

## SALT STRESS ALLEVIATION IN *FRAGARIA* x *ANANASSA* PLANTS BY FOLIAR APPLICATION OF ASCORBIC ACID

SAMRA HAIDER<sup>1</sup>, SHEZA AYAZ KHILJI<sup>2</sup>, SHUMAILA MANSHA<sup>1</sup>, MUHAMMAD UMAIR<sup>1</sup>, SHEHLA NOREEN<sup>1</sup> AND ZAHOOR AHMAD SAJID<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Plant Developmental and Regenerative Biology Laboratory, Institute of Botany, University of the Punjab, Quaid-e-Azam Campus 54590, Lahore Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Botany, Division of Science and Technology, University of Education Lahore  
ORCID; 0000-0002-8954-6282; Phone: +92(0)3347407566

\*Corresponding author's email: [zahoor.botany@pu.edu.pk](mailto:zahoor.botany@pu.edu.pk)

### Abstract

Foliar application of ascorbic acid (AsA) was investigated to evaluate its potential for alleviating the salinity stress on strawberry plants. Plants grown in the plastic pots were irrigated with various concentrations of NaCl (0, 50, 100 and 200 mM) to induce salinity stress. Simultaneously, foliar spray of ascorbic acid (0, 0.5 and 1 mM) was applied on plants at regular intervals of 7 days over a period of 30 days. The results revealed that most vegetative and reproductive growth attributes were significantly reduced under salt stress, particularly at 200 mM NaCl. Foliar application of ascorbic acid partially mitigated the adverse effects of salt. All the vegetative growth parameters such as stem diameter, leaf length, leaf width and number of leaves were increased significantly by applying 1 mM ascorbic acid treatment. However, reproductive growth attributes except the number of flowers did not show significant improvement following AsA application under salt stress condition. The plants' protein contents were decreased under NaCl treatment and were not significantly increased with the ascorbic acid application. Similarly, antioxidant enzyme activities including superoxide dismutase (SOD) and peroxidases (POD) were increased under salt stress but did not show much enhancement in response to AsA application. This suggests that their activity primarily reflected stress responsive rather than yield protective role. The outcomes of this investigation indicated that applied AsA provided only partial stress mitigation. Therefore, high AsA concentrations (>1 mM) should be investigated in future studies to determine optimal dose for improving both vegetative and reproductive growth under saline conditions.

**Key words:** Ascorbic acid; Foliar application; *Fragaria* x *ananassa*; Salt stress

### Introduction

Strawberry (*Fragaria* x *ananassa* (Duchesne ex Weston) Duchesne ex Rozier) an important commercial fruit plant, is cultivated all over the world on a large scale for its nutritious fruits (Crizel *et al.*, 2020). Strawberry is a short-day plant and produces a single main crop per year (Asrey *et al.*, 2004; Singh *et al.*, 2021). It is a significant source of natural antioxidants, minerals, vitamins, flavonoids, phenolics and anthocyanins. These bioactive compounds are helpful for heart health and control of diabetes (Wang & Lin, 2000). Strawberry has high oxygen radical absorbance capacity against superoxide, hydroxyl radicals, peroxy radicals, singlet oxygen and hydrogen peroxide (Wang & Jiao, 2000).

Among all the abiotic stresses, salinity is the major problem that reduces the plant growth and yield at the global level (Kamran *et al.*, 2020). A soil is called saline when it contains approximately 40 mM or high NaCl (Van-Zelm *et al.*, 2020). The total area of irrigated land worldwide is 583.1 million hectares (Turdaliev *et al.*, 2022), about 25% of which is affected by salinity (Kholliyev & Teshaeva, 2021). Soluble salt (NaCl) in large amounts causes the osmotic stress and sodium acts as an exchangeable ion which causes ion toxicity (Tavakkoli *et al.*, 2011; Munns *et al.*, 2020). Sodium ions accumulate in the plant leaves and affect cell metabolism, different stages of development, seed germination, vegetative growth,

seedlings growth, flowering and fruiting of the plants (Munns *et al.*, 2002; Javed *et al.*, 2007; Ahsan & Sajid, 2026). Photosynthesis is also greatly affected by salinity as it reduces the functioning and efficiency of both photosystems (PSI and PSII), damages the ultrastructure of chloroplasts, and reduces the stomatal conductance as a result of osmotic stress (Gao *et al.*, 2016; Pan *et al.*, 2020). Under saline conditions, sodium and chloride ions accumulate and inhibit the uptake of potassium and calcium, resulting in an ionic imbalance. It is also worth mentioning that these physiological and biochemical changes are growth stage-specific and related to yield attributes (Isayenkov & Maathuis, 2019; Khalil *et al.*, 2025). Salinity also increases the reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), hydroxyl radical (OH<sup>•</sup>), singlet oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) in different plant causing protein and DNA damage, membrane deterioration, lipid peroxidation and disruption of various macromolecules (Oukarroum *et al.*, 2015; Lu *et al.*, 2016; El-Ghazali, 2020; Rasool *et al.*, 2025). There are several strategies to cope with the salt stress problem in crops like screening and developing of salt tolerant varieties, but such processes are usually time-consuming (Wu *et al.*, 2015; Khan *et al.*, 2016). Under these circumstances, the exogenous application of osmo-protectants, minerals, vitamins, and plant growth regulators has emerged as a practical and rapid alternative for enhancing stress tolerance. This approach has been successfully investigated in several

important commercial crops including rice (Jiang *et al.*, 2010), wheat (Anosheh *et al.*, 2012), corn (Fahad & Bano, 2012), barley (Fayez & Bazaid, 2014), sunflower (Noreen *et al.*, 2019), and potato (Gull *et al.*, 2022).

Ascorbic acid (AsA) is an important water-soluble vitamin which is important in cell signaling process during plant stress to combat the oxidative stress and production of ROS under stressful conditions (Foyer & Noctor, 2011; Abdelgawad *et al.*, 2020; Ahmad *et al.*, 2024). Foliar application of ascorbic acid allows its rapid absorption and direct utilization in different plant cells, which may enhance plant tolerance to abiotic stresses (Billah *et al.*, 2017). The application of ascorbic acid to mitigate different abiotic stresses is reported in barley (Agami, 2014), maize (Hussein and Alva, 2014; Billah *et al.*, 2017), rice (Alhasnawi *et al.*, 2016), sweet pepper (Barzegar *et al.*, 2018), and wheat (Zhou *et al.*, 2022). Ascorbic acid functions as an enzyme cofactor, and participates in photosynthesis, photoprotection, synthesis of ethylene, gibberellins, anthocyanins, and hydroxyproline (Smirnoff & Wheeler, 2000; Bybordi, 2012). It is also reported to play its important role in production of various hormones in plants (Fahad *et al.*, 2016), cell wall expansion in many perennial halophytes (Hameed *et al.*, 2015), photosynthetic pigments in tomato (Alayafi, 2020), ion uptake in okra (Wang, 2019) and regulation of antioxidant system in tomato (Hussein and Alva, 2014; Siddiqui *et al.*, 2019). Previous studies have reported the beneficial effects of ascorbic acid application on strawberry plants under stress (Ergin *et al.*, 2014; Ahmad *et al.*, 2024). Although the stress mitigating role of AsA is well documented in other crop, studies focusing on foliar AsA mediated salinity tolerance in strawberry are limited. Therefore, the present study was conducted to evaluate the effect of foliar application of different levels of ascorbic acid on the vegetative growth, reproductive attributes, total soluble proteins content and antioxidant enzymes activities like superoxide dismutase and peroxidase of strawberry plants grown under salt stress.

## Materials and Methods

**Procurement of plant material:** Strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa*) offshoots (about 2.5 cm) from three months old vigorously growing plants were procured from Chaudhry Bashir Ahmed Nursery located in Model Town Lahore, Pakistan (31.4821 °N, 74.3220 °E).

**Preparation of potting mix and the planting of strawberry offshoots:** Potting mix for transplantation of strawberry offshoots was prepared by mixing garden soil and organic matter obtained from the Botanical Garden, University of the Punjab, Lahore. The soil was first sieved to remove straws, stones and all the particles having the diameter more than 5 mm. It was then mixed with organic matter in 1:1 ratio and this potting mix was taken in plastic pots of 20 cm diameter. Healthy offshoots of strawberry were planted into these pots at the depth of 1 cm with one offshoot per pot. These pots were kept under controlled conditions of greenhouse (26/16°C day/night temperature, 16/8 h. photoperiod and 60% relative humidity).

**Experimental design and salt/ ascorbic acid treatment:** This experiment was started in the last week of January 2024 at the Botanical Garden, University of the Punjab, Lahore (Pakistan). The experiment was conducted in a complete

randomized block design (CRBD) under controlled environmental conditions. Each treatment consisted of three replicates with one pot containing a single plant, resulting in three independent plants per treatment. All the plants were irrigated as required and weeding was performed manually. Pots were covered with polythene sheet during rainfall and later placed under open field conditions of natural light and temperature. Salt stress was imposed using sodium chloride (NaCl) solutions at concentrations of 0, 50, 100, 200 mM through root drenching method after plant establishment (four weeks after planting). Simultaneously, foliar application of ascorbic acid (0, 0.5, 1mM) was also applied to these salt treated plants. The selected concentration of ascorbic acid was based on previously reported effective range in other crops. In total, twelve treatments (T<sub>0</sub>-T<sub>11</sub>) combination were evaluated (Table 1). Salt and ascorbic acid treatments were applied at an interval of 7 days for 30 days.

### Sampling and data collection for Morphological

**attributes:** Plants were harvested at random from each treatment for recording the data of different attributes. Data were collected for vegetative growth parameters such as shoot length, shoot diameter, leaf length, and leaf width at 30<sup>th</sup> day of salt and ascorbic acid treatment. A measuring tape was used to record various growth parameters. For reproductive growth parameters, the data were collected for number of flowers and number of fruits, fruit size, fresh and dry weight of fruits at 60<sup>th</sup> day of experiment at the time of final harvest.

**Data collection for Biochemical attributes:** Total soluble proteins and activities of antioxidant enzymes like SOD and POD were also estimated in the leaves collected at the time of final harvest at 60<sup>th</sup> day of experiment by the following method.

**Extraction of Protein:** For protein and antioxidant assay, fresh plant material (leaves) was ground in liquid nitrogen with 0.1 g of polyvinyl-pyrrolidone (PVP) into a fine powder using a pestle and mortar. Then 2.0 ml of 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.2 was added to the ground tissue containing 0.5% (v/v) Triton X-100 and the homogenate was centrifuged (Sorval RB-5 refrigerated super-speed centrifuge) for 30 min at 14,000 rpm at 4°C. Finally, the supernatant was stored at 0°C for estimation of protein and antioxidant enzyme activities.

Total soluble protein content was analyzed using the supernatant by Racusen & Johnstone (1961) method with certain modifications. Biuret reagent (2.0 ml) was added in two test tubes separately. Supernatant was added in experimental sample and the control sample consisted of 0.2 ml of distilled water. The optical density was measured at 545 nm using a spectrophotometer (UV 4000, 21029 Hamburg, Germany). Total protein contents were estimated using standard curve prepared by bovine serum albumin. The following formula was used for the estimation of protein contents.

$$\text{Protein contents (mg/g)} = \frac{\text{CV} \times \text{TE}}{\text{EU} \times \text{Wt} \times 1000}$$

CV = Curve value

TE = Total extract

EU = Extract used

Wt = Fresh weight of plant material

**Estimation of peroxidase and superoxide dismutase:**

For peroxidase (E.C 1.11.1.7) activity, Guaiacol-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>' method of Luck (1974) was performed with certain modification. According to this 3.0 ml 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.2), 0.05 ml of 20 mM guaiacol solution (2-methoxyphenol), 0.1 ml crude enzyme extract and 0.03 ml of 12.3 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution was taken in a test tube. From this mixture 1 mL was added in a cuvette and peroxidase activity was measured by time required to increase the absorbance by a value of 0.1 (e.g., 0.4-0.5) at 240 nm and expressed as U/ml of enzyme.

The activity of superoxide dismutase (E.C 1.15.1.1) was measured following the method of Maral *et al.*, (1977). SOD activity was analyzed by measuring the capability to

inhibit photochemical reduction of nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT). For this purpose, reaction mixture containing 2.0 ml of 1.0 mM sodium cyanide (NaCN), 13 mM methionine, 75 μM NBT, 0.1 mM EDTA and 2.0 μM riboflavin was taken in two test tubes. Enzyme extract or supernatant 5.0 μl was added in one tube and distilled water in same amount was added in other tube considered as a control. Both the test tubes were placed approximately 30 cm under the bank of two 30-W fluorescent tubes for 25 min. After the required time, the absorbance (560 nm) of both samples was compared. Superoxide dismutase activity was calculated by the percentage inhibition of NBT. The SOD activity was calculated based on the fact that one unit of SOD caused 50 % inhibition and expressed as U/mg of Protein.

$$\% \text{ Inhibition} = \frac{\text{Absorbance of control sample} - \text{Absorbance of experimental sample}}{\text{Absorbance of experimental sample}} \times 100$$

**Statistical analysis:** One way ANOVA was performed on the data using SPSS version 23.0.0 to determine the mean values of replicates for all the treatments at  $p \leq 0.05$ . Duncan's multiple range tests were also performed for the comparison of the mean values to assess any significant differences. Pearson correlation between any two parameters was calculated through OriginPro 23.0.0 and Principal component analysis was performed by using Minitab 17.

**Results**

**Vegetative growth parameters:** The results revealed that the shoot length of strawberry plants without any treatment of salt and AsA, (T<sub>0</sub>) was 9.21 cm was decreased to 8.81 cm at 200 mM salt concentration (T<sub>3</sub>). This effect was mitigated on applying AsA and shoot length was increased up to 10.1 cm on applying 1 mM AsA (T<sub>11</sub>) with a significant difference with all the other treatments except T<sub>8</sub> in which there was no salt applied. Foliar application of AsA (1 mM) did not affect the stem diameter significantly which was reduced to 0.41 cm at 200 mM salt application (T<sub>3</sub>) from 0.46 cm at control (T<sub>0</sub>) and increased just up to 0.42 cm at T<sub>11</sub> upon applying 1 mM AsA. Leaf length on 30<sup>th</sup> day of the experiment was reduced from 6.20 cm at control (T<sub>0</sub>) to 5.80 cm upon applying 200 mM of salt (T<sub>3</sub>) which was increased up to 6.12 cm upon applying 1mM AsA (T<sub>11</sub>) although it had no significant difference with all other treatments. Leaf width which was reduced to 5.92 cm at T<sub>3</sub> from 6.11 cm at T<sub>0</sub> also did not increase significantly at T<sub>11</sub> being 5.90 cm. A reduction in number of leaves was also observed from 8.50 at T<sub>0</sub> to 7.99 at T<sub>3</sub> which was not increased significantly (7.82) at T<sub>11</sub> (Table 1).

**Reproductive growth parameters:** The number of flowers was also observed to decrease from 1.32 at T<sub>0</sub> to 0.99 at 200 mM salt treatment (T<sub>3</sub>) with a significant difference which was increased up to 1.32 at T<sub>11</sub> on applying 1 mM AsA. The number of fruits was reduced up to 6.51 at T<sub>3</sub> with a significant difference from 7.52 at T<sub>0</sub> which was further decreased to 4.30 on applying 1 mM AsA (T<sub>11</sub>) at the time of final harvest. Reduction in fruit size was also recorded from 2.70 at T<sub>0</sub> to 2.21 cm at T<sub>3</sub> which was further decreased up to 1.52 cm at T<sub>11</sub> with a

significant difference with T<sub>0</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>. Fruit fresh weight was decreased from 12.08 g at T<sub>0</sub> to 9.18 g at T<sub>3</sub> with a significant difference which was further decreased up to 8.55 g T<sub>11</sub> even after applying 1 mM AsA. Fruit dry weight was also reduced from 9.15 g to 7.59 g with increasing salt concentration up to 200 mM (T<sub>3</sub>) and was further decreased up to 6.99 g at 1mM AsA application in case of T<sub>11</sub> with a non-significant difference (Table 2).

**Total soluble protein, superoxide dismutase and peroxidase activity:**

Total soluble protein contents of strawberry plants exhibited a decrease from 4.25 at T<sub>0</sub> to 3.65 mg/g at T<sub>3</sub> which was further decreased up to 2.48 mg/g at T<sub>11</sub> with a significant difference with T<sub>0</sub> and T<sub>11</sub>. The activity of superoxide dismutase was increased from 109.44 at T<sub>0</sub> to 138.9 U/mg of protein on applying 200 mM salt, with a significant difference which exhibited a non-significant an increase up to 140.55 U/mg of protein at T<sub>11</sub>. Peroxidase activity also showed a direct relationship with salinity, increased significantly from 0.15 to 0.50 U/ml of enzyme as salinity increased from 0 to 200 mM. This value was further increased significantly up to 0.72 U/ml of enzyme on applying 1 mM AsA in case of T<sub>11</sub> (Table 3). The correlation matrix was obtained by Pearson correlation analysis exhibited a significant correlation between the parameters having the correlation coefficient above 0.7 whereas the parameters with correlation coefficient from 0.5 - 0.7 were moderately correlated with each other (Fig. 1). The principal component analysis further revealed the trend of response amongst different parameters studied during this experiment. The components 1 (83.6%) and 2 (9.3%) explained a total of 92.9% variance in the data. Figure 2A showed that all the parameters except SOD and POD activity responded in the same manner. The distance amongst the lines representing the parameters indicated that how closely similar was their response with each other. In addition, the treatments in the same groups had a non-significant difference in their effect on strawberry plants while those located in different groups had significant difference in their effect (Fig. 2B). Figure 3(A, B, C, and D) represents the effect of different treatments on reproductive growth parameters of strawberry plants in current experiment.

**Table 1. Effect of different levels of AsA and NaCl on growth parameters of strawberry plants.**

Treatments	AsA + NaCl	Leaf length (cm)	Leaf width (cm)	Shoot length	Stem diameter	Number of leaves
T <sub>0</sub>	0 + 0	6.20 ± 0.24 <sup>ab</sup>	6.11 ± 0.71 <sup>b</sup>	9.21 ± 1.06 <sup>b</sup>	0.46 ± 0.05 <sup>b</sup>	8.50 ± 0.70 <sup>de</sup>
T <sub>1</sub>	0 + 50	6.31 ± 0.53 <sup>ab</sup>	6.16 ± 0.28 <sup>a</sup>	9.91 ± 1.06 <sup>b</sup>	0.49 ± 0.05 <sup>b</sup>	9.90 ± 1.14 <sup>e</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	0 + 100	6.70 ± 0.07 <sup>ac</sup>	6.21 ± 0.14 <sup>a</sup>	11.00 ± 0.42 <sup>d</sup>	0.55 ± 0.02 <sup>c</sup>	10.71 ± 0.63 <sup>ef</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	0 + 200	5.80 ± 0.42 <sup>a</sup>	5.92 ± 0.27 <sup>a</sup>	8.81 ± 0.21 <sup>a</sup>	0.41 ± 0.09 <sup>b</sup>	7.99 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	0.5 + 0	6.02 ± 1.00 <sup>a</sup>	6.03 ± 0.90 <sup>bc</sup>	9.02 ± 1.61 <sup>a</sup>	0.46 ± 0.05 <sup>b</sup>	8.70 ± 1.12 <sup>de</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	0.5 + 50	6.42 ± 0.41 <sup>ab</sup>	6.14 ± 0.57 <sup>b</sup>	10.70 ± 0.28 <sup>c</sup>	0.50 ± 0.03 <sup>c</sup>	9.41 ± 1.12 <sup>e</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	0.5 + 100	5.51 ± 0.49 <sup>a</sup>	5.81 ± 0.49 <sup>a</sup>	8.79 ± 0.77 <sup>a</sup>	0.37 ± 0.04 <sup>a</sup>	7.43 ± 0.81 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	0.5 + 200	5.70 ± 0.35 <sup>a</sup>	5.90 ± 0.22 <sup>ab</sup>	8.75 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.42 ± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>	8.20 ± 1.41 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	1 + 0	6.22 ± 1.01 <sup>ab</sup>	6.08 ± 0.45 <sup>b</sup>	10.40 ± 0.56 <sup>c</sup>	0.48 ± 0.03 <sup>b</sup>	9.10 ± 0.70 <sup>e</sup>
T <sub>9</sub>	1 + 50	5.24 ± 0.28 <sup>a</sup>	5.57 ± 0.62 <sup>a</sup>	8.63 ± 0.91 <sup>a</sup>	0.35 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	6.59 ± 1.12 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>10</sub>	1 + 100	5.55 ± 0.43 <sup>a</sup>	5.68 ± 0.85 <sup>ab</sup>	8.81 ± 0.92 <sup>a</sup>	0.38 ± 1.01 <sup>a</sup>	7.70 ± 1.12 <sup>cd</sup>
T <sub>11</sub>	1 + 200	6.12 ± 0.22 <sup>ab</sup>	5.89 ± 0.47 <sup>ab</sup>	10.10 ± 1.05 <sup>c</sup>	0.42 ± 0.04 <sup>b</sup>	7.82 ± 0.12 <sup>d</sup>

Data represented is the mean value ± S.E

Different alphabets on mean values represent the significant differences among treatment according to DMRT ( $p \leq 0.05$ )

**Table 2. Effect of different levels of AsA and NaCl on the number of fruits, fruit size, fruit fresh weight and fruit dry weight in strawberry plants.**

Treatments	Number of flowers	Number of fruits	Fruit size (cm)	Fruit fresh weight (g)	Fruit dry weight (g)
T <sub>0</sub>	1.22 ± 0.05 <sup>b</sup>	7.51 ± 0.70 <sup>d</sup>	2.70 ± 0.44 <sup>b</sup>	12.08 ± 0.54 <sup>c</sup>	9.15 ± 1.12 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>1</sub>	1.38 ± 0.07 <sup>b</sup>	7.90 ± 1.41 <sup>d</sup>	2.83 ± 0.12 <sup>b</sup>	14.81 ± 0.31 <sup>cd</sup>	11.04 ± 0.85 <sup>cd</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	1.70 ± 0.04 <sup>bc</sup>	8.30 ± 1.11 <sup>e</sup>	3.12 ± 0.22 <sup>d</sup>	17.45 ± 0.33 <sup>e</sup>	14.58 ± 0.66 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	0.99 ± 0.07 <sup>a</sup>	6.52 ± 1.15 <sup>c</sup>	2.21 ± 0.36 <sup>b</sup>	9.18 ± 0.65 <sup>c</sup>	7.59 ± 0.39 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	1.25 ± 0.07 <sup>b</sup>	6.91 ± 0.35 <sup>c</sup>	2.79 ± 0.22 <sup>bc</sup>	11.14 ± 0.78 <sup>bc</sup>	9.23 ± 0.98 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	1.47 ± 0.07 <sup>bc</sup>	7.4 ± 0.01 <sup>d</sup>	2.91 ± 0.18 <sup>c</sup>	13.55 ± 0.45 <sup>c</sup>	10.01 ± 0.51 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	0.63 ± 0.06 <sup>a</sup>	5.40 ± 0.52 <sup>b</sup>	1.98 ± 0.09 <sup>b</sup>	7.19 ± 0.69 <sup>a</sup>	5.07 ± 0.93 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	1.10 ± 0.04 <sup>ab</sup>	5.88 ± 0.70 <sup>b</sup>	2.65 ± 0.31 <sup>bc</sup>	9.49 ± 0.88 <sup>bc</sup>	7.42 ± 1.13 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	1.45 ± 0.03 <sup>b</sup>	6.23 ± 1.01 <sup>c</sup>	2.80 ± 0.20 <sup>bc</sup>	11.87 ± 0.86 <sup>c</sup>	8.83 ± 0.86 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>9</sub>	0.32 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	3.00 ± 0.87 <sup>a</sup>	1.82 ± 0.33 <sup>a</sup>	6.21 ± 0.55 <sup>a</sup>	4.31 ± 0.47 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>10</sub>	1.08 ± 0.08 <sup>a</sup>	4.01 ± 1.05 <sup>ab</sup>	1.44 ± 0.36 <sup>a</sup>	7.75 ± 0.23 <sup>ab</sup>	5.55 ± 0.38 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>11</sub>	1.32 ± 0.07 <sup>b</sup>	4.30 ± 1.01 <sup>ab</sup>	1.52 ± 0.28 <sup>a</sup>	8.55 ± 0.28 <sup>b</sup>	6.99 ± 0.29 <sup>ab</sup>

Data represented is the mean value ± S.E

Different alphabets on mean values represent the significant differences among treatment according to DMRT ( $p \leq 0.05$ )

**Table 3. Effect of different levels of AsA and NaCl on total soluble proteins, superoxide dismutase activity and peroxidase activity in strawberry plants.**

Treatments	Total soluble proteins (mg/g)	Superoxidase dismutase activity (U/mg of protein)	Peroxidase activity (U/ml of enzyme)
T <sub>0</sub>	4.25 ± 0.43 <sup>d</sup>	109.44 ± 1.57 <sup>a</sup>	0.15 ± 0.03 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>1</sub>	4.47 ± 0.22 <sup>d</sup>	117.31 ± 0.98 <sup>ab</sup>	0.22 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	5.01 ± 0.75 <sup>e</sup>	129.75 ± 1.34 <sup>ab</sup>	0.25 ± 0.064 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	3.65 ± 1.23 <sup>bc</sup>	138.89 ± 1.75 <sup>bc</sup>	0.50 ± 0.02 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	3.81 ± 0.88 <sup>bc</sup>	119.93 ± 1.63 <sup>b</sup>	0.38 ± 0.03 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	4.01 ± 0.43 <sup>c</sup>	121.23 ± 1.22 <sup>b</sup>	0.43 ± 0.03 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	3.42 ± 0.12 <sup>b</sup>	146.31 ± 1.41 <sup>c</sup>	0.64 ± 0.02 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	3.60 ± 0.53 <sup>b</sup>	124.48 ± 1.56 <sup>b</sup>	0.41 ± 0.04 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	3.75 ± 0.65 <sup>b</sup>	130.67 ± 1.49 <sup>b</sup>	0.53 ± 0.01 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>9</sub>	2.48 ± 0.38 <sup>a</sup>	151.96 ± 1.38 <sup>cd</sup>	0.87 ± 0.33 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>10</sub>	2.76 ± 0.26 <sup>a</sup>	138.32 ± 1.61 <sup>bc</sup>	0.68 ± 0.02 <sup>cd</sup>
T <sub>11</sub>	2.90 ± 0.54 <sup>a</sup>	140.55 ± 1.59 <sup>bc</sup>	0.72 ± 0.54 <sup>cd</sup>

Data represented is the mean value ± S.E

Different alphabets on mean values represent the significant differences among treatment according to DMRT ( $p \leq 0.05$ )




Fig. 1. Pearson correlation between different parameters of strawberry plants.




Fig. 2A. Response of different parameters of strawberry plants grown at different treatments of salt and ascorbic acid.






Fig. 2B. Relationship in efficacy of different treatments of salt and ascorbic acid.



A) Effect of AsA (0, 0.5, and 1.0 mM) without salt stress

B) Effect of AsA (0, 0.5, and 1.0 mM) under 50 mM NaCl stress



C) Effect of AsA (0, 0.5, and 1.0 mM) under 100 mM NaCl stress

D) Effect of AsA (0, 0.5, and 1.0 mM) under 200 mM NaCl stress

Fig. 3A-D. Effect of AsA (0, 0.5, and 1.0 mM) under different levels of salt stress.

## Discussion

Salinity is a drastic abiotic stress that damages plants by causing ionic imbalance, osmotic stress and inhibition of photosynthesis (Dedejani & Ghaderi, 2021). The growth and yield of strawberry plants is particularly sensitive to salt stress and effected severely (Yaghubi *et al.*, 2019). Foliar application of some protective compounds has been proposed as an effective strategy to mitigate the deleterious effects of salinity. It allows rapid absorption by aerial tissues and further salt accommodation in root zone unlike soil amendments. Since, ascorbic acid has been reported as an important signal molecule for plant responses against salinity and other abiotic stresses (Hassan *et al.*, 2021). Therefore, it was selected for foliar application in the present study. AsA can lead to the synthesis of hydroxyproline-containing proteins (Bilska *et al.*, 2019) and can reduce oxidative stress by removing free radicals and by acting as a substrate of APX which is an essential enzyme of the ascorbate–glutathione pathway (Sharma *et al.*, 2019). The significant increase in the activity of most antioxidant enzymes, such as SOD, POD, CAT, and APX, are also reported in potato plants grown under salt stress after exogenous application of ascorbic acid (Sajid & Aftab, 2009). Elkelish *et al.*, (2020) also reported in tomato plants that ascorbic acid was very important in the improvement of various physiological as well as biochemical activities such as cell division, flowering, pathogen resistance and cell enlargement.

In present study, increasing NaCl concentration up to 200 mM caused a significant reduction in shoot length, stem diameter, leaf length, leaf width and number of leaves, consistent with the work of Zhang *et al.*, (2020) who reported a decrease in leaf length, leaf width and leaf area under different salt concentrations in tomato seedlings. The number of flowers and fruits was significantly decreased under salt stress, similar trend was also reported by Abdelaal *et al.*, (2020) in sunflower. This could be attributed to the fact that salt in soil solution decreases the water and nutrients uptake which leads to ion toxicity, water deficiency, osmotic stress and nutrient imbalance which results in damage to photosynthetically active leaves (Zahra *et al.*, 2020). Abdel-Farid *et al.*, (2020) also stated that the shoot length, root length, and fruit fresh and dry weight were decreased in tomato plants due to the application of different concentrations of salt. The decline in yield related parameters such as fruit size and their fresh and dry weight observed in the present study in salt treated strawberry plants aligned with the findings of Zorb *et al.*, (2019) who stated the negative effect of soil salinity on biomass, and yield of different plant species. The results of Azarmi & Sayyari (2020) research work also corresponded with the present research as they reported decrease in fresh weight of fruits in lettuce due to the toxicity caused by sodium and chloride ions. Similar results were obtained by Ali *et al.*, (2018) and Tanveer *et al.*, (2020) who stated that fruit fresh and dry mass in tomato was reduced, and growth was also inhibited due to salt stress. Salinity induced disruption of phytohormonal balance, particularly reduced auxin, gibberellin activity and impaired partitioning toward reproductive sink, may explain the sensitivity of fruit development compared to vegetative

growth (Isayenkov & Maathuis, 2019). This differential sensitivity may account for limited improvement in reproductive parameters despite partial recovery of vegetative growth following AsA application. In the present research, the total soluble protein content was significantly decreased at 200 mM NaCl. Similar reduction has been reported in flax (Dubey *et al.*, 2020; Ahsan & Sajid, 2026) and tomato (Arikan *et al.*, 2020) under high salinity. This decline may result from inhibited nitrogen assimilation, enhanced proteolysis and oxidative degradation of protein caused by excessive ROS accumulation under severe salt stress. Salinity induced oxidative stress was further evidenced by increased activities of antioxidant enzymes with rising concentrations of salt from 0 to 200 mM. Same trend was observed by Crizel *et al.*, (2020) who reported the increase in activities of SOD and POD in strawberry plants with increasing levels of NaCl. The results of Mozanzadeh *et al.*, (2021) were also similar with our findings who explained that salinity increased the enzymatic activities of antioxidants such as catalase, peroxidase, and superoxide dismutase. While enhanced antioxidant enzymes activity reflects the activation of defense mechanism, it may also indicate elevated oxidative rather than complete stress alleviation.

The foliar spray of AsA mitigated the effect of salt and enhanced different vegetative growth parameters like shoot length, stem diameter, leaf length, leaf width, and number of leaves when treated with various levels of AsA specifically at 1.0 mM concentration. Our results were similar to Arif *et al.*, (2020), who reported an increase in number of leaves with increasing concentration of AsA. A similar trend was also observed in okra plant by Saheed (2020). The outcomes of a study conducted by Fatima *et al.*, (2020) were also in accordance with our results who observed significant increase in leaf area, leaf and shoots number in fenugreek plant when treated with AsA. Billah *et al.*, (2017) also reported that foliar spray of AsA further increased the production of indigenous AsA in plant cell improving plant resilience and growth under stress. In present study, some reproductive traits such flower number show partial improvement; however, fruit number and fruit biomass did not increase significantly. This suggests that while AsA effectively supports vegetative recovery, its influence on reproductive development under severe salinity (200 mM NaCl) may be limited.

The total soluble protein content was not increased following AsA application in the present study, contrasting with the findings in tomatoes (Dehnadi *et al.*, 2020). This discrepancy may be attributed to the severity of salt stress applied; crop specific metabolic responses or insufficient AsA concentration to restore protein synthesis pathways under extreme salt condition. Similarly, the activities of SOD and POD in present experiment increased under salt stress but did not decrease upon AsA application. The work of Noreen *et al.*, (2021) was similar to the results in present experiment who also noticed an increase in the activities of SOD and POD in barley plants when they were treated with AsA. The sustained elevation of antioxidant enzymes suggests that AsA enhanced the plant's capacity to cope with oxidative stress rather than completely neutralizing the drastic effect of salt.

## Conclusions

The significant and gradual increase in some of the vegetative growth parameters upon applying 1 mM ascorbic acid to the strawberry plants treated with 200 mM salt (NaCl) revealed that this concentration of AsA was effective in alleviating the salt stress on vegetative growth. This effect was however, non-significant on most of the reproductive growth parameters as well as on the total soluble proteins and activities of SOD and POD suggesting that higher than 1 mM concentrations of AsA should be investigated to mitigate the drastic effects of salt stress at 200 mM concentration. Future prospects of present study thus involve investigating higher concentrations to mitigate the drastically damaging effects of salt stress to the plant's physiological and metabolic processes.

**Acknowledgement:** The authors are thankful to the Anonymous Reviewers for their comments and suggestions which enhanced the quality of this manuscript.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the present study.

**Author's Contribution:** SH & MU. performed the experimental work and prepared the preliminary draft, SN&SM analyzed the biochemical parameters and performed the statistical data analysis, SAK & ZAS proofread the draft and supervised the experimental work

**Data Availability:** All the data to support this study are incorporated in this manuscript and if required further information available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author.

## References

Abdelaal, K. A., L. M. EL-Maghraby, H. Elansary, Y. M. Hafez, E. I. Ibrahim, M. El-Banna and A. Elkelish. 2020. Treatment of sweet pepper with stress tolerance-inducing compounds alleviates salinity stress oxidative damage by mediating the physio-biochemical activities and antioxidant systems. *Agron. J.*, 10(1): 26.

Abdel-Farid, I.B., M.R. Marghany, M.M. Rowezek and M.G. Sheded. 2020. Effect of Salinity stress on growth and metabolomic profiling of *Cucumis sativus* and *Solanum lycopersicum*. *Plants*, 9(11): 16-26.

Abd-Elgawad, H., Y.M. Hassan, M.O. Alotaibi, A.E. Mohammed and A.M. Saleh. 2020. C3 and C4 plant systems respond differently to the concurrent challenges of mercuric oxide nanoparticles and future climate CO<sub>2</sub>. *Sci. Total. Environ.*, 749: 142356.

Agami, R.A. 2014. Applications of ascorbic acid or proline increase resistance to salt stress in barley seedlings. *Biol. Plant.*, 58(2): 341-347.

Ahmad, M.A., A. Saleem, M. Tahir, S.A. Khilji, Z.A. Sajid, M Rauf. M. Arif and K.B. Landry. 2024. Ameliorative role of ascorbic acid against drought stress in *Hordeum vulgare* L. through modulation of the polyamines, osmolytes and antioxidant defense system. *S. Afri. J. Bot.*, 172: 726-736.

Ahsan, U. and Z.A. Sajid. 2026. Physiological and biochemical modulation of flax (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) under drought stress using salicylic acid, indole acetic acid and moringa leaf extract. *Sci. Rep.*, 2026. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-026-40048-x>

Alayafi, A.A.M. 2020. Exogenous ascorbic acid induces systemic heat stress tolerance in tomato seedlings: transcriptional regulation mechanism. *Environ. Sci. Pollu. Res.*, 27(16): 19186-19199.

Alhasnawi, A.N., C.C. Radziah, A.A. Kadhimi, A. Isahak, A. Mohamad and W.M. Yusof. 2016. Enhancement of antioxidant enzyme activities in rice callus by ascorbic acid under salinity stress. *Biol. Plant*, 60(4): 783-787.

Ali, S.A.M., A.Y. Idris and H.A. Mohamed. 2018. Effect of Salinity on germination and early seedling growth of chickpea (*Cicer Arietinum* L.). *J. Eng. Appl. Sci.*, 4(8): 2225-2237.

Anosheh, H.P., Y. Emam, M. Ashraf and M.R. Foolad. 2012. Exogenous application of salicylic acid and chlormequat chloride alleviates negative effects of drought stress in wheat. *Adv. Stud. Biol.*, 4(11): 501-520.

Arif, Y., P. Singh, H. Siddiqui, A. Bajguz and S. Hayat. 2020. Salinity induced physiological and biochemical changes in plants: An omics approach towards salt stress tolerance. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.*, 156: 64-77.

Arikan, Ş., M. İpek, A. Eşitken, L. Pırlak, M.F. Dönmez and M. Turan. 2020. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria mitigate deleterious combined effects of salinity and lime in soil in strawberry plants. *J. Plant Nutr.*, 43(13): 2028-2039.

Asrey, R., R.K. Jain and R. Singh. 2004. Effect of pre-harvest chemical treatment on shelf life of 'Chandler' strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa*). *Ind. J. Agric. Sci.*, 74(9): 485-487.

Azarmi-Atajan, F. and M.H. Sayyari-Zohan. 2020. Alleviation of salt stress in lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *J. Hortic. Postharvest Res.*, 3: 67-78.

Barzegar, T., M. Fateh and F. Razavi. 2018. Enhancement of postharvest sensory quality and antioxidant capacity of sweet pepper fruits by foliar applying calcium lactate and ascorbic acid. *Sci. Hort.*, 241: 293-303.

Billah, M., M.M. Rohman, N. Hossain and M.S. Uddin. 2017. Exogenous ascorbic acid improved tolerance in maize (*Zea mays* L.) by increasing antioxidant activity under salinity stress. *Afr. J. Agric. Res.*, 12(17): 1437-1446.

Bilska, K., N. Wojciechowska, S. Alipour and E.M. Kalembe. 2019. Ascorbic acid -The little-known antioxidant in woody plants. *Antioxidants*, 8(12): 645.

Bybordi, A. 2012. Effect of ascorbic acid and silicium on photosynthesis, antioxidant enzyme activity, and fatty acid contents in canola exposure to salt stress. *J. Integr. Agric.*, 11(10): 1610-1620.

Crizel, R.L., E.C. Perin, T.J. Siebeneichler, J.M. Borowski, R.S. Messias, C.V. Rombaldi and V. Galli. 2020. Abscisic acid and stress induced by salt: Effect on the phenylpropanoid, L-ascorbic acid and abscisic acid metabolism of strawberry fruits. *Int. J. Plant Physiol.*, 152: 211-220.

Dedejani, S. and N. Ghaderi. 2021. Salicylic acid and iron nanoparticles application to mitigate the adverse effects of salinity stress under *In vitro* culture of strawberry plants. *Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A: Sci.*, 45(3): 821-831.

Dehnadi, N., S. Saeidisar, B. Roudi, M. Ebadi and N. Masoudian. 2020. Roles of ascorbic acid on physiological, biochemical and molecular system of *Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill. against salt stress. *Iran. J. Plant Physiol.*, 11(1): 3457-3464.

Dubey, S., A. Bhargava, F. Fuentes, S. Shukla and S. Srivastava. 2020. Effect of salinity stress on yield and quality parameters in flax (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *Not. Bot. Horti. Agrobot.*, 48(2): 954-966.

El Ghazali, G.E. 2020. Suaeda vermiculata Forssk. ex JF Gmel.: Structural characteristics and adaptations to salinity and drought: A review. *Int. J. Sci.*, 9(02): 28-33.

Elkelish, A., S.H. Qari, Y.S. Mazrou, K.A. Abdelaal, Y.M. Hafez, A.M. Abu-Elsaoud, G.E.S. Batiha, M. A. El-Esawi and N. El Nahhas. 2020. Exogenous ascorbic acid induced chilling tolerance in tomato plants through modulating metabolism, osmolytes, antioxidants, and transcriptional regulation of catalase and heat shock proteins. *Plants*, 9(4): 431.

- Ergin, S., C. Aydogan, N. Ozturk and E. Turhan. 2014. Effects of ascorbic acid application in strawberry plants during heat stress. *Turkjans*, 1486-1491.
- Fahad, S. and A. Bano. 2012. Effect of salicylic acid on physiological and biochemical characterization of maize grown in saline area. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 44(4): 1433-1438.
- Fahad, S., S. Hussain, S. Saud, S. Hassan, Z. Ihsan, A.N. Shah, C. Wu, M. Yousaf, W. Nasim, H. Alharby, F. Alghabari and J. Huang. 2016. Exogenously applied plant growth regulators enhance the morpho-physiological growth and yield of rice under high temperature. *Front. Plant Sci.*, 7: 1250.
- Fatima, A., M. Farid, H. F. Alharby, A.A. Bamagoos, M. Rizwan and S. Ali. 2020. Efficacy of fenugreek plant for ascorbic acid assisted phytoextraction of copper (Cu); A detailed study of Cu induced morpho-physiological and biochemical alterations. *Chemosphere*, 251: 126424.
- Fayez, K.A. and S.A. Bazaid. 2014. Improving drought and salinity tolerance in barley by application of salicylic acid and potassium nitrate. *J. Saudi Soc. Agric. Sci.*, 13(1): 45-55.
- Foyer, C.H. and G. Noctor. 2011. Ascorbate and glutathione: The heart of the redox hub. *Plant Physiol.*, 155: 2-18.
- Gao, Y., Y. Lu, M. Wu, E. Liang, Y. Li, D. Zhang, Z. Yin, X. Ren, Y. Dai, D. Deng and J. Chen. 2016. Ability to remove Na<sup>+</sup> and retain K<sup>+</sup> correlates with salt tolerance in two maize inbred lines seedlings. *Front. Plant Sci.*, 7: 1716.
- Gull, M., Z.A. Sajid and F. Aftab. 2022. Alleviation of salt stress in *Solanum tuberosum* L. by exogenous application of indoleacetic acid and L-Tryptophan. *J. Plant Growth Regul.*, 42(5): 3257-3273.
- Hameed, A., S. Gulzar, I. Aziz, T. Hussain, B. Gul and M.A. Khan. 2015. Effects of salinity and ascorbic acid on growth, water status and antioxidant system in a perennial halophyte. *AoB Plants*, 7: plv004.
- Hassan, A., S.F., Amjad, M.H. Saleem, H. Yasmin, M. Imran, M. Riaz, Q. Ali, F.A. Joyia, Mobeen, S. Ahmed, S. Ali, A.A. Alsaifi and M.N. Alyemeni. 2021. Foliar application of ascorbic acid enhances salinity stress tolerance in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) through modulation of morpho-physio-biochemical attributes, ions uptake, osmoprotectants and stress response genes expression. *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.*, 28(8): 4276-4290.
- Hussein, M.M. and A.K. Alva. 2014. Effects of zinc and ascorbic acid application on the growth and photosynthetic pigments of millet plants grown under different salinity. *J. Agric. Sci.*, 5(13): 1253.
- Isayenkov, S.V. and F.J. Maathuis. 2019. Plant salinity stress: many unanswered questions remain. *Front. Plant Sci.*, 10: 80.
- Javed, M.T., S.M.A. Basra and I. Afzal. 2007. Physiological improvement of salt tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) through seed priming. *Acta Physiol. Plant*, 29(1): S20-S20.
- Jiang, C.J., M. Shimono, S. Sugano, M. Kojima, K. Yazawa, R. Yoshida and H. Takatsuji. 2010. Abscisic acid interacts antagonistically with salicylic acid signaling pathway in rice- *Magnaporthe grisea*. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.*, 23(6): 791-798.
- Kamran, M., A. Parveen, S. Ahmar, Z. Malik, S. Hussain, M.S. Chatha, M.H. Saleem, M. Adil, P. Heidari and J.T. Chen. 2020. An overview of hazardous impacts of soil salinity in crops, tolerance mechanisms, and amelioration through selenium supplementation. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 21(1): 148.
- Khalil, A., S. Mansha, Z.A. Sajid and F. Aftab. 2025. Pepper-Mint Hydrosol as a natural noval-stimulant for increased growth and antioxidant activity of *Solanum lycopersicum* L. *BMC Plant Biol.*, 25: 895. 10.1186/s12870-025-06144-2
- Khan, S., M.A. Javed, N. Jahan and F.A. Manan. 2016. A short review on the development of salt tolerant cultivars in rice. *Int. J. Public Health Sci.*, 5(2): 201-212.
- Kholliyev, A. and D. Teshaeva. 2021. Soil salinity and water exchange of autumn wheat varieties. Conference Paper, 3(4): 12-19. DOI: 10.36074/logos-05.02.2021.v2.43
- Lu, K., W. Ding and S. Zhu. 2016. Salt-induced difference between *Glycine cyrtoloba* and G. max in anti-oxidative ability and K<sup>+</sup> vs. Na<sup>+</sup> selective accumulation. *Crop J.*, 4(2): 129-138.
- Luck, H. 1974. Methods in enzymatic analysis II. (Ed.): Bergmeyer, U.H. Academic Press New York, p. 885.
- Maral, J., K. Puget and A.M. Michelson. 1977. Comparative study of superoxide dismutase, catalase and glutathione peroxidase levels in erythrocytes of different animals. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.*, 77(4): 1525-1535.
- Mozanzadeh, M.T., O. Safari, R. Oosooli, S. Mehrjooyan, M.Z. Najafabadi, S. J. Hoseini, H. Saghabi and J. Monem. 2021. The effect of salinity on growth performance, digestive and antioxidant enzymes, humoral immunity and stress indices in two euryhaline fish species: Yellowfin seabream (*Acanthopagrus latus*) and Asian seabass (*Lates calcarifer*). *Aquaculture*, 534: 736329.
- Munns, R., D.A. Day, W. Fricke, M. Watt, B. Arsova, B.J. Barkla, C.S. Byrt, Z.H. Chen, K.J. Foster, M. Gilliam, S.W. Henderson, C.L.D. Jenkins, H.J. Kronzucker, S.J. Micalvcis, D., Plett, S.J. Roy, S. Shabal, M.C. Shelden, K.L. Soole, N.L. Taylor, M. Tester, S. Wege, L.H. Wegner and S.D. Tyerman. 2020. Energy costs of salt tolerance in crop plants. *New Phytol.*, 225(3): 1072-1090.
- Munns, R., S. Husain, A.R. Rivelli, R.A. James, A.T. Condon, M.P. Lindsay, E.S. Lagudah, D.P. Schachtman and R.A. Hare. 2002. Avenues for increasing salt tolerance of crops, and the role of physiologically based selection traits. *Plant Soil*, 247: 93-105.
- Noreen, S., M. Sultan, M.S. Akhter, K.H. Shah, U. Ummara, H. Manzoor, M. Ulfat, M.N. Alyemeni and P. Ahmad. 2021. Foliar fertigation of ascorbic acid and zinc improves growth, antioxidant enzyme activity and harvest index in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) grown under salt stress. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.*, 158: 244-254.
- Noreen, S., S. Faiz, M.S. Akhter and K.H. Shah. 2019. Influence of foliar application of osmoprotectants to ameliorate salt stress in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Serhad J. Agric.*, 35(4): 1316-1325.
- Oukarroum, A., F. Bussotti, V. Goltsev and H.M. Kalaji. 2015. Correlation between reactive oxygen species production and photochemistry of photosystems I and II in *Lemna gibba* L. plants under salt stress. *Environ. Exp. Bot.*, 109: 80-88
- Pan, J., F. Peng, A. Tedeschi, X. Xue, T. Wang, J. Liao, W. Zhang and C. Huang. 2020. Do halophytes and glycophytes differ in their interactions with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi under salt stress? A meta-analysis. *Bot. Stud.*, 61: 1-13.
- Racusen, D. and D.B. Johnstone. 1961. Estimation of protein in cellular material. *Nature*, 191(4787): 492-493.
- Rasool, S., K. Rasool, S.A. Khilji and Z.A. Sajid. 2025. Phytochemicals and antioxidant activity of leaf extract and callus cultures of *Cinnamomum camphora* L. *PLoS One*, 20(11): e0321155. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0321155>.
- Saheed, S.A. 2020. Impact of ascorbic acid and potassium on okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) growth in saline condition. *Zanco J. Pure Appl. Sci.*, 32(4): 144-150.
- Sajid, Z.A. and F. Aftab. 2009. Amelioration of salinity tolerance in *Solanum tuberosum* L. by exogenous application of ascorbic acid. *In Vitro Cell Dev. Biol. Plant.*, 45(5): 540-549.
- Sharma, R., R. Bhardwaj, A.K. Thukral, A.A. Al-Huqail, M.H. Siddiqui and P. Ahmad. 2019. Oxidative stress mitigation and initiation of antioxidant and osmoprotectant responses mediated by ascorbic acid in *Brassica juncea* L. subjected to copper (II) stress. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.*, 182: 109436.

- Siddiqui, M.H., S. Alamri, M.Y. Al-Khaishany, M.N. Khan, A. Al-Amri, H.M. Ali and A.A. Alsahli. 2019. Exogenous melatonin counteracts NaCl-induced damage by regulating the antioxidant system, proline and carbohydrates metabolism in tomato seedlings. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 20(2): 353.
- Singh, N., D.H. Dwivedi, S. Kishor and S. Maji. 2021. Improvement in growth and yield of strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa* Duch.) by mulch colour. *J. Environ. Sustain.*, 4: 705-710.
- Smirnoff, N. and G.L. Wheeler. 2000. Ascorbic acid in plants: Biosynthesis and function. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.*, 19(4): 267-290.
- Tanveer, K., S. Gilani, Z. Hussain, R. Ishaq, M. Adeel and N. Ilyas. 2020. Effect of salt stress on tomato plant and the role of calcium. *J. Plant Nutr.*, 43(1): 28-35.
- Tavakkoli, E., F. Fatehi, S. Coventry, P. Rengasamy and G.K. McDonald. 2011. Additive effects of Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> ions on barley growth under salinity stress. *J. Exp. Bot.*, 62(6): 2189-2203.
- Turdaliev, A.T., D.Y. Darmonov, N.I. Teshaboyev, A.A. Saminov and M.A. Abdurakhmonova. 2022. Influence of irrigation with salty water on the composition of absorbed bases of hydromorphic structure of soil. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth Environ. Sci.*, 1068(1): 012047.
- Van Zelm, E., Y. Zhang and C. Testerink. 2020. Salt tolerance mechanisms of plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.*, 71: 403-433.
- Wang, S.Y. and H. Jiao. 2000. Scavenging capacity of berry crops on superoxide radicals, hydrogen peroxide, hydroxyl radicals, and singlet oxygen. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 48(11): 5677-5684.
- Wang, S.Y. and H.S. Lin. 2000. Antioxidant activity in fruits and leaves of blackberry, raspberry, and strawberry varies with cultivar and developmental stage. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 48(2): 140-146.
- Wang, Y. 2019. Comprehensive long non-coding RNA expression profiling by RNA sequencing reveals potential biomarkers for acute myeloid leukemia risk. *Cancer Biomark.*, 26(1): 93-108.
- Wu, H., M. Zhu, L. Shabala, M. Zhou and S. Shabala. 2015. K<sup>+</sup> retention in leaf mesophyll, an overlooked component of salinity tolerance mechanism: A case study for barley. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.*, 57(2): 171-185.
- Yaghubi, K., Y. Vafaei, N. Ghaderi and T. Javadi. 2019. Potassium silicate improves salinity resistant and affects fruit quality in two strawberry cultivars grown under salt stress. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 50(12): 1439-1451.
- Zahra, N., Z.A. Raza and S. Mahmood. 2020. Effect of salinity stress on various growth and physiological attributes of two contrasting maize genotypes. *Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol.*, 63.
- Zhang, Y., E. Kaiser, L.F. Marcelis, Q. Yang and T. Li. 2020. Salt stress and fluctuating light have separate effects on photosynthetic acclimation, but interactively affect biomass. *Plant Cell Environ.*, 43(9): 2192-2206.
- Zhou, Z., C. Wei, H. Liu, Q. Jiao, G. Li, J. Zhang, B. Zhang, W. Jin, D. Lin, G. Chen and S. Yang. 2022. Exogenous ascorbic acid application alleviates cadmium toxicity in seedlings of two wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties by reducing cadmium uptake and enhancing antioxidative capacity. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 29(15): 21739-50.
- Zörb, C., C.M. Geilfus and K.J. Dietz. 2019. Salinity and crop yield. *Plant Biol.*, 21(1): 31-38.