OPTIMIZING RICE PRODUCTION IN SINDH: UNLEASHING THE POWER OF PLANT NUTRIENTS AND WEED CONTROL FOR SUPERIOR QUALITY HARVESTS OF PADDY RICE (*ORYZA SATIVA* L.)

REEMA VISTRO¹, QAMARUDDIN JOGI¹, MUHAMMAD NAWAZ KANDHRO¹, NAIMATULLAH LAGHARI² AND GHULAM MURTAZA JAMRO³

¹Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Crop Production, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam Pakistan ²Department of Farm Power & Machinery, Faculty of Agricultural Engineering, Sindh Agriculture University Tandojam Pakistan

³Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Crop Production, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam Pakistan Corresponding author's email: reema.vistro@yahoo.com

Abstract

The current field experiments were conducted during two successive years throughout Kharif, 2017 and 2018 at Students' Experimental Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, Pakistan. The rice variety Shandar 45 days old seedlings were transplanted on 15 May in both years. The experiment was conducted in $20 \text{ m}^2 (5\text{m} \times 4\text{m})$ plots, with a 40cm border, following randomized complete block design. The results showed significant effects on weed, agronomic and physiological traits. Minimum interactive values for weed density was observed with $F_3 = \text{NPK} + \text{Zn} 160+80+80+6.0 \text{ kg} \text{ ha}^{-1} \times \text{W}_1 = (\text{No weeding})$, minimum fresh biomass of weed (g m⁻²) and weed dry biomass (g m⁻²) was observed with $F_3 = \text{NPK} + \text{Zn} 160+80+80+6.0 \text{ kg} \text{ ha}^{-1} \times \text{W}_4 = \text{Herbicide Machete 60 EC at 1.25 L ha^{-1} + Sorghum water extract at 25 L ha^{-1}. However, minimum weed control (0.00 %) was found with <math>F_1 = \text{No}$ fertilizer (Control) $\times \text{W}_1 = \text{Weedy}$ check (No weeding). Maximum interactive values were noted for panicle length, kernel panicle⁻¹, seed index (1000 kernel wt. g), kernel yield (t ha^{-1}) and protein (%) with $F_3 = \text{NPK} + \text{Zn} 160+80+80+6.0 \text{ kg} ha^{-1} \times \text{W}_4 = \text{Herbicide Machete 60 EC at 1.25 L ha^{-1} + Sorghum water extract at 25 L ha^{-1} (10 DAT). The maximum kernel wt. panicle⁻¹ (g) was recorded with <math>F_3 = \text{NPK} + \text{Zn} 160+80+80+6.0 \text{ kg} ha^{-1} \times \text{W}_4 = \text{Herbicide Machete 60 EC at 1.25 L ha^{-1} (10 DAT). The maximum kernel wt. panicle⁻¹ (g) was recorded with <math>F_3 = \text{NPK} + \text{Zn} 160+80+80+6.0 \text{ kg} ha^{-1} \times \text{W}_3 = \text{Sorghum water extract at 25 L ha^{-1} (10 DAT). The study revealed research areas to establish adequate management practices through different levels of nutrients and weed control for the enhancement of quality paddy rice production.$

Key words: Integrated management, Rice, Nutrition, Weed, Quality.

Introduction

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is one of the most important grain crops that is consumed by more than three and half billion peoples throughout the world (Anon., 2016). Due to its aroma, flavor, and cooking quality, fragment/ aromatic rice is favored by most of the human population. However, most fragment rice cultivars have modest yield and are quickly affected by environmental conditions (Paul et al., 2021). Rice contributes one-fifth of the global dietary energy supply, and several countries of Asia and the Pacific (17), North and South America (9), and Africa (8) mostly rely on rice for their staple food (Gadal et al., 2019). Hence, it shows that paddy (rice) is the most vital staple crop for food and nutrition safety worldwide. Nevertheless, it is important food as well as value added crop in Pakistan. Whereas, known to be the second major staple food crop following wheat and next largest exportable produce after cotton. It contributes about 3.1 percent of value added and overall, 0.6 per cent in GDP (Ali, 2020).

Among the many agronomic performances, good nutrient management may boost the yield of aromaticrice not only by providing the needed quantity of nutrients, but also by preserving the soil's health and product quality (Paul *et al.*, 2021). For boosting up the yield, nitrogenous, phosphoric and potassium (NPK) fertilizers have a very important role when used in suitable amounts (Cheema *et al.*, 2021). Addition of 200 kg ha⁻¹ N helps to increase the number of tillers, plant height, leaves per plant, spike length, grains per spike, fresh and dry weight, and biological yield, respectively. However, it has been predicted that due to excess use of N and P, in

future there will be issue of their universal deficiency, especially for P followed by zinc. Zinc is important in many aspects of life (Camilla *et al.*, 2022). The adoption of a modern agriculture system based on high-analysis synthetic fertilizers exacerbated issues with micronutrient shortages such as boron (Subhadeep *et al.*, 2022).

The zinc and boron deficiency is high in Pakistan soil. Wetland rice soils, light finished soils, and calcareous soils are mostly found zinc deficient (Islam et al., 2017). Zinc mostly has a vital position in line of auxin; dehydrogenase catalysts enactment and keep up with the ribosomal properties (Obata et al., 2015). The significance of boron in enhanving crop yields has been reported in the literature (Shah et al., 2016). Moreover, for a particular crop, the recommended doses of fertilizers ought to be found on series of field experiments. The outcomes can be beneficial after determining the rate of utilizing financial factors and conditions to estimate the ideal dosages of manures (Rai, 2019). Consequently, maintaining proper micronutrient levels in the soil is crucial not only for meeting plant demands and preserving agricultural output, but also for preventing nutrient build-up (Majeed et al., 2022).

Weed management strategies in Pakistan are applied mainly in irrigated areas, ignoring the rain fed regions, which eventually results in low production. Since in rain fed areas, rice crops already suffer due to stress conditions, the occurrence of weeds could accelerate the loss of moisture from the soil (Mandal *et al.*, 2016). Therefore, it is essential that weeds be controlled to keep away from unnecessary utilization of crop resources. For directly cultivated paddy (rice), it is compulsory to maintain the fields free from weeds in the initial 30 days (Bisht & Ramana, 2017). Considering these considerable facts allied to previous findings, the present study was carried out to determine the quality rice production potential in response of plant nutrition and weed management.

Material and Methods

The current field experiments were conducted at two consecutive years during Kharif, 2017 and 2018 at Students' Experimental Farm, Department of Agronomy, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, Pakistan. The materials used and methodology adopted during experimentation, has been discussed here briefly. Factor-A: Nutrient levels (F=4) = F_1 = No fertilizer (Control), F_2 = NPK: 160+80+80 kg ha⁻¹, F_3 = NPK + Zn: 160+80+80+6.0 kg ha⁻¹, F_4 = NPK + B: 160+80+80+1.0 kg ha⁻¹. Factor-B: Weed control practices (W=4), W_1 = Weedy check (No weeding), W_2 = Herbicide Machete 60 EC at 2.5 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT), W_3 = sorghum water extract at 25 L ha⁻¹ + Sorghum water extract at 25 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT).

Cultural practices: The rice seed was collected from Nuclear Institute of Agriculture (NIA) Tandojam, and seed rate was applied at 18 kg ha⁻¹. Other cultural practices were adopted as per the standard requirements of the crop.

Data was recorded on the following parameters.

Weed control: Weed control was determined with the help of the following formula:

Weed control (%) = Check weed density – Given treatment weed density x 100 Check weed density

Panicle length (cm): A total of three fully developed panicles were harvested from the plants. The length of the panicle was determined by measuring its length from base to apex (cm).

Kernel panicle⁻¹: From the plants, we harvested three fully developed panicles. The length of the panicle was determined by counting the number of millimeters from its neck to its tip.

Seed index (1000– kernel weight g): One thousand grains from each plot were collected at random and weighed to record the seed index

Kernel yield (t ha⁻¹): Following threshing, winnowing, and sun drying, the individual rice yield (harvested net plot + sample plants) was recorded in Kg and then converted to tons.

Statistical analysis

The data were statistically analyzed by using Analytical Software Statistix® ver. 8.1 (Hill & Lewicki, 2006). In cases where it was essential, we used the least significant difference (LSD) test to compare the means of the various treatment and their results are interpreted as under:

Results

Weed Control (%): The results for nutrient levels showed significant response to weed control (%) and minimum weed

control (38.200 %) at $F_4 = NPK + B160 + 80 + 80 + 1.0 \text{ kg ha}^-$ ¹. In case of weed control practices the lowest value (0.00%)was observed at W_1 = Weedy check (No weeding). The maximum weed control (54.65 %) was recorded at $F_1 = No$ fertilizer (Control). In case of weed control practices the maximum weed control (72.783 %) was recorded at $W_4 =$ Herbicide Machete 60 EC at 1.25 L ha⁻¹ + Sorghum water extract at 25 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT). The results further indicated that the interaction of nutrients levels and weed control practices showed highly significant response for weed control (%). In interaction minimum weed control (0.00 %)was observed with F_1 = No fertilizer (Control) x W_1 = Weedy check (No weeding) and maximum weed control (83.920 %) was recorded with F_1 = No fertilizer (Control) x W_4 = Herbicide Machete 60 EC at 1.25 L ha⁻¹ + Sorghum water extract at 25 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT) respectively (Table 1).

Panicle length (cm): The maximum panicle length (33.34 cm) was recorded at $F_3 = NPK + Zn \ 160+80+80+6.0 \text{ kg}$ ha⁻¹ followed by (31.56 cm) in $F_4 = NPK + B$ 160+80+80+1.0 kg ha⁻¹ and the minimum panicle length (25.76 cm) was observed at F_1 = No fertilizer (Control) .In case of weed practices the maximum panicle length (33.11 cm) was recorded at W_4 = Herbicide Machete 60 EC at $1.25 \text{ L} \text{ ha}^{-1} + \text{Sorghum water extract at } 25 \text{ L} \text{ ha}^{-1} (10 \text{ DAT})$.followed by (31.242 cm) was recorded at $W_2 =$ at Herbicide Machete 60 EC at 2.5 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT whereas the lowest value panicle length(26.550 cm) was observed at W_1 = Weedy check (No weeding). The results further indicated that the interaction of nutrients levels and weed practices showed highly significant response for panicle length (cm). Maximum panicle length (36.73 cm) was recorded with F_3 = Soil applied NPK + Zn 160+80+80+6.0 kg ha⁻¹ x W₄ = Foliar applied Herbicide Machete 60 EC at $1.25 \text{ L} \text{ ha}^{-1} + \text{Sorghum water extract at } 25 \text{ L} \text{ ha}^{-1} (10 \text{ DAT})$ followed by (35.56 cm) at $F_4 = NPK + B \ 160+80+80+1.0$ kg ha⁻¹ x W₄= Foliar applied W Herbicide Machete 60 EC at 1.25 L ha⁻¹ + Sorghum water extract at 25 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT) Whereas, minimum panicle length (23.56 cm) was observed with F_1 = No fertilizer (Control) x W_1 = Weedy check (Noweeding) respectively (Table 2).

Kernel panicle⁻¹: The results for nutrients levels showed significant response to kernel panicle-1. The Maximum kernel (183.67panicle⁻¹) was recorded at $F_3 = NPK + Zn$ 160+80+80+6.0 kg ha⁻¹ followed by kernel (172.25panicle⁻ ¹) was observed in $F_4 = NPK + B \ 160 + 80 + 80 + 1.0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ and the minimum kernel (139.42 panicle⁻¹) at $F_1 = No$ fertilizer (Control).In case of weed control practices the maximum kernel (191.50 panicle⁻¹) was recorded at W_4 = Herbicide Machete 60 EC at 1.25 L ha⁻¹ + Sorghum water extract at 25 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT) .followed by (172.33 panicle⁻¹) was recorded at W_2 = Herbicide Machete 60 EC at 2.5 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT) however, the lowest value (143.92 panicle⁻¹) was observed at W_1 = Weedy check (No weeding). The results further indicated that the interaction of nutrients levels and weed control practices showed highly significant response for kernel panicle⁻¹.In interaction maximum kernel (218.67 panicle⁻¹) was recorded with $F_3 = NPK + Zn \ 160 + 80 + 6.0$ kg ha⁻¹ x W_4 = Herbicide Machete 60 EC at 1.25 L ha⁻¹ + Sorghum water extract at 25 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT) followed by kernel (208.67 panicle⁻¹) at $F_4 = NPK+ B \ 160+80+80+1.0$

kg ha⁻¹x W₄ = Herbicide Machete 60 EC at 1.25 L ha⁻¹ + Sorghum water extract at 25 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT) Whereas, minimum kernel was (126.67 panicle⁻¹) observed with F_1 = No fertilizer (Control) x W₁ = Weedy check (No weeding) respectively (Table 3).

Seed Index (1000 kernel wt. g): The results on maximum seed index showed that 22.492 g seed was recorded at F_{3} = NPK + Zn 160+80+80+6.0 kg ha⁻¹ followed by (21.375 g) was observed in F_4 = NPK + B 160+80+80+1.0 kg ha⁻¹ and the minimum kernels wt. panicle⁻¹ (17.242 g) at F_1 = No fertilizer (Control).In case of weed control practices the maximum seed index (24.225 g) was recorded at W_4 = Herbicide Machete 60 EC at 1.25 L ha⁻¹ + Sorghum water extract at 25 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT) .followed by (23.150 g) was recorded at W₂ = Herbicide Machete 60 EC at 2.5 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT), whereas the lowest value (15.642 g) was observed at W₁ = Weedy check (No weeding) .The interaction of nutrients levels and weed control practices showed highly significant response for seed index (1000 kernel wt. g). Maximum seed index (25.833g) was recorded with F₃ = NPK + Zn 160+80+80+6.0 kg ha⁻¹ x W₃ = Sorghum water extract at 25 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT), followed by (24.867 g) at F₄ = NPK+ B 160+80+80+1.0 kg ha⁻¹ x W₄ = Herbicide Machete 60 EC at 1.25 L ha⁻¹ + Sorghum water extract at 25 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT), Whereas minimum seed index was (13.000 g) observed with F₁ = No fertilizer (Control)x W₁ = Weedy check (No weeding) respectively (Table 4).

Table 1. Weed control (%) of rice under the influence of nutrients levels and weed control practices.

	Weed control practices				
Nutrients levels	Weeds sheels	Herbicide	SWE	Herbicide $(1.25 \text{ L ha}^{-1}) +$	Means
	weeuy check	(2.5 L ha ⁻¹)	(25 L ha ⁻¹)	SWE (25 L ha ⁻¹)	
F_1 = No fertilizer (Control)	0.00	75.577 ab	59.133 d	83.920 a	54.65 A
$F_2 = NPK \ 160 + 80 + 80 \ kg \ ha^{-1}$	0.00	61.647 de	45.833 fg	72.050 bc	44.883 B
$F_3 = NPK + Zn \ 160 + 80 + 80 + 6.0 \ kg \ ha^{-1}$	0.00	62.657 cd	42.283 fg	74.283 ab	44.806 B
$F_4 = NPK + B \ 160 + 80 + 80 + 1.0 \ kg \ ha^{-1}$	0.00	51.793 ef	40.130 g	60.877 de	38.200 C
Mean	0.00 D	62.918 B	46.845 C	72.783 A	-
Variables	Nutrients levels		Weed control practices		$\mathbf{N} imes \mathbf{W}$
SE	2.5062			2.5062	5.0123
LSD = 0.05	5.1183			5.1183	

Table 2. Panicle length (cm) of rice under the influence of nutrients levels and weed control practices.

	Weed control practices				
Nutrients levels	Weedscheels	Herbicide	SWE	Herbicide $(1.25 \text{ L ha}^{-1}) +$	Means
	weeuy check	(2.5 L ha ⁻¹)	(25 L ha ⁻¹)	SWE (25 L ha ⁻¹)	25.76 D
$F_1 = No \text{ fertilizer (Control)}$	23.561	26.50 i	24.53 k	28.46 g	25.76 D
$F_2 = NPK \ 160 + 80 + 80 \ kg \ ha^{-1}$	25.56 ј	30.50 e	27.63 h	31.56 d	28.81 C
$F_3 = NPK + Zn \ 160 + 80 + 80 + 6.0 \ kg \ ha^{-1}$	29.56 f	32.40 c	31.50 d	36.73 a	33.34 A
$F_4 = NPK + B \ 160 + 80 + 80 + 1.0 \ kg \ ha^{-1}$	27.50 h	35.56 b	29.60 f	35.70 b	31.30 B
Mean	26.550 D	31.242 B	28.317 C	33.11 A	-
Variables	Nutrients levels		Weed control practices		$\mathbf{N} imes \mathbf{W}$
SE	0.0495			0.0495	0.0990
LSD = 0.05	0.1011			1011	

Table 3. Kernels panicle⁻¹ of rice under the influence of nutrients levels and weed control practices.

	Weed control practices				
Nutrients levels	Woody abook	Herbicide	SWE	Herbicide (1.25 L ha ⁻¹) +	Means
	weeuy check	(2.5 L ha ⁻¹)	(25 L ha ⁻¹)	SWE (25 L ha ⁻¹)	25.74 D
$F_1 = No \text{ fertilizer (Control)}$	23.561	26.50 i	24.53 k	28.46 g	25.76 D
$F_2 = NPK \ 160 + 80 + 80 \ kg \ ha^{-1}$	25.56 j	30.50 e	27.63 h	31.56 d	28.81 C
$F_3 = NPK + Zn \ 160 + 80 + 80 + 6.0 \ kg \ ha^{-1}$	29.56 f	32.40 c	31.50 d	36.73 a	33.34 A
$F_4 = NPK + B \ 160 + 80 + 80 + 1.0 \ kg \ ha^{-1}$	27.50 h	35.56 b	29.60 f	35.70 b	31.30 B
Mean	26.550 D	31.242 B	28.317 C	33.11 A	-
Variables	Nutrients levels		Weed control practices		$\mathbf{N} imes \mathbf{W}$
SE	0.0495			0.0495	
LSD = 0.05	0.1011			1011	

Table 3. Kernels panicle⁻¹ of rice under the influence of nutrients levels and weed control practices.

	Weed control practices				
Nutrients levels	Weedy check	Herbicide (2.5 L ha ⁻¹)	SWE (25 L ha ⁻¹)	Herbicide (1.25 L ha ⁻¹) + SWE (25 L ha ⁻¹)	Means
F_1 = No fertilizer (Control)	126.67 m	142.33 k	133.671	155.00 i	139.42 D
$F_2 = NPK \ 160 + 80 + 80 \ kg \ ha^{-1}$	142.33 k	168.67 f	141.33 k	183.67 d	159.00 C
$F_3 = NPK + Zn \ 160 + 80 + 80 + 6.0 \ kg \ ha^{-1}$	158.00 h	196.67 c	161.33 g	218.67 a	183.67 A
$F_4 = NPK + B \ 160 + 80 + 80 + 1.0 \ kg \ ha^{-1}$	148.67 j	181.67 e	150.00 j	208.67 b	172.25 B
Mean	143.92 D	172.33 B	146.58 C	191.50 A	-
Variables	Nutrients levels		Weed control practices		$\mathbf{N} imes \mathbf{W}$
SE	0.3773			0.3773	0.7546
LSD = 0.05	0.7706			0.7706	1.5411

	Weed control practices					
Nutrients levels	Weedy check	Herbicide (2.5 L ha ⁻¹)	SWE (25 L ha ⁻¹)	Herbicide (1.25 L ha ⁻¹) + SWE (25 L ha ⁻¹)	Means	
$F_1 = No fertilizer (Control)$	13.000 i	20.367 de	14.000 i	21.600 cd	17.242 D	
$F_2 = NPK \ 160 + 80 + 80 \ kg \ ha^{-1}$	12.933 i	24.133 b	15.380 h	24.600 ab	18.878 C	
$F_3 = NPK + Zn \ 160 + 80 + 80 + 6.0 \ kg \ ha^{-1}$	18.733 fg	22.600 c	19.900 ef	25.833 a	22.492 A	
$F_4 = NPK + B \ 160 + 80 + 80 + 1.0 \ kg \ ha^{-1}$	17.900 g	25.500 a	18.600 fg	24.867 ab	21.375 B	
Mean	15.642 D	23.150 B	16.970 C	24.225 A	-	
Variables	Nutrien	ts levels	Weed	control practices	$\mathbf{N} imes \mathbf{W}$	
SE	0.32	215		0.3215	0.6430	
LSD = 0.05	0.6566 0.6566			0.6566	1.3133	
Table 5. Kernel yield t (ha ⁻¹)	of rice under t	he influence of n	utrients levels a	nd weed control practices.		
		Weed control practices				
Nutrients levels	Weedy chec	k Herbicide (2.5 L ha ⁻¹)	SWE (25 L ha ⁻¹)	Herbicide (1.25 L ha ⁻¹) + SWE (25 L ha ⁻¹)	Means	
$F_1 = No \text{ fertilizer (Control)}$	4.533 i	6.533 g	5.500 h	7.567 ef	6.0333 D	
$F_2 = NPK \ 160 + 80 + 80 \ kg \ ha^{-1}$	5.467 h	7.667 e	6.600 g	8.633 c	7.0917 C	
$F_3 = NPK + Zn \ 160 + 80 + 80 + 6.0 \ kg \ ha^{-1}$	7.500 f	8.600 cd	8.500 d	11.500 a	9.2583 A	
$F_4 = NPK + B \ 160 + 80 + 80 + 1.0 \ kg \ ha^{-1}$	6.500 g	9.533 b	7.567 ef	9.567 b	8.0583 B	
Mean	6.0000 D	8.0833 B	7.0417 C	9.3167 A	-	
Variables	Nutri	ents levels	Wee	d control practices	$\mathbf{N} imes \mathbf{W}$	
SE	0.0322			0.0322		
LSD = 0.05	0.0658 0.0658			0.1317		
Table 6. Protein (%) of rice under the influence of nutrients levels and weed control practices.						
	Weed control practices					
Nutrients levels	Weedy chec	k Herbicide (2.5 L ha ⁻¹)	SWE (25 L ha ⁻¹)	Herbicide (1.25 L ha ⁻¹) + SWE (25 L ha ⁻¹)	Means	
$F_1 = No \text{ fertilizer (Control)}$	7.367 i	8.400 g	7.933 h	9.867 e	8.392 D	
$F_2 = NPK \ 160 + 80 + 80 \ kg \ ha^{-1}$	7.533 hi	10.900 d	7.800 h	11.900 c	9.533 C	
$F_3 = NPK + Zn \ 160 + 80 + 80 + 6.0 \ kg \ ha^{-1}$	8.500 g	12.567 b	9.400 f	13.000 a	10.900 A	
$F_4 = NPK + B \ 160 + 80 + 80 + 1.0 \ kg \ ha^{-1}$	8.400 g	12.700 ab	9.067 f	12.400 b	10.608 B	
Mean	7.950 D	11.14 <mark>2</mark> B	8.550 C	11.792 A	-	
Variables	Nutr	ients levels	We	Weed control practices		
SE	0.1027			0.1027		
LSD = 0.05	0.2097			0.2097		

Table 4. Seed Index (1000 kernel wt. g) of rice under the influence of nutrients levels and weed control practices.

Kernel yield t ha⁻¹: Results showed that highest kernel yield t (9.2583 ha⁻¹) was obtained at $F_3 = NPK + Zn$ 160+80+80+6.0 kg ha⁻¹ followed by (8.0583 ha⁻¹) in F₄ = NPK + B 160+80+80+1.0 kg ha⁻¹ and the minimum kernel yield t (6.0333 ha⁻¹) was observed at F_1 = No fertilizer (Control). In case of weed control practices the maximum kernel yield t (9.3167 ha⁻¹) was recorded at W_4 = Herbicide Machete 60 EC at 1.25 L ha⁻¹ + Sorghum water extract at 25 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT) followed by 8.0833 was recorded at W_2 = Herbicide Machete 60 EC at 2.5 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT) whereas the lowest value (6.0000 ha⁻¹) was observed at W_1 = Weedy check (No weeding). Interaction of nutrients levels and weed control practices showed highly significant response for kernel yield ha⁻¹. Maximum kernel yield t (11.500 ha⁻¹) was recorded with $F_3 = NPK + Zn \ 160+80+80+6.0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ x W₄ = Herbicide Machete 60 EC at 1.25 L ha⁻¹ + Sorghum water extract at 25 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT), followed by 9.3167 at $F_4 = NPK + B \ 160+80+80+1.0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ x } W_4 = \text{Herbicide}$ Machete 60 EC at 1.25 L ha⁻¹ + Sorghum water extract at 25 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT). Whereas, minimum kernel yield t (4.533 ha⁻¹) was observed with F_1 = No fertilizer (Control) $x W_1$ = Weedy check (No weeding) respectively (Table 5). Protein content (%): Maximum protein (10.900 %) was recorded at $F_3 = NPK + Zn \ 160 + 80 + 80 + 6.0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$

followed by (10.608 %) in $F_4 = NPK + B \ 160 + 80 + 80 +$ 1.0 kg ha⁻¹ and the minimum protein (8.392 %) at $F_1 =$ No fertilizer (Control) .In case of weed control practices the maximum ash (11.792%) was recorded at $W_4 =$ Herbicide Machete 60 EC at 1.25 L ha⁻¹ + Sorghum water extract at 25 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT) .followed by (11.142 %) was recorded at W_2 = Herbicide Machete 60 EC at 2.5 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT) whereas the lowest value (7.950 %) was observed at W_1 = Weedy check (No weeding). As per results the interaction of nutrients levels and weed control practices showed highly significant response for protein (%). Maximum protein (13.000 %) was recorded with $F_3 = NPK + Zn \ 160+80+80+6.0 \text{ kg } ha^{-1} \text{ x } W_4 =$ Herbicide Machete 60 EC at 1.25 L ha⁻¹ + Sorghum water extract at 25 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT), followed by 12.700 at $F_4 = NPK + B \ 160+80+80+1.0 \ kg \ ha^{-1} \ x \ W_2 =$ Herbicide Machete 60 EC at 2.5 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT). Whereas, minimum protein (7.3671 %) was observed with F_1 = No fertilizer (Control) x W_1 = Weedy check (No weeding) respectively (Table 6). Discussion

In case of weed control practices the minimum values was recorded with $W_3 = Sorghum$ water extract at 25 L ha⁻¹

(10 DAT). However, minimum weed control at $F_4 = NPK +$ B 160+80+ 80+1.0 kg ha⁻¹ and lowest value (0.00 %) was observed at W_1 = Weedy check (No weeding). For direct cultivated rice, it is critical to keep field weed free for initial 30 days (Ramana et al., 2007). Similar results were also reported by Laxminarayan & Mishra (2001) that weeding and chemical treatments reduced weed population compared to weedy check. Maximum weed density was recorded where no fertilizer was applied. Moreover, maximum weed fresh biomass was recorded in response to the highest dose of fertilizer, i.e. at $F_3 = NPK + Zn \ 160+80+80+6.0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ while maximum weed dry biomass was recorded at $F_4 =$ NPK + B 160+80+80+1.0 kg ha⁻¹. Furthermore, maximum weed density 90.3 m⁻² was found at W_4 = Herbicide Machete 60 EC at 1.25 L ha⁻¹ + sorghum water extract at 25 L ha⁻¹(10 DAT). The effect of integrated nutrient and weed control practices on rice plant traitsresulted fordifferent nutrient levelsas maximum panicle length cm, kernels panicle⁻¹ cm, seed index (1000 kernel wt. g), kernel yield kg (ha⁻¹) and protein (%) was recorded at $F_3 = NPK + Zn \ 160+80+80+6.0$ kg ha⁻¹. However, maximum crop growth rate (gm⁻² day⁻¹) recorded at $F_4 = NPK + B \ 160 + 80 + 80 + 1.0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$. In terms of integrated nutrient management practices similar findings are reported by Meena et al., (2016) and Redovnikovic et al., (2017) that a lack of these elements results in stunted root growth, ineffective seed generation, and lower yields. Thus, P and K are essential for nutrient absorption because they stimulate growth. Theweed control practices resulted the maximum panicle length (cm), kernels panicle⁻¹, kernels wt. panicle⁻¹ (g), seed index (1000 yield wt. g), kernel yield kg (ha⁻¹), and protein (%)was recorded at W_4 = Herbicide Machete 60 EC at 1.25 L ha⁻¹ + Sorghum water extract at 25 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT). However, maximum crop growth rate (gm⁻ 2 day⁻¹) was recorded at W₃ = Sorghum water extract at 25 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT). The results were in close agreement with Mukherjee & Singh (2005) who indicated that herbicides were viable against weedy species, yet the greater part of them are explicit and are successful against slender scope of weed species. However, interactive effect of integrated nutrient and weed control practices on rice plant traits resulted maximum interactive values for panicle length, kernels panicle⁻¹, seed index (1000 kernel wt. g), biological yield (t ha⁻¹), and protein (%) with $F_3 = NPK + Zn$: $160+80+80+6.0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ x } W_4 = \text{Herbicide Machete 60 EC}$ at 1.25 L ha⁻¹ + Sorghum water extract at 25 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT). The maximum kernels wt. panicle⁻¹ (g) was recorded with $F_3 = NPK + Zn \ 160+80+80+6.0 \ kg \ ha^{-1} \ x \ W_3 =$ Sorghum water extract at 25 L ha⁻¹ (10 DAT). Laxminarayan & Mishra (2015) further depicted that there is need to explore the efficacy of the method of controlling weeds with the application of fertilizers for augmenting the crop yield. Consequently, Plett et al., (2020) also advocated for incorporation of new phenotypic technologies, breeding strategies, and agronomic practices to improve crop yield production systems. It is concluded that rice as major nutritional crop of world, people need well sensitization about adequate management of plant nutrients and weeds control (Plett et al., 2020). Consequently, nutrient management is critical in rice cultivation to achieve a stable grain yield and a good economic return while improving product quality (Paul et al., 2021). A well understanding in terms of NPK levels, zinc, boron and weed management as well as suitable integration to support maximization of quality rice production is prerequisite. Therefore, adequate management of plant nutrients and weeds is crucial for the enhanced yield and quality of rice.

Conclusion

The study confirms to establish adequate management practices through different levels of nutrients and weed control for the enhancement of quality Paddy (rice) production. The integrated application of plant nutrients and weed control practices should be applied from sowing to harvesting for achieving higher yield of rice crop. The extended study of field and laboratory experiments should be conducted to determine efficacy of different NPK nutrient levels in terms of rice crop. Rice growers' capacity should be raised while dealing with the rice plant nutrition to get higher yield by applying integrated approach with efficient weed control measures.

Acknowledgement

This study is a part of PhD dissertation research of the first author. The research facilities were provided by the Department of Agronomy, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, Pakistan.

References

- Anonymous. 2016 Consortium of International Agricultural Research Centers.
- Bisht, K. and P.V. Ramana. 2017. Evaluation of mechanical and durability properties of crumb rubber concrete. *Constr Build Mater.*, 155: 811-817.
- Camilla, G., D.D. Chiarelli, A. Luciano, L. Pinotti and M.C. Rulli. 2022. Global assessment of land and water resource demand for pork supply. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 17(7): 074003.
- Cheema, M.A., M.I.A. Rehmani, S. Khuhro, S. Rajput, A.L. Virk and K. Hessini. 2021. Potassium fertilization improves growth, yield and seed quality of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) under drought stress at different growth stages. *PloS One.*, 16(9): e0256075.
- Gadal, N., J. Shrestha, M.N. Poudel and B. Pokharel. 2019. A review on production status and growing environments of rice in Nepal and in the world. *Arch. Agric. Environ. Sci.*, 4(1): 83-87.
- Hill, T. and P. Lewicki. 2006. Statistics: Methods and Applications. Tulsa (OK): StatSoft. Inc.
- Islam, M.S., K. Hasan, A.E. Sabagh, E. Rashwan and C. Barutçular. 2017. Yield and yield contributing characters of mung bean as influenced by zinc and boron. *Adv Agric.*, 6(1): 391-397.
- Laxminarayan, P. and B.N. Mishra. 2001. Effect of nutrient and weed management practices on weeds and yield of transplanted rice. *Ind. J. Agric. Res.*, 22: 258-61.
- Majeed, A., I. Rashid, A. Niaz, A. Ditta, A. Sameen, A.A. Al-Huqail and M.H. Siddiqui. 2022. Balanced use of Zn, Cu, Fe, and B improves the yield and sucrose contents of sugarcane juice cultivated in sandy clay loam Soil. *Agron.*, 12(3): 696 (1-11), https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12030696.
- Mandal, M.S.H., M.H. Ali, A.K.M.R. Amin, S.M. Masum and H. Mehraj. 2016. Assessment of benzoic acid and sorgaab effect on weed management and rice yield. J. Plant Sci.,

66(2): 159-65.

- Meena, M.D., P.K. Joshi, B. Narjary, P. Sheoran, H.S. Jat, A.R. Chinchmalatpure and D.K. Sharma. 2016. Effects of municipal solid waste compost, rice-straw compost and mineral fertilisers on biological and chemical properties of a saline soil and yields in a mustard–pearl millet cropping system. *Soil Res.*, 54(8): 958-969.
- Mukherjee, D. and R.P. Singh. 2005. Effect of micro-herbicides on weed dynamics, yield and economics of transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Ind. J. Agron.*, 50(4): 292-295.
- Obata, H., T. Kim, Y. Kondo, H. Ogawa and T. Gamo. 2015. Distribution and speciation of dissolved zinc in the western North Pacific and its adjacent seas. *Mar. Chem.*, 173: 330-341.
- Paul, E., A. Steptoe and D. Fancourt. 2021. Attitudes towards vaccines and intention to vaccinate against COVID-19: Implications for public health communications. *Lancet Reg. Health Eur.*, 1: 100012.

- Rai, M. 2019. Hindu rulers, Muslim subjects. In *Hindu Rulers*, *Muslim Subjects*. Princeton University Press.
- Ramana, A.V., G.J. Naidu and K.V. Murthy. 2007. Integrated weed management in rainfed upland rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Ind. J. Agron.*, 52(4): 311-314.
- Redovniković, I.R., A. De Marco, C. Proietti, K. Hanousek, M. Sedak, N. Bilandžić and T. Jakovljević. 2017. Poplar response to cadmium and lead soil contamination. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.*, 144: 482-489.
- Shah, J.A., N. Rais, Zia-ul-hassan, M. Abbas and M.Y. Memon. 2016. Evaluating non-aromatic rice varieties for growth and yield under different rates of soil applied boron. *Pak. J. Anal. Environ. Chem.*, 17(1): 1-7.
- Subhadeep, M., G.C. Banik, R. Chatterjee, D. Mukhopadhyay and M.K. Debnath. 2022. Effect of farmyard manure and boron on cauliflower productivity in an acidic Entisol of Eastern Himalayan flood plains. J. Plant Nutr., 46(4): 1-14.

(Received for publication 4 July 2023)