180	180
10	NAU-5467	14	0	240	240	240	240	240
19.			200	200	200	200	200	200

 Table 1. Amplified alleles (bp size) by G. arboreum var Mollisoni and G. hirsutum var MNH-886 parental species and selected four BC4F2 progenies by polymorphic primers with their chromosomal mapped position.

Common alleles amplified in both genotypes= 15; Informative alleles (polymorphic in either of the genotype)=34; Novel allele (not present in either of the genotypes)=1; Introgression in progeny-1=5.26%





Fig. 1. Schematic representation of pedigree development for backcross population of *G. arboreum* var Mollisoni and *G. hirsutum* var MNH-886.

Fig. 2. Frequency of Cotton leaf curl disease scores in the  $BC_4F_2$  population showing a normal distribution of phenotypic variation for the trait.



Fig. 3. Comparative pictures of G. arboreum var Mollisoni and G. hirsutum var MNH-886 with four selected BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> progenies.

**Microsatellite analysis for introgression:** The genomic DNA of parent genotypes, i.e. *G. arboreum* var Mollisoni and *G. hirsutum* var MNH-886 along with their four progenies at  $BC_4F_2$  generation were genotyped using publicly available SSR markers representing different series including JESPR, BNL, SHIN, SSRs, NAU and EST-SSR such as MGHES and STV. Out of the 156 SSRs (amplified 191 alleles), 19 were found polymorphic, amplified 81 alleles. Out of these, nine alleles were amplified in *G. arboreum* which were used for tracking the introgression of *G. arboreum* alleles into the four selected  $BC_4F_2$  progenies. Two alleles amplified

by JESPR-156 and MGHES-15 in *G. arboreum* were only detected in one of the BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> progenies (Fig. 4a and Fig. 4b). Amplification of these two alleles in this particular plant suggested that introgression rate from *G. arboreum* to *G. hirsutum* is ~5.2 %. It is worth mentioning that this plant was found asymptomatic to the disease. The marker JESPR-156 was mapped on chromosome # 14 of *G. hirsutum* (Yu *et al.*, 2012) in close proximity of disease resistance QTLs and marker MGHES-15 showed 100% homology with vacuole membrane protein KMS1 encoding genes by BLAST n analysis (Fig. 5).



Fig. 4a. Amplification profile of *G. arboreum* var Mollisoni and *G. hirsutum* var MNH-886 parental genotypes and four  $BC_4F_2$  progenies by introgressing markers MGHES-15. Lane M = 50 bp DNA ladder, Lane 1, 7 = *G. arboreum* var Mollisoni, Lane 2, 8 = *G. hirsutum* var MNH-886, Lane 3 =  $BC_4F_2$  progeny-1, Lane 4 =  $BC_4F_2$  progeny-2, Lane 5 =  $BC_4F_2$  progeny-3, Lane 6 =  $BC_4F_2$  progeny-4. Lane 3 = introgression of 200 bp allele from *G. arboreum* var Mollisoni in  $BC_4F_2$  progeny-1.

Fig. 4b. Amplification profile of *G. arboreum* var Mollisoni and *G. hirsutum* var MNH-886 parental genotypes and four BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> progenies by introgressing markers JESPR-156. Lane M = 50 bp DNA ladder, Lane 9, 15 = G. *arboreum* var Mollisoni, Lane 10, 16 = G. *hirsutum* var MNH-886, Lane 11 = BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> progeny-1, Lane 12 = BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> progeny-2, Lane 13 = BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> progeny-3, Lane 14 = BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> progeny-4. Lane 11 = introgression of 110 bp allele from *G. arboreum* var Mollisoni in same BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> progeny-1.



Fig. 5. Marker JESPER-156 (revealed introgression) and nearby markers reported as QTLs against various pathogens; RKN= Root knot nematode; FW= Fusarium wilt; VW= Verticillium wilt



Fig. 6. Amplification of a novel allele by marker BNL-3280. Lane M = 50 bp DNA ladder, Lane 1= *G. arboreum* var Mollisoni, Lane 2= *G. hirsutum* var MNH-886, Lane 3= BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> progeny-1, Lane 4= BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> progeny-2, Lane 5= BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> progeny-3, Lane 6= BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> progeny-4. Lane 5= novel allele of 215 bp in BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> progeny-3, not amplified by any of the parental genotypes, Lane 6= negative control without DNA template.

In cotton, availability of DNA markers (functional or structural) with high allelic variability which are transferable to other cotton species is important for undertaking genetic analysis. For example such markers can help in conducting QTL mapping, comparative mapping, gene-flow and allelic profile studies in cotton (Tabbasam *et al.*, 2014). In this study, each of the alleles amplified by JESPR-156 and MGHES-15 in *G. arboreum*  was introgressed in one of the  $BC_4F_2$  plants showing the low introgression rate of donor genome in succeeding backcross populations. An interesting finding of the present investigation is the identification of a novel allele (215 bp long, not amplified in both the parental genotypes) by marker BNL-3280 in one of the selected  $BC_4F_2$  plants (Fig. 6).

### Discussion

The genetic diversity of cultivated tetraploid cotton (G. hirsutum) against CLCuD can be widened by exploiting G. arboreum as a donor parent, a well-known resistant source against various diseases (Wang et al., 2012; Nazeer et al., 2014). However, due to genome incompatibilities introgression of G. arboreum disease resistant genes into G. hirsutum and in their successive populations backcross require advanced strict monitoring which is quite difficult by conventional means. Here we report SSR markers revealing introgression of G. arboreum alleles into advanced backcross BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> populations. Most of the markers used in this study were mapped on different chromosomes (Yu et al., 2011; Yu et al., 2012). The advantage of surveying with the already mapped markers is that the over representation of certain genomic regions can be

avoided. The rate of introgression of *G. arboreum* alleles in  $BC_4F_2$  progenies is low primarily due to crossing of the recurrent genotype (MNH-886) several times. Secondly, plants in each generation were selected like the recurrent parent for attempting the next backcross. This practice eliminates or reduces the genomic regions introgressed from the donor parent.

It was found that several markers associated with resistance to different diseases including root knot nematodes, Fusarium wilt and verticillium wilt and have been reported in flanking region of JESPR-156 marker that revealed introgression of G. arboreum in BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> progeny. The marker JESPR-156 introgressed successfully in one of the asymptomatic plants was mapped on chromosome # 14 of G. hirsutum (Yu et al., 2012) which was 9.6 cM distantly apart from the marker NAU-5467 (associated with QTL conferring resistance to Verticilum wilt) (Wang et al., 2014, Zhang et al., 2015) and found non segregating in this population. Thus this region needs to be explored at length for cloning disease resistant genes. The second marker (derived from EST) was not mapped on chromosomes of either of the cotton species. After doing sequence comparisons, this marker was mapped on chromosome #5 of G. raimondii. The BLASTn alignment of this sequence showed a significant homology with genes involved in encoding vacuole membrane protein KMS1 of Gossypium. In most plant species, these proteins have conserved sequence and are possibly involved in plant secretary pathway, maintenance of ER integrity and in the organization or functioning of ER/Golgi interface for plant cell architecture, vacuolar trafficking thus central to endomembrane fusion (Wang et al., 2011). In a recent study, QTLs associated with improved fiber traits in this region were identified (Iqbal & Rahman 2017). Introgressed alleles in one of the four selected plants make it the best target for advancing the generation leading towards the development of new cotton variety and or for future breeding purposes.

Since MGHES-15 has been derived from EST-SSR, and this locus is evolutionary conserved which may have role in development of cotton plant architecture. It is worth mentioning that all these markers have been derived from EST-SSRs and SSRs of *G. hirsutum*, and are successfully amplified in both the cotton species. Transferability between different species has also been demonstrated in several crop species (Kuleung *et al.*, 2004; Saha *et al.*, 2004) and also in the genus *Gossypium* (Tabbasam *et al.*, 2014).

An interesting finding of the present investigation was the amplification of novel allele in the advanced backcross population by marker BNL-3280 (linked with fiber elongation QTLs, Shen *et al.*, 2005). There can be several possible explanations for amplification of this allele. Cross pollination (5-10% in Pakistan dominantly done by honey bee) may increase the likelihood of contamination by the other genotypes growing nearby cotton field (Rahman & Zafar 2010). However, in the present study, controlled pollination was carried outhence chances of contamination by cross pollination were negligible. Secondly, cotton genome may undergo probable structural changes due to transposition or translocations after genome doubling (by colchicine) and

interspecific hybridization which may generate new alleles in the progenies. Such commonalities have been reported in other crops including Brassica and synthetic wheat where quick but dynamic fluctuations in genome structure, including non-additive transferability of genomic regions and genome-specific sequence deletion were reported (Liu et al., 1998, Chen & Ni 2006). Contrary to this, tolerance to these genomic changes after genome doubling and interspecific hybridization was reported in cotton (Liu et al., 2001). In another report, newly resynthesized allopolyploid Brassica napus maintained at least one locus methylated (in parental state) while modification in the second locus resulted in the evolution of a novel allele (Lukens et al., 2004, 2006). This interpretation is consistent with highly repetitive genome of cotton (Paterson et al., 2012; Li et al., 2015). Thus it is suggested that evolution of a novel allele during the process of resynthesizing of allotetraploid cotton is possible like many other crops in advanced BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> generations. Further studies are needed to establish this fact in cotton.

## Conclusion

It is concluded that there is possibility of transferring CLCuD resistant genes from *G. arboreum* to *G. hirsutum*. Moreover, DNA markers are useful in monitoring the introgression of alleles/genomic regions originating from the wild species into the cultivated species—thus concern of linkage drag and high genetic similarity can overcome. Also, resynthesizing allotetraploid cotton by hybridizing two ancestral species may produce novel alleles which can enhance the genetic diversity. The informative SSR markers identified in the present study will be useful for initiating marker-assisted breeding in future—a step towards sustaining fiber security.

## Acknowledgements

We cordially acknowledge US Department of Agriculture (USDA) for financial support under agreement No.58-6402-0-178F through two subprojects entitled "Germplasm Evaluation (ICARDA-ID-1198-5 and Gene Mapping (ICARDA-ID-1198-6)".

#### References

- Abbas, M., M.A. Iqbal, M. Rahman and A.H. Paterson. 2015. Estimating genetic diversity among selected cotton genotypes and the identification of DNA markers associated with resistance to cotton leaf curl disease. *Turk. J. Bot.* 39: 1033-1041.
- Ahmad, S., A. Hussain, M. Hanif, K. Mahmood, W. Nazeer, A. Mahmood, N. Ul-Islam, W. Malik, A. Qayyum and K. Hanif. 2012. CRSM- 38, a new high yielding coupled with CLCuV tolerance cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) variety. *Afr. J. Biotech.*, 11: 4368-4677.
- Akhtar, K., S. Haidar, M. Khan, M. Ahmad, N. Sarwar, M.A. Murtaza and M. Aslam. 2010. Evaluation of *Gossypium* species for resistance to cotton leaf curl Burewala virus. *Ann. Appl. Biol.*, 157: 135-147.
- Amrao, L., S. Akhter, M.N. Tahir, I. Amin, R.W. Briddon and S. Mansoor. 2010. Cotton leaf curl disease in Sindh province

of Pakistan is associated with recombinant begomovirus components. Virus Res., 153: 161-165.

- Briddon, R.W. 2003. Cotton leaf curl disease, a multi component begomovirus complex. *Mol. Plant Pathol.*, 4: 427-434.
- Cai, J.H., K. Xie, L. Lin, B.X. Qin, B.S. Chen, J.R. Meng, Y.L. Liu. 2010. Cotton leaf curl Multan virus newly reported to be associated with cotton leaf curl disease in China. *Plant Pathol.*, 59: 794-795.
- Chen, Y., Y. Wang, K. Wang, X. Zhu, W. Guo, T. Zhang and B. Zhou. 2014. Construction of a complete set of alien chromosome addition lines from Gossypium australe in *Gossypium hirsutum:* morphological, cytological, and genotypic characterization. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 127: 1105-1121.
- Chen, Z.J. and Z. Ni. 2006. Mechanisms of genomic rearrangements and gene expression changes in plant polyploids. *Bioessays*, 28(3): 240-252.
- Guo, W., C. Cai, C. Wang, Z. Han, X. Song, K. Wang, X. Niu, C. Wang, K. Lu, B. Shi and T. Zhang. 2007. A microsatellite-based, gene-rich linkage map reveals genome structure, function and evolution in Gossypium. *Genetics* 176: 527-541. PMID: 17409069.
- Iqbal, M.A., A. Abbas, Y. Zafar and M. Rahman. 2015. Characterization of indigenous Gossypium arboreum L. genotypes for various fiber quality traits. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 47(6): 2347-54.
- Iqbal, M.A. and M. Rahman. 2017. Identification of marker-trait associations for lint traits in cotton. *Front. Plant Sci.*, 8:86. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00086.
- Iqbal, M.J., N. Aziz, N.A. Saeed, Y. Zafar and K.A. Malik. 1997. Genetic diversity evaluation of some elite cotton varieties by RAPD analysis. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 94(1): 139-144. doi: DOI 10.1007/s001220050392.
- Kuleung, C., P.S. Baenziger and I. Dweikat. 2004. Transferability of SSR markers among wheat, rye and triticale. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 108: 1147-1150.
- Li, F., G. Fan, C. Lu, G. Xiao, C. Zou, R.J. Kohel, Z. Ma, H. Shang, X. Ma, J. Wu, X. Liang, G. Huang, R.G. Percy, K. Liu, W. Yang, W. Chen, X. Du, C. Shi, Y. Yuan, W. Ye, X. Liu, H. Wei, S. Wei, G. Huang, X. Zhang, S. Zhu, H. Zhang, F. Sun, X. Wang, J. Liang, J. Wang, Q. He, L. Huang, J. Wang, J. Cui, G. Song, K. Wang, X. Xu, J.Z. Yu, Y. Zhu and S. Yu. 2015. Genome sequence of cultivated Upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* TM-1) provides insights into genome evolution. *Nature Biotechnol.*, 33(5): 524-530.
- Liu, B., C.L. Brubaker, G. Mergeai, R.C. Cronn and J.F. Wendel. 2001. Polyploid formation in cotton is not accompanied by rapid genomic changes. *Genome*, 44: 321-330.
- Liu, B., J.M. Vega and M. Feldman. 1998. Rapid genomic changes in newly synthesized amphiploids of Triticum and Aegilops. II. Changes in low-copy coding DNA sequences. *Genome*, 41: 535-542.
- Liu, S., R.G. Cantrell, J.R. McCarty and J. McD Stewart 2000. Simple sequence repeat based assessment of genetic diversity in cotton race stock Accessions. *Crop Sci.*, 40: 1459-1469.
- Lukens, L., P.A. Quijada, J. Udall, J.C. Pires, M.E. Schranz and T. Osborn. 2004. Genome redundancy and plasticity within ancient and recent Brassica crop species. *Biol. J. Linn Soc.*, 82: 675-688.
- Lukens, LN., J.C. Pires, E. Leon, R.L. Vogelzang, L. Oslach and T. Osborn 2006. Patterns of sequence loss and cytosine methylation within a population of newly resynthesized Brassica napus allopolyploids. *Plant Physiol.*, 140: 336-348.

- Mansoor, S., Y. Zafar and R.W. Briddon. 2006. Geminivirus disease complexes: the threat is spreading. *Trends Plant Sci.*, 11: 209-212.
- Maqbool, A., W. Abbas, A.Q. Rao, M. Irfan, M. Zahur, A. Bakhsh, S. Riazuddin and T. Husnain. 2010. Gossypium arboreum GHSP26 enhances drought tolerance in Gossypium hirsutum. Biotechnol. Prog., 26: 21-25.
- Nazeer, W., S. Ahmad, K. Mahmood, A. Tipu, A. Mahmood and B. Zhou. 2014. Introgression of genes for cotton leaf curl virus resistance and increased fiber strength from *Gossypium stocksii* into upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*). *Genet. Mol. Res.*, 13: 1133-1143.
- Nguyen, T.B., M. Giband, P. Brottier, A.M. Risterucci and J.M. Lacape. 2004. Wide coverage of the tetraploide cotton genome using newly developed microsatellite markers. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 109: 167-175.
- Paterson, A.H., J.F. Wendel, H. Gundlach, H. Guo, J. Jenkins, D. Jin, D. Llewellyn, K.C. Showmaker, S. Shu and J. Udall. 2012. Repeated polyploidization of Gossypium genomes and the evolution of spinnable cotton fibres. *Nature*, 492: 423-7.
- Rahman, M. 2016. Cotton improvement for economically stressed economies. *ICAC Recorder*, 1: 12-13.
- Rahman, M., D. Hussain, T. Malik and Y. Zafar 2005. Genetics of resistance to cotton leaf curl disease in *Gossypium hirsutum. Plant Pathol.*, 54: 764-772.
- Rahman, M., D. Hussain and Y. Zafar. 2002. Estimation of genetic divergence among elite cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) cultivars/genotypes by DNA fingerprinting technology. *Crop Sci.*, 42: 2137-2144.
- Rahman, M., Z. Rahmat, A. Mahmood, K. Abdullah and Y. Zafar. 2014. Cotton Germplasm of Pakistan. In: World Cotton Germplasm Resources. ISBN: 978-953-51-1622-6, Edited by Dr. Ibrokhim Abdurakhmonov In-Tech, DOI: 10.5772/58620.
- Rahman, M., T. Shaheen, N. Tabbasam, M.A. Iqbal, M. Ashraf, Y. Zafar and A.H. Paterson. 2012. Cotton genetic resources: A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.*, DOI: 10.1007/ s13593-011-0051-z.
- Rajagopalan, P.A., A. Naik, P. Katturi, M. Kurulekar, R.S. Kankanallu and R. Anandalakshmi. 2012. Dominance of resistance-breaking cotton leaf curl Burewala virus (CLCuBuV) in northwestern India. Arch. Virol., 157: 855-868.
- Saha, M.C., M.A.R. Main, L. Eujayl, J.C. Zwonitzer, L. Wang and G.D. May. 2004. Tall fescue EST-SSR markers with transferability across several grass species. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 109: 783-791.
- Shen, X., W. Guo, X. Zhu, Y. Yuan, J.Z. Yu, R.J. Kohel and T. Zhang. 2005. Molecular mapping of QTLs for qualities in three diverse lines in upland cotton using SSR markers. *Mol. Breed.*, 15: 169-181.
- Singh, D., J. Gill, R. Gumber, R. Singh and S. Singh. 2013. Yield and fibre quality associated with cotton leaf curl disease of Bt-cotton in Punjab. J. Environ. Biol., 34: 113-116.
- Tabbasam, N., Y. Zafar and M. Rahman. 2014. Pros and cons of using genomic SSRs and EST-SSRs for resolving phylogeny of the genus *Gossypium*. *Plant Syst. Evol.*, 300 (3): 559-575.
- Ulloa, M., C. Wang, R.B. Hutmacher, S.D. Wright, R.M. Davis, C.A. Saski and P.A. Roberts. 2011. Mapping Fusarium wilt race 1 resistance genes in cotton by inheritance, QTL and sequencing composition. *Mol. Genet. Genom.*, 286: 21-36.
- Wang, C., M. Ulloa, T.R. Mullens, J.Z. Yu and P.A. Roberts. 2012. QTL Analysis for transgressive resistance to root-

knot nematode in interspecific cotton (*Gossypium* spp.) progeny derived from susceptible parents. *PLoS One*, 7:e34874.

- Wang, P., E. Hummel, A. Osterrieder, A.J. Meyer, L. Frigerio, I. Sparkes and C. Hawes. 2011. KMS1 and KMS2, two plant endoplasmic reticulum proteins involved in the early secretary pathway. *The Plant J.*, 66: 613-628. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04522.x
- Wang, P., Z. Ning, L. Lin, H. Chen, H. Mei, J. Zhao, B. Liu, X. Zhang, W. Guo and T. Zhang. 2014. Genetic dissection of tetraploid cotton resistant to Verticillium wilts using interspecific chromosome segment introgression lines. *The Crop J.*, 2: 278-88.
- Yu, J.Z., R.J. Kohel, D.D. Fang, J. Cho, V.A. Deynze, M. Ullao, S.M. Hoffman, A.E. Pepper, D.M. Stelly, J.N. Jenkins, S. Saha, S.P. Kumpatla, M.R. Shah, W.V. Hugie and R.G.

Percy. 2012. A high-density simple sequence repeat and single nucleotide polymorphism genetic map of the tetraploid cotton genome. *G3* (*Bethesda*), 2: 43-58.

- Yu, Y., D. Yuan, S. Liang, X. Li, X. Wang, Z. Lin and X. Zhang 2011. Genome structure of cotton revealed by a genomewide SSR genetic map constructed from a BC1 population between *Gossypium hirsutum* and *G. barbadense. BMC Genom.*, 12: 15.
- Zhang, J., J. Yu, W. Pei, X. Li, J. Said, M. Song and S. Sanogo. 2015. Genetic analysis of Verticillium wilt resistance in a backcross inbred line population and a meta-analysis of quantitative trait loci for disease resistance in cotton. *BMC Genom.*, 16:577.
- Zhang, L., F.G. Li, C.L. Liu, C.J. Zhang and X.Y. Zhang. 2009. Construction and analysis of cotton (*Gossypium arboreum* L.) drought-related cDNA library. *BMC Res. Notes*, 2: 120.

(Received for publication 1 March 2018)