# BIO-EFFICACY OF TRICHODERMA ISOLATES AND BACILLUS SUBTILIS AGAINST ROOT ROT OF MUSKMELON CUCUMIS MELO L. CAUSED BY PHYTOPHTHORA DRECHSLERI UNDER CONTROLLED AND FIELD CONDITIONS

## MUHAMMAD ZOHAIB ANJUM<sup>1</sup>, MUHAMMAD USMAN GHAZANFAR<sup>1\*</sup> AND IMTIAZ HUSSAIN<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, University of Sargodha, 40100, Pakistan <sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Sciences, College of Agriculture, University of Sargodha, 40100, Pakistan \*Corresponding author's email: usmanghazanfar1972@gmail.com

#### Abstract

Root rot of muskmelon caused by *Phytophthora drechsleri* (Tucker) is considered the most important disease limiting muskmelon production in Pakistan. In this study, three molecularly characterized isolates of *Trichoderma* (*T. harzianum* HK, *T. harzianum* HM, *T. asperellum* TH) and phenotypically characterized isolate of *Bacillus subtilis* were evaluated against *P. drechsleri* under laboratory conditions. The different antagonism assays such as, dual culturing, non-volatile, volatile metabolites and field conditions were tested. The results showed that all tested isolates of *Trichoderma* and *Bacillus subtilis* significantly (p < 0.001) inhibited the mycelial growth of the pathogen. In all dual cultures, *T. asperellum* TH showed maximum mycelial inhibition followed by *T. harzianum* HK, *T. harzianum* HM and *Bacillus subtilis*. Non-volatile metabolites were more effective against pathogens than volatile metabolites. Under field conditions, percent disease incidence was decreased while percent plant survival, dry root and shoot weight significantly (p < 0.001) were increased by the application of T<sub>o</sub> (combination of all tested *Trichoderma* isolates and *B. subtilis*) followed by *T. asperellum* TH during both growing seasons. It is suggested that bio-control agents can be used for the sustainable management of root rot of muskmelon. (*Cucumis melo* L.)

Key words: Biocontrol agents, P. drechsleri, Inhibitory effect, Metabolites, Disease incidence, Plant survival.

### Introduction

Root rot caused by Phytophthora drechsleri is an economically important disease of muskmelon in Pakistan (Majid et al., 1994). This soil-borne pathogen survive as oospores for several years (Jee et al., 2001) and produces zoospores that infect plant tissues and are dispersed by the irrigation water (Zhang et al., 2010). Some agronomic practices like mulching, crop rotation, use of synthetic chemicals and soil moisture management reduced the severity (Lamour & Hausbeck, disease 2000)Phytophthora species have different bio-chemical pathways, due to which the chemical fungicides gave no appropriate control (Drenth & Sendall, 2001).

The use of bio-control agents has great potential as an alternative to noxious chemicals. Trichoderma and Bacillus species are being used as bio-control agents against Phytophthora species (Chung et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2013). Trichoderma species are known as the best biological control of many fungal plant pathogens, especially pathogens against soil-borne (Elad, 2000; Keswani et al., 2014; Srinivasa & Devi, 2014). Trichoderma spp. has different interactional mechanisms like mycoparasitism, competition and antibiotics to antagonize the phytopathogens (Pal & Gardener, 2006). Several species produced nonvolatile and volatile metabolites substances specially tricholin, massoilactone, gliovirin, heptelidic acid, alamethicins, peptaibols, harianic acid and glisoprenins which are lethal to plant pathogens (Qualhato et al., 2013). Antagonistic potential of Trichoderma isolates against P. drechsleri and P. cryptogea have been reported as effective under the laboratory conditions (Moayedi & Ghalamfarsa, 2011). Some bacterial isolates were also reported as effective

biocontrolling agents against disease incited by *Phytophthora* (Thanh *et al.*, 2009). Different isolates of *Bacillus subtilis* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* were antagonistic to *P. drechsleri* (Singh & Dubey, 2010).

Furthermore, applications of chemical fungicides on fruit crops have drawbacks, like harmful residual effects, environment pollution and development of resistance in pathogens. Considering this, there is a need for alternative approaches which are environment friendly, non-toxic to human health and have long-term effectiveness against pathogens. The objective of this study was to evaluate the antagonistic potential of different biocontrol agents against root rot of muskmelon under laboratory and field conditions for its sustainable management.

### **Material and Methods**

Collection, Isolation, and identification of Pathogen: Diseased samples were collected from a muskmelon field located at District Jhang (30°35'N; 71°39'E), Punjab, Pakistan. The samples were kept in zipped (sterile) bags and brought to the laboratory of Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, University of Sargodha for further processing. Isolation was performed from root samples on PARP medium (corn meal agar 17g L<sup>-1</sup>, 0.4ml Pimaricin L<sup>-1</sup>, 0.25g Ampicilline L<sup>-1</sup>, 0.01g Rifampcin L<sup>-1</sup>, Pentachloronitrobenzene (PCNB) L<sup>-1</sup>, 5mL 1mL Dimethylsulphooxide (DMSO) L<sup>-1</sup>) by using the tissue segment method described by Rangaswamy (1958). The pathogen was identified via cultural and morphological characteristics reported in literature (Bush et al., 2006; Jadesha, 2014). The isolated strains were maintained on PDA (potato starch 4g L<sup>-1</sup>, agar 20g L<sup>-1</sup>and dextrose 20g L<sup>-1</sup>) and stored at 4°C for further use.

**Trichoderma culture:** Three different *Trichoderma* isolates (*T. harzianum* HM, *T. harzianum* HK, and *T. asperellum* TH) were obtained from the Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, University of Sargodha and maintained on PDA at  $24 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C (Ghazanfar *et al.*, 2016).

**Bacterial culture:** *Isolation, identification and purification of bacteria:* Soil samples were collected in sterilized polythene zipped bags from the Research Area of the University of Sargodha and brought to the laboratory for isolation using the serial dilution technique (Aneja, 2002; Maleki *et al.*, 2011). Identification was made on the basis of morphology, colony color, Gram staining, and shape under 100X microscope. Crystal violet (CV) stain was used as a staining agent and identification was performed by comparing samples to cultural and morphological characters reported by Schaad *et al.*, (2001).

For the pure culture of *Bacillus*, a single colony was streaked on a sterilized Nutrient agar (NA) (5g Peptone L<sup>-1</sup>, 3g Beef extract L<sup>-1</sup>and 15g Agar L<sup>-1</sup>) medium plate and incubated at  $28\pm2^{\circ}$ C for 36 hours and preserved at 4°C for future use.

Antagonistic activity test of Trichoderma isolates and B. subtilis against P. drechsleri in Dual culture test: Trichoderma isolates were tested against P. drechsleri by using dual culture technique in three different ways; seven days old, 5 mm mycelial bit of pathogenic and antagonist were placed opposite to each other in 90 mm diameter Petri plates which contained sterilized Potato dextrose agar (PDA) media (Dual culture I) one mycelial bit of pathogen (5 mm) placed in the center of Petri plate and three mycelial bits of antagonist (5 mm) were placed in triangle form on equal distance from the antagonist (Dual culture II) and one mycelial bit of antagonist (5 mm) placed in the center of Petri plate and three mycelial bits of the pathogen (5 mm) were placed in triangle form at equal distance from pathogenic fungi (Dual culture III). A mycelial bit of pathogen placed in the center of Petri plates containing sterilized PDA served as control. The Petri plates were incubated at  $25\pm1^{\circ}$ C and examined on regular basis. The dual culture of Bacillus subtilis followed the same procedure as Trichoderma with only replacing Trichoderma bits with Bacillus streaks.

The percent inhibition rates were calculated on 3, 5 and 8 days after inoculation by following formula:

Formula 1.

Inhibition percentage = 
$$\frac{C-T}{C} \times 100$$

where;

C = mycelial growth of pathogen in control T = mycelial growth of pathogen in dual culture

**Metabolites:** Non-volatile metabolites: To study the effect of non-volatile metabolites of *Trichoderma* spp. on mycelial growth of *P. drechsleri*, cellophane membranes (9 cm) were used (Dennis & Webster, 1971a; Khalili *et al.*, 2012). Cellophane membranes of 9 cm were autoclaved and placed on 9 cm Petri plates containing sterilized PDA. Seven-days-old, 5 mm mycelial bit of each *Trichoderma* species was placed in the center of different plates above the cellophane membrane and

incubated at  $24\pm1^{\circ}$ C. After two days, cellophane sheets along with *Trichoderma* bit were replaced by seven-daysold 5 mm mycelial bit of *P. drechsleri*. Evaluation of *Bacillus subtilis* for non-volatile metabolites was the same as for *Trichoderma*, with *Trichoderma* replaced by a *Bacillus* streak. Petri plates inoculated with a mycelial bit of pathogen were the control. The data for inhibition percentage of the pathogen were recorded after 3, 5 and 8 days by using formula 1.

Volatile metabolite: The effect of volatile metabolites of Trichoderma spp. on mycelial growth of P. drechsleri, was determined using method of Dennis & Webster (1971b) and Khalili et al., (2012). Seven-days-old 5 mm mycelial bits of Trichoderma spp. were placed in the center of different sterilized PDA plates. The plates containing PDA medium were inverted and kept over the plates having Trichoderma spp.in sterilized condition, sealed with the Para film and incubated in dark conditions for the period of two days. After two days, the inverted Petri plates were removed and seven-days-old 5 mm mycelial bit of P. drechsleri was placed on a PDA plate and incubated at 25±1°C. Evaluation of Bacillus subtilis for volatile metabolites was same as adapted for Trichoderma with only replacing the Bacillus streak instead of Trichoderma bit. Petri plates inoculated with a mycelial bit of P. drechsleri were treated as control. Data for inhibition percentage of pathogen were recorded after 3, 5 and 8 days.

Antagonistic activity test of *Trichoderma* and *B.* subtilis against *P. drechsleri* under field conditions: To confirm the laboratory results of the antagonistic ability of *Trichoderma* isolates and *Bacillus subtilis* against root rot of muskmelon, field trails were conducted during two growing seasons of muskmelon. For mass production, antagonistic and pathogenic fungi were cultured on PDA medium and incubated at 25 C° for 10 days and conidia were harvested from the cultured plate with the help of sapatula. The wheat bran (about 250 g) was double autoclaved at 121 C° for 20 minutes and then cooled at room temperature. Conidial suspentions of  $2 \times 10^8$ /ml were prepared using hemocytometer, mixed in wheat bran and incubated for 10 days in dark.

Bacterial biocontrol agent, *Bacillus subtilis* was cultured on nutrient broth for three days and then bacterial cells were harvested by centrifugation process. Bacterial isolate was suspended in distilled water and concentration was adjusted at  $1 \times 10^9$ /ml and mixed in 250 g autoclaved wheat bran (Etebarian, 2006) check the combinatorial effects, all the tested antagonists (T<sub>o</sub>) were mixed and applied to the soil.

Wheat bran having Trichoderma isolates and *Bacillus subtilis* were applied to the soil just before three days of sowing, while pathogenic fungi were applied to the soil after one day. The experiment was done at the research area of the College of Agriculture, University of Sargodha for two consecutive growing seasons. The plot size was 11.25 by18 m and the plant to plant and row to row distance was 0.40 m and 1 m respectively. Each set of experiment was repeated three times and twenty-five plants were used in each replication.

**Percent disease incidence and survival assessment:** Data of infected plants were recorded 45 days of the sowing and percent disease incidence and plant survival rate were calculated by the following formulas: Formula 2.

Perccent disease incidence = 
$$\frac{number \ of \ infected \ plants}{Total \ number \ of \ plants} \times 100$$

Formula 3.

$$Percent survival = \frac{Total number of plants - infected plants}{Total number of plants} \times 100$$

The data for dry weights of roots and shoot were recorded after 45 days at experiment completion.

#### Statistical analysis:

The data of inhibition percentage, percent disease incidence and plant survival rate were analyzed by twofactor factorial analyses to check the significance of treatments at different time interval. Least significant difference (LSD) was used for treatments mean separation. All statistical analysis was carried out using R.3.0.3-Statistical package.

#### Results

**Inhibition of pathogen in Dual culture:** All tested biocontrol agents showed significant mycelial inhibition against *P. drechsleri* in all dual culture methods, however, the best inhibition was provided by *T. asperellum* TH. Overall, the following trend of treatments; *T. asperellum* TH >T. harzianum HK >T. harzianum HM >Bacillussubtilis was observed in respect to their efficacy against root rot pathogen. Efficacy of biocontrol agents was higher in dual culture II as compared to the other two methods because *P. drechsleri* was inhibited by higher inoculum of both *Trichoderma* isolates and *B. subtilis* (Table 1).

**Suppression of pathogen by fungal Metabolites:** Nonvolatile metabolites of all treatments significantly (p < 0.01) inhibited the mycelial growth of *P. drechsleri*. *Trichoderma asperellum* TH showed maximum (46.8%) mycelial growth inhibition, while *B. subtilis* showed a minimum inhibition rate of 26.6% (Table 2).

In case of volatile metabolites, *T. asperellum* gave the highest mycelial growth inhibition (35.02%) among tested isolates, while *T. harzianum* HK, *T. harzianum* HM and *B. subtilis* gave 19.5%, 16.0% and 15.3% inhibition respectively (Table 2). Among volatile and non-volatile metabolites, non-volatile metabolites represent high inhibition potential against the pathogen.

Antagonistic activity test of *Trichoderma* isolates and *B. subtilis* against *P. drechsleri* under field conditions: Results of both growing seasons confirmed that all the tested biocontrol agents highly affected the incidence and survival rate of muskmelon plants as compared to control treatments. There was a significant variation of treatment on percent disease incidence ( $F_{5, 12} = 189, p < 0.001$ ), percent plant survival rate ( $F_{5, 12} = 189, p < 0.001$ ), dry root ( $F_{5, 12} = 70.4, p < 0.001$ ) and dry shoot weight ( $F_{5, 12} = 289, p < 0.001$ ) during first growing season. Joint action of all treatments ( $T_o$ ) showed minimum percent disease incidence (20%) as compared to other tested treatments. The survival rate of plants (80%) and dry root and shoot weight was higher in rows inoculated with the  $T_o$  treatment (Fig. 1).

The decreasing trend of percent disease incidence was observed during second growing season while the percent survival rate was increased compared to first growing season. During second growing season, the treatment effect on percent disease incidence ( $F_{5, 12} = 218$ , p < 0.001), percent plant survival rate ( $F_{5, 12} = 218$ , p < 0.001), dry root weight ( $F_{5, 12} = 63.5$ , p < 0.001) and dry shoot weight ( $F_{5, 12} = 416$ , p < 0.001) was highly significant (Fig. 2).

 Table 1. Effect of different biocontrol agents on percent inhibition growth of *Phytophthora drechsleri* at different time intervals by dual culture techniques.

Treatments	Dual culture # 1			Dual culture # 2			Dual culture # 3		
	3 <sup>rd</sup> day	5 <sup>th</sup> day	8 <sup>th</sup> day	3 <sup>rd</sup> day	5 <sup>th</sup> day	8 <sup>th</sup> day	3 <sup>rd</sup> day	5 <sup>th</sup> day	8 <sup>th</sup> day
T. asperellum TH	22.69±1.42ª	47.31±0.51ª	64.33±0.38ª	$28.09 \pm 0.54^{a}$	$58.03{\pm}0.51^{\rm a}$	72.12±0.49 <sup>a</sup>	19.70±2.59ª	$50.29{\pm}1.07^{a}$	66.66±0.56ª
T. harzianum HK	15.12±1.95 <sup>bc</sup>	41.06±0.51 <sup>b</sup>	$58.94 \pm 0.37^{b}$	$23.23{\pm}1.94^{a}$	$55.65{\pm}0.30^{\text{b}}$	$69.86{\pm}0.49^{ab}$	15.12±1.95 <sup>ab</sup>	46.12±1.07 <sup>ab</sup>	62.89±0.81 <sup>b</sup>
T. harzianum HM	11.87± 1.43°	39.88±1.19 <sup>b</sup>	52.72±0.81°	16.20±1.43 <sup>b</sup>	49.10±0.51 <sup>d</sup>	63.84±0.65°	10.79±0.93 <sup>b</sup>	43.15±0.78 <sup>bc</sup>	55.17±0.49°
Bacillus subtilis	$17.17 \pm 0.43^{b}$	41.66±1.57 <sup>b</sup>	52.72±1.46°	16.83±0.49 <sup>b</sup>	52.23±0.58°	69.08±0.51 <sup>b</sup>	10.94±0.23 <sup>b</sup>	40.68±0.81°	53.41±0.83°
F value (3,8)	10.2	9.87	40.2	20.0	63.1	41.3	6.20	19.0	81.2
P value	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.05	< 0.001	< 0.001

Means sharing similar letter with in a column are statistically non-significant (p>0.05)

|--|

Tuestments		Volatile metabol	ites	Nonvolatile metabolites			
Treatments	3 <sup>rd</sup> day	5 <sup>th</sup> day	8 <sup>th</sup> day	3 <sup>rd</sup> day	5 <sup>th</sup> day	8 <sup>th</sup> day	
T. asperellum TH	14.04±0.93ª	24.10±0.89ª	35.02±1.17 <sup>a</sup>	40.53±1.42 <sup>a</sup>	39.87±0.59ª	46.88±0.86 <sup>a</sup>	
T. harzianum HK	$11.33 {\pm} 1.95^{ab}$	16.66±1.19 <sup>b</sup>	19.58±0.49 <sup>b</sup>	34.70±0.79 <sup>b</sup>	32.14±0.89 <sup>b</sup>	34.83±0.67 <sup>b</sup>	
T. harzianum HM	7.53±0.93 <sup>b</sup>	9.81±1.36°	$16\pm0.67^{bc}$	30.98±1.25 <sup>bc</sup>	25.29±1.07°	29.94±0.65°	
Bacillus subtilis	$7.86 \pm 0.42^{b}$	10.69±0.72°	15.38±0.49°	27.23±0.28°	21.46±0.72 <sup>d</sup>	$26.69 \pm 0.32^{d}$	
F value	6.64	37.8	146	29.7	139.0	192	
Р	< 0.05	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	

Means sharing similar letter with in a column are statistically non-significant (p>0.05)



Fig. 1. Effect of different treatments on %disease incidence, % plant survival rate and dry root and shoot weight during first growing season after forty five days of application.



To = ( T. harzianum HK+ T. harzianum HM+ T. asperellum TH+Bacillus subtilis )

Fig. 2. Effect of different treatments on % disease incidence, % plant survival rate and dry root and shoot weight during second growing season after forty five days of application.

### Discussion

Many soil born fungi are inhibited by different isolates of *Trichoderma* (Chet, 1987; Heidari *et al.*, 2005). Our results confirmed that *Trichoderma* isolates had great potential to inhibit the growth of *P. drechsleri*. Maximum percent inhibition of tested pathogen was shown by *T. asperellum*. Non-volatile metabolites produced by *T. asperellum* were also effective as compared to other fungi. *Trichoderma* isolates produced many nonvolatile and volatile metabolites like tricholin, peptaibols, massoilactone, gliovirin, heptelidic acid, alamethicins, harianic acid, glisoprenins and others which are lethal to plant pathogens (Qualhato *et al.*, 2013), so inhibition of our tested pathogen may be due to such metabolites produced by Trichoderma species as described above. In case of nonvolatile metabolites, *T. asperellum* showed 46.88% while volatile metabolites gave 35.02% mycelial growth inhibition against root rot pathogen. Tondje *et al.*, (2007) reported the antagonistic potential of *T. asperellum* against different plant pathogens which was similar to our present findings. Metabolites of *T. harzianum* have fungistatic

activity against *P. drechsleri* (Okhovvat, 1997). (Moayedi & Ghalamfarsa, 2011) reported the antagonistic potential of *T. harzianum* T39, *T. harzianum* M, *T. virens* and *T. viride* against *P. drechsleri* and also showed that nonvolatile and volatile metabolites of all *Trichoderma* isolates had inhibitory effect against pathogen. Their results were in agreement with the present investigation as volatile and nonvolatile metabolites of both *T. harzianum* isolates gave higher significant inhibition percentage.

The antifungal activity of Bacillus against different plant pathogens such as P. drechsleri and others have been reported by number of workers. Antifungal activity of Bacillus was due to proteinaceous substance working with other some substances (Wang et al., 2013; Chung et al., 2008). In present results Bacillus, isolate showed significant inhibition of P. drechsleri. In field experiment percent disease incidence and percent survival rate of plants, second season trial showed good results compared to the first season. Many researchers confirmed that the antagonistic fungi Trichoderma and Bacillus could survive for many years in soil (Longa et al., 2009; Setlow, 2014; Ulrich et al., 2018). So, our experiment confirmed that the population of antagonists was already present in next growing season in the soil because the incidence was minimum while survival rate was increased during second growing season. Hamed et al., (2012) studied that incidence of root rot of beans which was 65 to 68%, decreased after the soil application of T. harzianum. Abdel-Kadir et al., (2011) also reported that root rot incidence was decreased after the application of T. harzianum of faba plants. The suppression of soil borne pathogens after the application of biocontrol agents was due to antibiosis, competition or mycoparasitism mechanisms (Elad, 1996). Tested biocontrol agents increase the root and shoot weight of muskmelon plants. It was demonstrated that microorganisms had plant growth promoting ability and have significants effects on plant growth (Nagórska et al., 2007; Naureen, 2009; Erdogan & Benlioglu, 2010). Overall, the present study provided insights into the utilization of microbial agents to manage the plant pathogenic P. drechsleri and potential replacement of hazardous chemical fungicides.

#### Conclusion

The present study demonstrated that *Trichoderma* and *Bacillus* species were potential biocontrol agents that showed significant levels of antifungal ability against *P. drechsleri*. The pathogen was suppressed in both laboratory and field experiments by biocontrol fungi and bacteria. The results also showed that tested *Trichoderma* and *Bacillus* isolates have potential to inhibit the mycelial growth of pathogen at low inoculum level. These biocontrol agents also have plant growth promoting ability. The utilization of biocontrol agents to control fungal diseases is opening new insights to replace the health hazardous synthetic chemicals. The results suggested the use of these environmental friendly methods to develop a healthy society and resolve the food security issues.

#### References

- Abdel-Kader, M., N. El-Mougy and S. Lashin. 2011. Essential oils and *Trichoderma harzianum* as an integrated control measure against faba bean root rot pathogens. *J. Plant Prot. Res.*, 51: 306-313.
- Aneja, K.R. 2002. Experiments in Microbiology, Plant Pathology, Tissue Culture and Mushroom Production Technology. New Age International (Pvt) Ltd, New Delhi.
- Bush, E.A., E.L. Stromberg, C.X. Hong, P.A. Richardson and P. Kong. 2006. Illustration of key morphological characteristics of *Phytophthora* species identified in Virginia nursery irrigation water. *Plant Health Prog.*, Doi. 10: 1094.
- Chet, I. 1987. Trichoderma application, mode of action and potential as a biocontrol agent of soil -borne plant pathogenic Fungi. In: Innovative Approaches to Plant Disease Control. Wiley, New York, pp. 137-160.
- Chung, S., H. Kong, J.S. Buyer, D.K. Lakshman, J. Lydon, S.D. Kim and D.P. Roberts. 2008. Isolation and partial characterization of *Bacillus subtilis* ME488 for suppression of soil borne pathogens of cucumber and pepper. *Appl. Microb. Biotechnol.*, 80: 115-123.
- Dennis, C. and J. Webster. 1971a. Antagonistic properties of species groups of *Trichoderma* (I. Production of nonvolatile antibiotics). *Trans. Brit. Myco. Soc.*, 57: 25-39.
- Dennis, C. and J. Webster. 1971b. Antagonistic properties of species groups of *Trichoderma* (II. Production of volatile antibiotics. *Trans. Brit. Myco. Soc.*, 57: 41-48.
- Drenth, A. and B. Sendall. 2001. Practical guide to detection and identification of Phytophthora: CRC for Tropical Plant Protection, Brisbane, Australia.
- Elad, Y. 1996. Mechanisms involved in the biological control of *Botrytis cinerea* incited diseases. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.*, 102: 719-732.
- Elad, Y. 2000. Biological control of foliar pathogens by means of *Trichoderma harzianum* and potential modes of action. *Crop Prot.*, 19: 709-714.
- Erdogan, O. and K. Benlioglu. 2010. Biological control of Verticillium wilt on cotton by the use of fluorescent Pseudomonas spp. under field conditions. *Biol. Control*, 53(1): 39-45.
- Etebarian, H.R. 2006. Evaluation of Streptomyces strains for biological control of charcoal stem rot of melon caused by *Macrophomina phaseolina*. *Plant Pathol. J.*, 5(1): 83-87.
- Ghazanfar, M.U., M. Hussain, M.I. Hamid and S.U. Ansari. 2016. Utilization of Biological control agents for the management of Postharvest pathogens of Tomato. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 48: 2093-2100.
- Hamed, E.R., N.G. El-Gamal and A.R. El-Sharmi. 2012. Efficacy of formulation and storage on rice straw waste on the activation of bio-agents against root-rot diseases of bean plants. *Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Prot.*, 45(1): 22-32.
- Heidari, F.S., H.R. Etebarian and H.R. Zamanizadeh. 2005. Evaluation of Trichoderma isolates for biological control of *Phytophthora drechsleri* in glasshouse. *Appl. Entom. Phytopath.*, 72: 113-134.
- Jadesha, G. 2014. Studies on epidemiology and management of Phytophthora blight (*Phytophthora drechsleri* Tucker f. sp. *cajani*) of Pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Mill sp. Ph.D. Thesis: Department of Plant Pathology, Acharya N.G Ranga Agricultural University, pp-189.
- Jee, H.J., K.W. Nam and W.D. Cho. 2001. Severe root rot on hydroponically-grown lettuce caused by *Phytophthora drechsleri*. *Plant Pathol.*, 17: 311-314.
- Keswani, C., S. Mishra, B.K. Sarma, S.P. Singh and H.B. Singh. 2014. Unraveling the efficient applications of secondary metabolites of various Trichoderma spp. *Appl. Microbial. Biotech.*, 98: 533-544.

- Khalili, E., M. Sadravi, S. Naeimi and V. Khosravi. 2012. Biological control of rice brown spot with native isolates of three *Trichoderma* species. *Braz. J. Microbiol.*, 43: 297-305.
- Lamour, K.H. and M.K. Hausbeck. 2000. Mefenoxam insensitivity and the sexual stage of *Phytophthora capsici* in Michigan cucurbit fields. *Phytopathol.*, 90(4): 396-400.
- Longa, C.M.O., F. Savazzini, S. Tosi, Y. Elad and I. Pertot. 2009. Evaluating the survival and environmental fate of the biocontrol agent *Trichoderma atroviride* SC1 in vineyards in northern Italy. J. Appl. Microbiol., 106: 1549-1557.
- Majid, K., A.S. Akhtar and A.S. Aslam. 1994. Root rot of muskmelon caused by *Phytophthora drechsleri* Tucker, a new record for Pakistan. *Pak. J. Phytopathol.*, 6: 157-158.
- Maleki, M., L. Mokhtarnejad and S. Mostafaee. 2011. Screening of Rhizobacteria for biological control of cucumber root and crown rot caused by *Phytophthora drechsleri*. *Plant Pathol. J.*, 27: 78-84.
- Moayedi, G., and R. Mostowfizadeh-Ghalamfarsa. 2011. Antagonistic activities of *Trichoderma* spp. on Phytophthora root rot of sugar beet. *Iran. Agric. Res.*, 29: 21-38.
- Nagórska, K., M. Bikowski and M. Obuchowski. 2007. Multicellular behavior and production of a wide variety of toxic substances support usage of *Bacillus subtilis* as a powerful biocontrol agent. *Acta Biochem. Pol.*, 54(3): 495-508.
- Naureen, Z., A.H. Price, F.Y. Hafeez and M.R. Roberts. 2009. Identification of rice blast disease-suppressing bacterial strains from the rhizosphere of rice grown in Pakistan. *Crop Prot.*, 28(12): 1052-1060.
- Okhovvat, M. 1997. *In vitro* antagonistic effects of Trichoderma spp. on several soil-borne plant pathogenic fungi. *J. Sci. I. R. Iran.*, 8: 86-95.
- Pal, K K. and B.M. Gardener. 2006. Biological control of plant pathogens. *The Plant Health Inst.*, 1117-1142.
- Qualhato, T.F., F.A.C. Lopes, A.S. Steindorff, R.S. Brandão, R.S.A. Jesuino and C.J. Ulhoa. 2013. Mycoparasitism studies of *Trichoderma* species against three phytopathogenic fungi: evaluation of antagonism and hydrolytic enzyme production. *Biotechnol. Lett.*, 9: 1461-1468.

- Rangaswamy, G. 1958. *Diseases of crop plants in India*, New Delhi: Prentice hall of India Pvt. Ltd.
- Schaad, N.W., J.B. Jones and W. Chun. 2001. Laboratory guide for identification of plant pathogenic bacteria. (3<sup>rd</sup> Ed) APS Press. St. Paul, Minnesota, USA.
- Setlow, P. 2014. Germination of spores of *Bacillus* species: What we know and do not know. *J. Bacterial.*, 196: 1297-1305.
- Singh, B. and S.C. Dubey. 2010. Bio agent based integrated of Phytophthora blight of Pigeon pea. *Arch Phytopathol. Plant Prot.*, 43(9): 922-929.
- Srinivasa, N. and T.P. Devi. 2014. Separation and identification of antifungal compounds from *Trichoderma* species BY GC-MS and their bio-efficacy against soil-borne pathogens. *Bioinfolet-A Quart. J. Life Sci.*, 11(1b): 255-257.
- Thanh, D.T., L.T.T. Tarn, N.T. Hanh, N.H. Tuyen, S. Bharathkumar, S.V. Lee and K.S. Park. 2009. Biological control of soil borne diseases on tomato, potato and black pepper by selected PGPR in the greenhouse and field in Vietnam. *The Plant Pathol. J.*, 25: 263-269.
- Tondje, P. R., D.P. Roberts and M.C. Bon. 2007. Isolation and identification of mycoparasitic isolates of *Trichoderma* asperellum with potential for suppression of black pod disease of cacao in Cameroon. *Biol. Control.*, 43: 202-112.
- Ulrich, N., K. Nagler, M. Laue, C.S. Cockell, P. Setlow and R. Moeller. 2018. Experimental studies addressing the longevity of *Bacillus subtilis* spores–The first data from a 500-year experiment. *PloS One.*, 13: e0208425.
- Wang, H.K. and R.F. Xiao. 2013. Antifungal Activity of Bacillus coagulans TQ33, Isolated from Skimmed Milk Powder, against Botrytis cinerea. Food Technol. Biotechnol., 51: 78-83.
- Zhang, S., T.L. White, M.C. Martinez, J.A. McInroy, J.W. Kloepper and W. Klassen. 2010. Evaluation of plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria for control of Phytophthora blight on squash under greenhouse conditions. *Biol. Control*, 53(1): 129-135.

(Received for publication 16 April 2018)