GENETIC VARIABILITY STUDIES IN BRASSICA F₂ POPULATIONS DEVELOPED THROUGH INTER AND INTRA-SPECIFIC HYBRIDIZATION

LAILA FAYYAZ¹, FARHATULLAH^{1*}, SIKANDAR SHAH¹, SIDRA IQBAL¹, MEHWISH KANWAL¹ AND SAJID ALI²

¹Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics the University of Agriculture, Peshawar, Pakistan ²Institute of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering, The University of Agriculture, Peshawar, Pakistan ^{*}Corresponding author's email: drfarhat@aup.edu.pk

Abstract

Assessment of variability and the heritable proportion of this variability are crucial to estimate the genetic advance in oilseed Brassica improvement, as in all crops. These may be variable in different segregating populations, including the F_2 populations of different crosses and should be studied to select the appropriate segregating population for further improvement. We; therefore, report on the estimation of variability, heritability and genetic advance for ten parental lines and the four intraspecific and four interspecific F_2 populations of brassica at New Developmental Farm, of the University of Agriculture, Peshawar for biochemical parameters. The experimental material studied was grown in the 1st week of October, 2010 in a randomized complete block design with three replications. In all genotypes highly significant (p ≤ 0.01) differences were recorded for protein, glucosinolates, oleic acid, oil, erucic acid and linolenic acid content. Parental genotypes N-507, N-542 and N-2740 were superior in high oil, protein and oleic acid contents. Parental lines C-118, N-2740, N-532 were better for lower glucosinolate, linolenic and erucic acid contents. All the F_2 populations were comparatively better than their respective parental genotypes for oil, glucosinolate, erucic acid, protein, oleic acid and linolenic acid content. F_2 populations N-502×N-507, N-540×J-109 had high range of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance.

Introduction

Edible oil is one of the most important commodities imported into Pakistan from abroad due to low domestic production. Oilseed Brassica species (*Brassica napus*, *B. campestris* and *B. juncea*) are now the 3rd most important source of edible vegetable oil worldwide after Palm and Soybean (Zhang & Zhou, 2006). *B. campestris*, cultivated in India and Pakistan, contains more concentration of erucic acid (40-50%) and high glucosinolates (80-160 µm g⁻¹) (Agnihotri & Kaushik, 1999) that make it unsuitable for human and animal (Inamullah *et al.*, 2013). Therefore there is need to develop new varieties, containing low erucic acid (<2%) and glucosinolate (<30 µm g⁻¹) and higher yield potential (Kaushik, 1998).

Traits which have high range of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance would be an effective tool to improve seed yield (Ali et al., 2013). To improve the production of edible oil the main goals of plant breeders in any breeding program are to estimate genetic diversity and its inheritance using agro-morphological characters. Experiments were conducted to determine the extent of genetic variability and relationships among the Brassica carinata germplasm (Zada et al., 2006). In breeding program the high amount of heritability alone cannot bring incredible change in selection therefore it should be accompanied with genetic advance to do reliable selection (Shulka et al., 2006). The traits having high heritability with high genetic advance are considered under control of additive genes, whereas with high heritability and low genetic advance are under the control of non-additive (dominant and/or epistatic) genes which limits the scope of improvement through selection (Akbar et al., 2003). Considerable work has been done in Brassica species to estimate heritability and genetic advance of biochemical traits. Lower heritability (0.89 and 0.86) has been reported by (Schierholt and Becker 2001) for glucosinolate. Zhang *et al.*, (2006) also reported lower heritability for yield and biochemical traits, which may be due to difference in genotypes or environmental influence. Chauhan *et al.*, (2002) also reported moderate to high heritability with high genetic advance (45.0-62.5%) for erucic acid content.

Once the variability is determined, the estimation of the heritable proportion of this variability is crucial to estimate the genetic advance. These may be variable in different segregating populations, including the F_2 populations of different crosses should be studied to select the appropriate segregating population for further improvement. Thus like all quantitative traits, the improvement of edible oil production could be attained through estimation of genetic diversity and its inheritance not only to the traits related to edible oil, but also in other traits. The present study was thus designed to assess the variability and heritability in various F_2 populations and their parents.

Materials and Methods

The tested germplasm included 10 parental lines and eight F_2 populations of *Brassica* were grown at *the University of Agriculture, Peshawar, Pakistan* in the 1st week of October, 2010. The F_2 populations included both intra and inter specific crosses. Seeds obtained from F_1 hybrids of the four intra-specific crosses *i.e., B. napus* x *B. napus* (N-501×N-2740), (N-502×N-507), (N-507×N-501), (N-548×N-501) and four interspecific crosses i.e., *B. napus* × *B. campestris* (N-501× C-118), (N-542×C-118) and *B. napus* × *B. juncea* (N-532×J-109), (N-540×J-109) were space planted along with their parental lines to raise F_2 populations. Plant to plant distance was 20 cm and row to row distance was 60 cm. Row length was 5m. At maturity data was recorded on oil (%), protein (%), glucosinolate (µmol g⁻¹), erucic

acid (%), oleic acid (%) and linolenic acid (%) contents. Analysis was made for means, variability, broad sense heritability and genetic advance. Broad-sense heritability was estimated as (Mahmud & Kramer, 1951). Heritability values were categorized as < 20% =low, 20-50% = moderate and > 50% = high (Stansfield, 1986). Expected genetic advance for these traits were calculated according to (Allard, 1999) at 20% selection intensity. Genetic advance as percent mean was categorized as low = 0-10%, moderate = 10-20% and high = 20% and above (Johnson *et al.*, 1955). Coefficient of variations was also worked out for the above mentioned traits. Although both parental lines and F₂ populations were sown in randomized complete block designs, separate analyses of variance was carried out for parents and F₂ populations, as the segregating populations could not be repeated.

Results

Analyses of variance (Table 1) of the parental lines revealed non-significant differences for all the studied parameters except oleic acid while the segregating population F_2 was highly significant (p ≤ 0.01). Among parents maximum oil contents (49.8 %) were observed in genotype (N-540) and minimum oil contents (41.3%) were attained by genotype (N-502). In F₂ populations cross (N-548×N-501) had minimum of 48.2% oil content while cross (N-502×N-507) had the maximum of 49.8% oil content (Table 2). The protein content ranged from 21.1% for genotypes (N-540), (N-542) to 31% for genotype (N-507) among parents. In F_2 populations highest amount (22.2%) of protein were found in (N-501×N-2740) while lowest amount (20.9%) was present in (N-532×J-109). The maximum glucosinolates (121.6 µmol g⁻¹) were found in genotype (C-118) whereas, minimum glucosinolates contents (72.4 μ mol g⁻¹) were observed in (N-502). In F₂ populations the cross (N-502×N-507) had the maximum (116.2 µmol g⁻¹) glucosinolate content and cross (N-540×J-109) had minimum (102.3 μ mol g⁻¹) glucosinolate content. Mean (Table 2) shows that parental genotype (C-118) had low value (33.8%) of oleic acid, while (N-2740) had high value (50.4%) for

oleic acid. In F₂ populations cross (N-501×N-2740) had minimum (32.9%) oleic acid while the hybrid (N-542×C-118) had the maximum (38.9%) oleic acid. Means (Table 2) shows that parental genotype (N-2740) had minimum (6.2%) linolenic acid while (J-109) had the maximum content. In F₂ populations cross (N-501×C-118) and (N-542×C-118) had minimum (15.5%) linolenic acid and cross (N-540×J-109) showed the maximum (19.2%) linolenic acid. Among parents minimum erucic acid contents (29%) were observed in (N-532) and the maximum erucic acid contents (49.4%) in (N-507). In F₂ populations cross (N-501×N-2740) had minimum (27.6%) erucic acid while cross (N-532×J-109) had the maximum percentage of (37.4%) erucic acid content.

The heritability estimate (broad sense) was studied in F₂ populations and was found high for all the parameters. It were high for oil in all the crosses (>50%) (Table 3). The genetic advance recorded as per cent mean for oil content of each cross was moderate ranged from (11.11-19.08). Heritability for protein ranged from (79.93-92.11%). The highest genetic advance as a percent mean for protein (27.09%) in this study was predicted for hybrid (N-502×J-109). Heritability was high for glucosinolate content in all F₂ populations which ranged from 71.75-98.16%. Genetic advance for glucosinolate was high in some crosses like (N-501×C-118), (N-501×N-2740), (N-532× J-109) and (N-540×J-109) while the remaining crosses showed moderate genetic advance. Heritability for oleic acid in F2 populations ranged from (84.49 - 91.8%). Genetic advance for oleic acid was high in some crosses like (N-507×N-501), (N-501×N-2740), (N-502×N-507), and (N-542×C-118) while the remaining crosses showed moderate genetic advance. Heritability for linolenic acid in F₂ populations ranged from (84.15-99.6%). Genetic advance as a percent mean was high in all crosses for linolenic acid. Heritability for erucic acid in F2 populations ranged from (86.71- 95.4%). Genetic advance was high in all crosses except in cross (N-540×J-109). Coefficients of variability were high in oil and linolenic acid, moderate in glucosinolate and low in protein and oleic acid.

Source of variation	df	Oil	Protein	Glucosinolates	Oleic acid	Linolenic acid	Erucic acid
Replications	2	3.52	1.37	74.69	1.45	4.91	22.74
Genotypes	17	61.61	20.43	533.60	94.56	39.82	96.94
Parents (P)	9	31.57ns	0.50ns	60.30ns	14.02*	4.72ns	39.05ns
F ₂	7	84.84**	29.57**	804.36**	145.01**	54.66**	132.82**
P vs F ₂	1	62.74	77.61	1409.94	14.02	152.00	179.23
Error	34	31.71	1.12	81.92	204.40	6.19	49.70
C.V (%)		15.94	4.64	8.50	5.78	17.25	20.53

Table 1. Mean squares for biochemical parameters of parents and F₂ populations in brassica.

*, **, = Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level, respectively

ns = Non-significant

	Oil	Protein	parameters of parent Glucosinolates	Oleic acid	Linolenic	Erucic acid	
Genotypes	(%)	(%)	(µM g-1)	(%)	acid (%)	(%)	
			Par	ents			
N-548	44.6	21.8	113.2	35.5	15.5	42.3	
C-118	45.1	22.2	121.6	33.8	16.5	34.6	
N-501	42.6	24.7	86.6	49.1	7.0	41.3	
N-532	48.9	21.3	109.7	36.5	15.0	29.0	
N-507	47.4	31.0	97.9	36.7	13.2	49.4	
N-2740	42.3	25.2	82.1	50.4	6.2	37.4	
J-109	45.2	24.7	115.6	35.8	16.7	30.1	
N-540	49.8	21.1	111.6	33.9	16.5	30.5	
N-502	41.3	26.1	72.4	50.0	7.7	35.0	
N-542	48.7	21.1	107.9	37.2	15.1	30.1	
Mean (M)	45.59	23.92	101.86	39.89	12.94	35.97	
	Intraspecific crosses						
N-502×N-507	49.8	21.4	116.2	35.2	15.8	34.8	
N-548×N-501	48.2	21.9	113.3	34.2	16.7	33.8	
N-507×N-501	49.0	21.4	110.5	34.7	15.8	33.3	
N-501×N-2740	49.5	22.2	114.8	32.9	15.6	37.4	
Mean (M)	49.12	21.72	113.7	34.25	15.97	34.82	
	Interspecific crosses						
N-532×J-109	48.7	20.9	113.6	37.7	16.2	27.6	
N-540×J-109	49.0	21.2	102.3	38.6	19.2	28.8	
N-542×C-118	48.7	21.3	110.9	38.9	15.5	28.2	
N-501×C-118	48.6	21.7	115.7	35.7	15.5	34.4	
Mean (M)	48.75	21.27	110.62	37.72	16.6	29.75	
F ₂ Means	48.93	21.5	112.16	35.98	16.28	32.28	
Grand (M)	47.07	22.84	106.43	38.15	14.42	34.33	
LSD(0.05)	3.633	1.759	15.03	3.66	4.129	11.7	

Discussion

Genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance and correlation contribute to success of any crop improvement program (Nasim et al., 2013). Our results are also encouraged by the research of Ping et al., (2003) who stated significant variation for seed oil concentration. Parents were non-significant which were supported by Inayt et al., (2009). Alemayehu et al., (2005) also strengthened our findings by reporting significant variation for oil, glucosinolate and protein from a diallel cross of six inbred lines of B. carinata. Ping et al., (2003) have reported significant values for protein meal ranging from 30 to 46%. Inayat et al., (2009) analyzed the genotypes which were non-significant at 5% level of significance for protein percentage. Our results are in agreement with Inayat et al., (2009), who reported genotype (MRS-1) had high amount (90.97µmol/gm) of glucosinolate while genotype (Siren) had the lowest amount (44.83 µmol/g) of glucosinolate. Similar observations have been reported by Khulbe et al., (2000) and Bilgili et al., (2002) who detected significant differences in it. Oleic acid content was highly significant at 1% level of significance (Inavt et al., 2009). The oleic acid percentage observed for five genotypes viz. HS-98, Altex, Oscar, Dunkled and Rainbow showed significant result at 1% level of probability (Ahmad et al., 2008). Khan et al., 2008 revealed highly significant differences (p≤ 0.01) for linolenic acid content among genotypes. A minimum erucic acid of < 2% is desired for

good quality oil which increased taste and flavor (Mumtaz et al., 2001). Inayt et al., (2009) also confirmed our results by reporting significant variation for glucosinolate, oleic acid, and erucic acid in rapeseed.

High heritability with high genetic advance showed that selection could be made with great success. Ghosh & Gulati (2001) also reported high heritability estimates for oil content in brassica. According to Pradeepkumar et al., (2001), only high broad sense heritability does not always entail better selection due to the occurrence of non-additive variance. In addition, genetic advance as percentage of the mean becomes a useful indicator of gain from selection in particular populations for specific traits (Kalia et al., 2005). High heritability accompanied by high genetic advance in this population is suggestive of additive gene action. Khan et al., (2008) reported 0.15 heritability values for protein content in nine Brassica genotypes i.e., six F_{3:4} populations and three standard checks. Glucosinolates content is an essential quality trait in Brassica species which affects the quality of rapeseed and mustard cake. Canola type varieties are more desirous as far as erucic acid and glucosinolates contents are concerned (Mumtaz et al., 2001). Canola contains less than 30 µmol g⁻¹ of defatted meal (Kumar et al., 2009). Higher heritability for oleic acid and linolenic acid is confirmed by Schierholt & Becker (2001) who also obtained the same extent of heritability. Chauhan et al., (2002) also stated moderate to high heritability for erucic acid content and high genetic advance.

Crosses	Oil (%)	Protein (%)	Glucosinolates (µM g ⁻¹)	Oleic acid (%)	Linolenic acid (%)	Erucic aci (%)
N-501×C-118		(, , ,	(m	(, , , ,	(/0)	(,,,)
h ²	89.5	84.72	93.47	85.99	84.15	91.30
GA	6.74	4.458	29.00	6.90	3.86	24.85
GA %	19.08	19.52	27.25	18.10	26.76	72.38
N-548×N-501						
h^2	77.4	85.61	87.63	88.48	86.78	92.61
GA	4.23	4.718	20.24	7.61	4.18	23.80
GA %	11.97	20.65	19.02	19.94	29.00	69.33
N-507×N-501						
h^2	80.50	79.93	86.18	91.27	90.12	93.04
GA	4.74	4.39	18.48	8.71	5.02	25.36
GA %	13.42	19.24	17.36	22.82	34.81	73.87
N-501×N-2740						
h^2	74.78	87.72	89.13	89.76	93.02	94.66
GA	3.93	5.96	22.04	8.34	6.15	24.76
GA %	11.11	26.13	20.71	21.87	42.67	72.11
N-532×J-109						
h^2	99.99	88.90	98.16	87.16	97.34	86.71
GA	8.09	6.18	64.11	7.48	13.33	22.41
GA %	13.01	27.09	60.24	19.62	92.37	65.25
N-502×N-507						
h^2	81.14	92.11	71.75	91.79	92.39	95.40
GA	5.15	5.96	13.01	9.01	6.59	27.84
GA %	14.58	26.13	12.22	23.63	45.72	81.08
N-540×J-109						
h^2	83.48	86.29	85.63	84.49	99.60	94.66
GA	6.14	5.93	21.59	6.97	16.05	6.62
GA %	17.40	25.97	20.28	18.28	24.83	10.66
N-542×C-118						
h^2	82.39	80.73	79.65	90.37	88.86	90.16
GA	4.98	3.39	18.53	9.00	4.98	26.32
GA %	14.11	14.80	17.41	23.58	34.52	76.66

Table 3. Heritability (Broad sense) h² and genetic advance (GA) for biochemical parameters of F₂ populations of *Brassica*.

Conclusions

The traits having high heritability with high genetic advance are considered under control of additive genes, whereas high heritability low genetic advance under control non-additive (dominant and/or epistatic) genes which limits the scope for improvement through selection (Akbar et al., 2003). In the present study broad sense heritability was high (>50%) for all characters. Genetic advance as a percent mean was high to moderate in all the crosses indicating that improvement or selection could be made. The results from the current study showed that all the F₂ populations were comparatively better than their parental genotypes for oil, glucosinolate, erucic acid, protein, oleic acid and linolenic acid content. Parental genotypes (N-540), (N-502) and (N-2740) and F₂ populations (N-502×N-507), (N-501× N-2740) and (N-542×C-118) were found

superior with regards to high oil content, protein content and oleic acid content and parental genotypes (N-502), (N-2740), (N-532) and F₂ populations (N-540×J-109), (N-542×C-118), (N-501×C-118) and (N-532×J-109) were found superior with regards to lower glucosinolate, linolenic acid and erucic acid content.

References

- Abbas, S.J., Farhatullah, I.A. Khan, K.B. Marwat and I. Munir. 2008. Molecular and biochemical assessment of *Brassica napus* and indigenous *B. campestris* species. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 40(6): 2461-2469.
- Ackman, R.G., C.A. Eaton, J.C. Sipos, F.M. Loew and D. Hancock. 1977. Comparison of fatty acids from high levels of erucic acid of RSO and partially hydrogenated fish oil in non-human primate species in a short term exploratory study. *Nutr. Diet.*, 25:170-185.

- Agnihotri, A. and N. Kaushik. 1999. Genetic enhancement for double low characteristics in India rapeseed mustard. Proc. X Int'l. Rapeseed Congress. Canberra, Australia. 26-29.
- Ahmad, H., M. Islam, I.A. Khan, H. Ali, H. Rahman and Inamullah. 2008. Evaluation of advanced rapeseed line HS-98 for yield attributes and biochemical characters. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 40: 1099-1101.
- Akbar, M., T. Mahmood, M. Yaqub, M. Anwar, M. Ali and N. Iqbal. 2003. Variability, correlation and path coefficient studies in summer mustard (*Brassica juncea L.*). Asian J. Pl. Sci., 2: 696-698.
- Alemayehu, N. and H. Becker. 2005. Quantitative genetic analysis of total glucosinolate, oil and protein contents in Ethiopian mustard (Brassica Carinata A. Braun). *Ethiopian* J. Sci., 28(2): 141-150.
- Ali, Y., Farhatullah, H. Rahman, A. Nasim, S.M. Azam and A. Khan. 2013. Heritability and correlation analysis for morphological and biochemical traits in *brassica carinata*. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, 29(3): 359-369.
- Allard, R.W. 1999. Principles of Plant Breeding. John Wiley and Sons, New York, 485-486.
- Anonymous. 2009-2010. Economic Survey. Government of Pakistan. Ministry of Food and Agriculture (MINFAL). Federal Bureau of Statistics, Islamabad, Pakistan.
- Bilgili, M.S., A. Uzun and E. Acikgoz. 2002. The Influence of row spacing and seeding rate on seed yield and yield components of forage turnip (*Brassica rapa* L.). J. Agron. & Crop Sci., 189: 250 260.
- Chauhan, J.S. and M.K. Tyagi. 2002. Inheritance of erucic acid content in two crosses of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). SABRAO J. Breed. Gen., 34(1): 19-26.
- French, R.T. 1977. Oriental and brown mustard seed production. *Techniques Bulletin*, 2: 1-2.
- Getinet, A., G. Rakow, J.P. Paney and R.K. Downey. 1997. The inheritance of erucic acid content in Ethiopian mustard. *Canadian J. Plant Sci.*, 77: 33-41.
- Ghosh, S.K. and S.C. Gulati. 2001. Genetic variability and association of yield components in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). Crop Res., Hisar, 21: 345-347.
- Inamullah, A. Ahmad, M. Din, A.A. Khan and M. Siddiq. 2013. Evaluation of potassium application effect on grain yield, oil and protein content of brassica (*Brassica napus* L.). *Sarhad J. Agric.*, 29(3): 331-337.
- Inayt, R., H. Ahmad, Inamullah, Sirajuddin, I. Ahmad, F.M. Abbasi, M. Islam and S. Ghafoor. 2009. Evaluation of rapeseed genotypes for yield and oil quality under rainfed conditions of district Mansehra. *Afric. J. Biotech.*, 8(24): 6844-6849.
- Johnson, R.W., H.F. Robinson and R.E. Comstock. 1955 Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans. *Agron. J.*, 47: 314-318.
- Kalia, P., Shakuntla and M. Sood. 2005. Genetic variation and association analysis for marketable yield, carotene, and mineral content in green sprouting broccoli (*Brassica* oleracea L. var. italica Plenck). SABRAO J. Breed. Gen., 37(2): 141-150.

- Kaushik, N. 1998. Separation and quantification of quality parameters in rapeseed mustard. In: Abstract of 3 Int. Symp. and Short Course on Separation Sciences. 15: 23-26. Bhopal: Regional Res. Lab.
- Khan, S., Farhatullah, I. H. Khalil, M.Y. Khan and N. Ali. 2008. Genetic variability, heritability and correlation for some quality traits in F3:4 *Brassica* populations. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, 24(2): 217-222.
- Khulbe, R.K., D.P. Pant and N. Saxena. 2000. Variability, heritability and genetic advance in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss]. Crop Res., 20: 551-552.
- Kumar, A., P. Sharma, L. Thomas, A. Agnihotri and S.S. Banga. 2009. Canola cultivation in India: scenario and future strategy.16th Australian Research Assembly on Brassicas. Ballarat Victoria.
- Mahmood, I. and H.H. Kramer. 1951. Segregation for yield, height and maturity following a soybean cross. Agron. J., 43: 605-609.
- Mumtaz, A.M. Cheema, A. Malik and S.M.A. Basra. 2001. Comparative growth and yield performance of different Brassica varieties. *Int. J. Agri. Biol.*, 3(1): 135-137.
- Nasim, A., Farhatullah, S. Iqbal, S. Shah and S.M. Azam. 2013. Genetic variability and correlation studies for morphophysiological traits in *Brassica napus L. Pak. J. Bot.*, 45(4): 1229-1234.
- Pathak, A.D., R.M. Tripathi. M.K. Sharma, T. Rupam and R. Tripathi. 2000. Evaluation of genetic components in Indian mustard (*Brassica Juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss) through partial diallel crosses in incomplete block design. *Annals Agric. Res.*, 21(2): 282-287.
- Ping, S., R.J. Mailer, N. Galwey and D.W. Turner. 2003. Influence of genotype and environment on oil and protein concentrations of canola (*Brassica napus* L.) grown across southern Australia. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.*, 54: 397-407.
- Pradeepkumar, T., D. Bastian, M. Joy, N.V. Radhakrishnan and K.C. Aipe. 2001. Genetic variation in tomato for yield and resistance to bacterial wilt. J. Trop. Agric., 39: 157-158.
- Schierholt, A. and H.C. Becker. 2001. Environmental variability and heritability of high oleic acid content in winter oilseed rape. *Plant Breed.*, 120(1): 63.
- Shukla, S., A. Bhargava, A. Chatterjee, J. Sirivastava, N. Singh and S.P. Singh. 2006. Mineral profile and variability in vegetable amaranth (*Amaranthus tricolor*). *Pl. Foods Hum. Nut.*, 61: 23-28.
- Stansfield, W.D. 1986. Theory and problems of genetics. McGraw Hill Book Co. New York, USA. 220-221.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie. 1960. Principles and procedures of statistics. McGraw Hill Inc., New York. 99.
- Zada, M., N. Zakir, M. A, Rabbani and Z. K. Shinwari. 2013. Assessment of genetic variation in Ethiopian mustard (*Brassica carinata* A. BRAUN) germplasm using multivariate techniques. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 45(S1): 583-593.
- Zhang, G. and W. Zhou. 2006. Genetic analyses of agronomic and seed quality traits of synthetic oilseed *Brassica napus* produced from inter specific hybridization of *Brassica campestris* and *Brassica olearacea*. J. Genet., 85(1): 45-51.

(Received for publication 17 June 2012)