IDENTIFICATION OF GS1a, GS1b AND GS1c GENES FROM EICHHORNIA CRASSIPES AND THEIR TRANSCRIPT ANALYSIS IN RESPONSE TO DIFFERENT NITROGEN SOURCES

MINGHUI FU^{*}, LIHUA JIANG AND GUOHUA YAN

Department of Bioengineering, Guangdong University of Technology, Guangzhou 510006, PR China * Corresponding author's email: mhfugd@126.com

Abstract

Eichhornia crassipes was one of the fastest growing plants on earth. It can assimilate nitrogen very efficiently. Glutamine synthetase (GS) is a key enzyme in nitrogen metabolism. Thus research of GS in *Eichhornia crassipes* could help to explain the mechanism of the ability of *E. crassipes* to assimilate nitrogen. In this study, we cloned three cytosolic GS cDNAs, GS1a, GS1b and GS1c from the roots of *E. crassipes* using the RACE method, studied the characterizations with the yeast complementation experiment and analyzed the expressions of GS1a, GS1b and GS1c with qRT-PCT in response to different nitrogen sources in roots and leaves. They were approximately 1400-1500 nucleotide encoding proteins with 354-356 amino acids that functionally complemented the mutant yeast Δ Gln for growth on ammonium as the sole source of nitrogen. Their relative expression level was markedly up-regulated under the status of nitrate as the only nitrogen source except that of GS1b in roots, which was only up-regulated less than one fold, and GS1a in leaves, which was up-regulated under condition of nitrogen deprivation. All these results suggested that *E. crassipes* has at least three different cytosolic GS1 genes which have typical conserved domains of GS gene and can functionally complement the mutant yeast that is deprived of the GS gene. All these *E. crassipes* GS1 genes have different expression patterns in response to different nitrogen sources.

Key words: Eichhornia crassipes, Glutamine synthetase, Clone, Expression.

Introduction

Glutamine synthetase (GS) is the first enzyme of a plant to turn inorganic nitrogen into organic nitrogen. It associates with glutamate synthase (GOGAT) to assimilate ammonia into glutamine (Gln) and glutamate (Glu), which are the major nitrogen donors of higher plants in the biochemical synthesis of many nitrogenous compounds. Thus, GS is the key enzyme of nitrogen metabolism in plants (Miflin & Habash, 2002). It is closely related with the plant's utilization of nitrogen (Man *et al.*, 2005; Sun *et al.*, 2005), the production and the quality of the plant (Fuentes *et al.*, 2001) and the plant's stress resistance (Rana *et al.*, 2008; Lee *et al.*, 2013).

There are many GS isozymes in higher plants. According to their subcellular localization, they can be divided into two types. One is cytosolic GS (GS1), and the other is plastidic GS (GS2). GS1 is encoded by multigenes, where it has been found that three GS1 genes exist in rice (Tabuchi et al., 2005), five in maize (Sakakibara et al., 1992b; Martin et al., 2006), five in Arabidopsis thaliana (Ishiyama et al., 2004), two in potato (Teixeira et al., 2005) and three in Populus tremula (Castro-Rodríguez et al., 2011, 2015). GS2 is usually encoded by a single gene. Only in Medicago sativa, a second GS2 gene was identified (Seabra et al., 2010). The subunit molecular weight of GS2 was about 44-45 kDa, and that of GS1 was less than 38-40 kDa. In the N-terminal domain of the GS2 subunit there is a signal peptide encoded by 50 amino acids that can direct GS2 into the plastid, while there is a special conserved region that is encoded by 16 amino acids in its C-terminal domain. Both GS1 and GS2 play different roles in the plant. In different species there are different numbers of GS1 isoenzymes, which are found in different types of cells and tissues according to their differential physiological functions. GS1 is usually found in heterotrophic organs such as the roots, stems, seeds, nodules, fruits and flowers, where it assimilates ammonium taken up from the soil, released from stress response, senescence and

seed germination (Castro-Rodríguez *et al.*, 2011). Conversely, the chloroplastic GS2 was detected in photosynthetic tissues where it assimilated the ammonium released from nitrate/ nitrite reduction and photorespiration (Betti *et al.*, 2014).

Eichhornia crassipes is an invasive aquatic plant, native to Amazon basin. It is one of the most productive weeds in the globe. Though its uncontrolled reproduction can lead to an ecological unbalance, an appropriate amount of E. crassipes can also be used to remediate eutrophic lakes and rivers (Wang et al., 2013), breeding waste-water (Chen et al., 2010) and industrial waste-water (Casabianca et al., 1995) due to its strong assimilation of nitrogen and phosphorus (Reddy et al., 1989, 1990), rapid reproduction and accumulation of heavy metals (Deng et al., 2004; Odjegba & Fasidi, 2007; Caldelas et al., 2009). However, the mechanism of its efficient uptake and utilization of nitrogen and phosphorus is unclear. The uptake of nitrogen is dependent on the ammonium transporters in the plasma membrane. In our previous research, a novel ammonium transporter gene had been cloned and its expression had been analyzed (Li et al., 2014). After uptake, there is a utilization process in which GS is the most important enzyme. In this current paper, we have cloned three complete GS genes from E. crassipes, characterized their function with a mutant yeast complement experiment and analyzed their expression in different organs using RT-PCR.

Methods and Materials

RNA extraction: *E. crassipes* was collected from the Anjian Reservoir in the campus of Guangdong University of Technology in Guangzhou. *E. crassipes* was not endangered or protected species, so no specific permission was required for this research. Total RNA of from *E. crassipes* roots was extracted by RNAiso plus kit made by TAKARA, and agarose gel electrophoresis was used to detect the integrity of RNA.

GS1a, GS1b and GS1c gene fragments amplified with degenerate primers: A prime-scriptTM RT-PCR kit (TAKARA) was used to reverse transcribe the total RNA (Jiang *et al.*, 2014). PCR assays were completed with root cDNA as the template and two pairs of primers (P1 and P2, P3 and P4) (Table 1) as degenerate primers respectively. These two pairs of primers were designed based on conserved sequences of GS1 from other plants. In this PCR procedure, the program was 5min at 94°C, followed by 30 cycles of 30s at 94°C, 30s at 53°C, 1min at 72°C, and the finally step was 10min at 72°C. The PCR product was cloned into a pMD19-T vector after it was purified by an agarose DNA purification kit (TAKARA), and then transformed and sequenced (Invitrogen).

Rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) and cloning: The unknown 3' end and 5' end were cloned by RACE method, and then the full length of gene sequence was obtained. RNA was extracted, and then it was used to prepare 3' or 5' adaptor ligated cDNA with 3' or 5' full RACE kit (TAKARA). This cDNA was used as a template in the following nested PCR reactions. All specific primers (Table 1) in RACE were designed according to the above known sequences.

5'RACE: Using the 5'RACE out primer and the 5'RACE specific outer primer (P5, P10, P14 in Table 1), the first program was 94°C for 3min, and then 20 cycles of 94°C for 30s, 40°C for 30s with a 0.5°C increase at each cycle and 72°C for 1min, and the last was 72°C for 10min. Using the 5'RACE inner primer, and the 5'RACE specific inner primer (P6, P11, P15 in Table 1), the second program was 94°C for 3min, and then 30 cycles of 94°C for 30s, 40°C /44°C /48°C /52°C /56°C for 30s and 72°C for 1min, and the last step was 72°C for 10min.

3'RACE: The first amplification was completed at the same conditions as those described for the 5'RACE first reaction using the 3'RACE out primer and the 3'RACE specific outer primer (P7, P12, P16 in Table 1).The second and the third amplifications of GS1a were conducted at the same conditions as that described for the 5'RACE second reaction using the 3'RACE inner primer and the 3'RACE specific inner primer (P8, P9, P13, P17 in Table 1). The second reaction of GS1a and GS1c was a somewhat different from that of GS1a. The first step was 94°C for 3min, and then 16 cycles of 94°C for 30s, 59°C for 30s with a 0.5°C dampening temperature at each cycle and 72°C for 1min. After that, there were 19 cycles of 94°C for 30s, 51°C for 30s and 72°C for 1min. The final step was 72°C for 10min.

Full-length cDNAs cloned: The intermediate fragment, 3 'end and 5' end fragment were spliced into the full length of a gene. According to the spicing full-length sequence, the special primers (P18-P23, Table 1) were designed to amplify the full-length cDNA. The PCR program was at 94°C for 3min, and then 30 cycles of 94°C for 30s, 55°C for 30s, 72°C for 1min. The last step was 72°C for 10 min. The full-length cDNA was cloned and then sequenced. The program of GS1b and GS1c were the same as that of the second reaction described for 3'RACE of GS1b and GS1c.

Sequence analysis: The full-length nucleotide sequences were blasted against a non-redundant nucleotide database (Altschul, 1997) and translated by the translation tool from EXPASY (http://web.expasy.org/translate/). The program Protparam (Wilkins *et al.*, 1999) was used to analyze the physico-chemical properties of GS1a, GS1b and GS1c. The conserved domains were analyzed by Prosite (Sigrist *et al.*, 2002, 2013) and Smart (Schultz *et al.*, 1998). TargetP (Emanuelsson *et al.*, 2007) was used to identify their cellular localization. All these translated sequences were aligned using the program ClustalX-1.83 (Thompson *et al.*, 1997).

Yeast growth and function complementation: The wildtypeBY4741 (Mata his $3\Delta 1$, leu $2\Delta 0$, met $15\Delta 0$, ura $3\Delta 0$), and the mutant strain Y25454 (Mata/a, $his3\Delta 1/his3\Delta 1$, $leu2\Delta 0/leu2\Delta 0$, MET15/met15 $\Delta 0$, $lys2\Delta0/LYS2$, ura3\Delta0/ura3\Delta0, and YPR035w:kanMX4/YPR035w) were purchased from EUROSCARF (Germany). A haploid strain of Δ gln1 was achieved by sporulation of the strain Y25454 (Kassir & Simchen, 1991; Bernard et al., 2008). The ∆gln1, Mata his $3\Delta 1$, leu $2\Delta 0$, met $15\Delta 0$, ura $3\Delta 0$, and YPR035w: kan MX4 could grow on media that was appended with Lglutamine. The yeast sporulation process was carried out by the standard procedures (Kassir & Simchen, 1991). Competent Δ gln1 cells were prepared according to specific kit assays and procedures (Fun Genome, Beijing). The fulllength cDNAs of GS1a, GS1b and GS1c were respectively linked into the yeast vector pYES2. The recombinant vector was then transformed into competent yeast cells. Yeast transformants were selected by ura minus medium at first, and then transferred to yeast nitrogen base (YNB) medium (without amino acids, ammonium) appended with 0.1% glutamine or 1mM (NH₄)₂SO₄ as its only nitrogen source. These transformants were cultured at 30°C for 3 days.

qRT-PCR analysis of GS1a, GS1b and GS1c expression: The collected E. crassipes was precultured in a nutrient solution (i.e., 0.25 mM Ca(NO₃)₂·4H₂O, 0.25 mM KNO₃, 0.1 mM MgSO₄·7H₂O, and 0.05 mM KH₂PO₄) for 20 days at 25°C under conditions of 16 h of light (2000 Lx) and 8 h of darkness indoors. The solution was replaced every two days. After that, the pre-cultured E. crassipes was divided into three groups, cultured in distilled water, 1.8mM NH₄Cl and 1.8mM KNO3 respectively for 2h, 4h or 6h later. Fresh roots or fresh leaves were collected. RNA was extracted, and then reverse transcribed into cDNAs. Specific primers of GS1a, GS1b and GS1c and the primers of reference gene P24-31 (Table 1) were designed and synthesized for qPCR. There were 1µl cDNA, 1µl primer-F (10µm), 1ul primer-R (10um), 0.5μ l dNTP (10mM), 2μ l 10×buffer, 1μ l Mg² 0.2µl Taq polymerase, 0.2µl Sybgreen and 13.1µl ddH₂O in each 20µl PCR reaction.

The PCR program was 95°C for 2min, and then 40 cycles of 94°C for 20s, 60°C for 20s, 72°C for 30s. GS gene expression level was evaluated by qRT-PCR using a 7500 qPCR device. All real-time PCR reactions were performed in triplicate. The results from different treatments were referenced to the *E. crassipes* actin gene (Accession number: KC505366) expression levels to avoid bias. We used the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method to calculate the relative expression levels of GS gene (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001).

Primer Name	Sequence(5'-3')
P1(forward degenerate primerfor GS1a, GS1b)	AAGCTGCCAAAGTGGaaytaygaygg
P2(reverse degenerate primer for GS1a, GS1b)	GGACCCACCTGGAACtcccaytgncc
P3(forward degenerate primer for GS1c)	CGATAAGATCATCGCTGAGtayathtggrt
P4(reverse degenerate primer for GS1c)	TAGCCTTCTTGATCACCtcraancence
P5 (5' outer specific primer for GS1a)	TCCTGCTCAATGCCATACCA
P6 (5' inner specific primer for GS1a)	TAGCAGTCGCACATCACAAG
P7 (3' outer specific primer for GS1a)	CTTGTGATGTGCGACTGCTAC
P8 (3' inner specific primer for GS1a)	TGATGTTGTTGCTGAAGTCCC
P9(3' inner specific primer for GS1a)	TATTACTGTTCTGCTGGTGC
P10 (5' outer specific primer for GS1b)	GGGCATCAACAATGTCACGG
P11 (5' inner specific primer for GS1b)	GCCACTTGACATCCTTCTGA
P12 (3' outer specific primer for GS1b)	TCCTGTGCTCCTCTTTGCCT
P13 (3' inner specific primer for GS1b)	CAGGAGGGGCAACAACATTC
P14 (5' outer specific primer for GS1c)	TCCTGCTCAATGCCATACCA
P15 (5' inner specific primer for GS1c)	TAGCAGTCGCACATCACAAG
P16 (3' outer specific primer for GS1c)	CGGATTCTTTGGTTGGGCTC
P17 (3' inner specific primer for GS1c)	ACTACGATGGTTCCAGCACT
P18 (forward full-length primer for GS1a)	GAGAGGGTGGGTTCTTAGTC
P19 (reverse full-length primer for GS1a)	GGCAAGAGAAAGAAATCACG
P20 (forward full-length primer for GS1b)	TCCTGTGCTCCTCTTTGCCT
P21 (reverse full-length primer for GS1b)	GGGCAGGAACCACCCTCTTA
P22 (forward full-length primer for GS1c)	CGGATTCTTTGGTTGGGCTC
P23 (reverse full-length primer for GS1c)	AAAGGATGGTGGTCTCAGCA
P24(forward primer for GS1a in qRT-PCR)	GCGTACGGCGAAGGAAACGAGCG
P25(reverse primer for GS1a in qRT-PCR)	GCGACCATGGACGTCACAACAT
P26(forward primer for GS1b in qRT-PCR)	AGTCCATGAGGAAAGAAGGAGG
P27(reverse primer for GS1b in qRT-PCR)	ATTGATGCTCCACGATTTGCA
P28(forward primer for GS1c in qRT-PCR)	ATACAGACAAGATCAATTCTTCTGG
P29(reverse primer for GS1c in qRT-PCR)	AACATCCTGAATTGTCCTCCTAA
P30(forward primer for actin in qRT-PCR)	CATTCAATGTGCCTGCCATGT
P31(reverse primer for actin in qRT-PCR)	GGATAGCATGTGGAAGGGCATAG

Result and Discussion

Sequence cloning and analysis: The full sequences of GS1a, GS1b and GS1c were submitted to GenBank of the NCBI and the accession numbers were KF683089, KJ881169, and KJ881170 respectively. The physicochemical properties of three GSs are listed in Table 2. All three GS genes contained an approximate 1500 nucleotide-long open reading frame (ORF). The ORFs encoded proteins with 354-356 amino acids. The molecular weight of three proteins was about 39kDa, and the isoelectric point was about 5.5-5.9. Blast results indicated that GS1a, GS1b and GS1c shared high homology with other plant GSs (Table 3) such as Hevea brasiliensis, Zea mays, and others, by more than 80%. There were some typical conserved domains of GS such as a putative ATP-binding region, N-glycosylations, GS beta-Grasp domain and a GS catalytic domain in GS1a, GS1b and GS1c (Table 4). Target P predicted that they had no signal peptide and were present in the cytoplasm. The result of multiple alignment (Fig. 1) showed that they were all conserved sequences of amino acids, especially at the GS catalytic domain. All these indicated that these cloned genes might be GS sequences with similar and typical GS structure, and might have similar function which would be verified by the following complementary experiment with mutant yeast.

E. crassipes is one of the fastest growing plants known. Its uncontrolled growth can destroy the ecological balance of water body and produce serious economic and social problems. It can assimilate nitrogen very efficiently (Reddy et al., 1989). Nowadays, the focus of most studies is how to make use of it and how to repress its production (Aboul-Enein et al., 2011). However, there were little studies with regard the molecular mechanism of its nitrogen assimilation capabilities. GS is a key enzyme which incorporates ammonium to glutamate with the addition of ATP. This is a primary and crucial step from inorganic nitrogen to organic nitrogen. So GS plays an important role in the assimilation of nitrogen. The research of GS in E. crassipes could help to explain the mechanism of the ability of E. crassipes to assimilate nitrogen. Better understanding of the molecular mechanism of E. crassipes to assimilate nitrogen could help investigators further develop E. crassipes in phytoremediation of eutrophic water and to control its growth from the point of nutrition metabolism.

Table 2. 1 hysico-chemical properties of 051a, 051b and 051c.					
Gene name	Full length (bp)	Number of amino acid	Molecular weight (kD)	Isoelectric point	
EcGS1a	1434	356	39.3	5.52	
EcGS1b	1455	354	39.0	5.95	
EcGS1c	1508	356	39.3	5.94	

Encoiog	A coordian number	Amino acid Identity (%)		
Species	Accession number	EcGS1a	EcGS1b	EcGS1c
Hevea brasiliensis	AAB61597.1	93.0	89.6	91.9
Zea mays	AFP20991.1	89.0	85.6	88.2
Spinacia oleracea	ABU45501.1	89.9	86.7	89.6
Nicotiana attenuate	AAR86718.1	89.6	89.0	89.9
Cucumis sativus	NP_001267644.1	91.3	88.4	90.5
Solanum tuberosum	AAG40237.2	88.4	87.2	88.7
Eutrema halophilum	BAJ34289.1	86.2	85.9	85.4
Triticum aestivum	ADW94625.1	88.2	84.5	87.6
Populus trichocarpa	XP_002310666.1	89.6	87.6	89.6
Glycine max	NP_001242332.1	90.5	88.1	89.9
Lactuca sativa	CAA42689.1	87.3	86.7	87.9
Pinus sylvestris	CAA49476.1	82.6	82.2	81.5
Brassica napus	CAA73063.1	90.2	88.1	89.0

Table 3.	Similarity	analysis of	GS1a,GS1b a	nd GS1c with	other GSs of	other plants
----------	------------	-------------	-------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

Table 4. The conserved domains analyzed by Prosite and Smart

Gene	Putative ATP-binding region	N-glycosylations	GS beta-Grasp domain	GS catalytic domain
EcGS1a	237-253AA	11-14、185-188、251-254AA	17-97 AA	103-351 AA
EcGS1b	237-253AA	11-14、251-254AA	17-97 AA	103-351 AA
EcGS1c	237-253AA	11-14、185-188、251-254AA	17-97 AA	103-351 AA
GS1a	MALLTDLINLNLSI	DTTEKVIAEYIWIGGSGMDMR	SKARTLPGPVTDP	SQLPKWNYDGSST
GS1c	MALLTDLINLNLSI	DTTEKIIAEYIWIGGSGMDMR	SKARTLPGPVTDP	SQLPKWNYDGSST
GS1b	MSLLTDLINLNLSE	ESSNKIIAEYIWVGGSGMDMR	SKARTLSGPVTDP	SQLPKWNYDGSST
	*:**********	: : : : * : ******* : *********	*****. *****	*****
GS1a	GQAPGEDSEVILY	PQAIFKDPFRRGNNILVMCDC	YTPAGEPIPTNNR	YNAAKIFSHPDVV
GS1c	GQAPGEGSEVIIY	PQAIFKDPFRRGNNILVMCDC	YTPAGEPIPTNKR	FNAAKIFSHPDVV
GS1b	GQAPGEDSEVILY	PQAIFKDPFRRGNNILVMCDC	YTPQGEPIPTNKR	HNAAKIFSNPAVA
	******. ****:**	******	*** *******	*******:* *.
GS1a	AEVPWYGIEQEYTI	LLQKDVKWPLGWPVGGYPGPQ	GPYYCSAGADKAF	GRDIVDAHYKACL
GS1c	VKVPWYGIEQEYTI	LLQKDVNWPLGWPVGGYPGPQ	GPYYCAAGADKAF	GRDIVDAHYKACL
GS1b	AEETWFGLEQEYTI	LLQKDVKWPLGWPVGGFPGPQ	GPYYCAAGADKAF	GRDIVDVHYEACI
	.: .*:*:******	*****	****:	*****. **:**:
GS1a	YAGINISGINGEVM	MPGQWEFQVGPAVGISAGDEL	WVARYILERITEI	AGVVLSFDPKPIQ
GS1c	YAGINISGINGEV	MPGQWEFQVGPAVGISAGDEL	WVARYILERITEI.	AGVVLSFDPKPIQ
GS1b	YAGINIRGINGEVM	MPGQWEFQVGPSVGISAGDEL	WVARYILERITEI.	AGVVLSFDPKPIQ
	***** *****	**********	**********	*****
GS1a	GDWNGAGAHTNYST	[RSMREDGGYEVIKKAIEKLG	LRHKEHIAAYGEG	NERRLTGRHETAD
GS1c	GDWNGAGAHTNYST	[KSMREDGGYEVIKKAIEKLG	LRHKEHIAAYGEG	NERRLTGRHETAD
GS1b	GDWNGAGAHTNYST	[KSMRKEGGYEVIKKAIEKLG	KRHKEHIAAYGEG	NERRLTGRHETAD
	*********	* : *** : : ******************	********	*****
GS1a	INTFKWGVANRGAS	SIRVGRETEKNGKGYFEDRRP	ASNMDPYVVTSMV	AETTILWKP
GS1c	INTFKWGVANRGAS	SIRVGRETEKNGKGYFEDRRP	ASNMDPYVVTSMV	AETTILWKP
GS1b	INTFKWGVANRGAS	SIRVGRDTEKAGKGYFEDRRP	ASNMDPYVVTSMI	AETTILL
	**********	*****	******	*****

Fig. 1. Alignments of amino acid sequences of GS1a, GS1b and GS1c in *E.crassipes* using ClustalX-1.83.

Yeast complementation experiments: To further study the function of GS in nitrogen metabolism, we transferred the GS1a, GS1b and GS1c gene respectively into $\Delta gln1$ which can only grow in medium containing 0.1% Gln as the only nitrogen source since it is missing glutamine synthetase. GS-carrying cells and Wide-type yeast could grow on 1mM NH₄⁺ as the only nitrogen source while the mutant could not grow on this energy source (Fig. 2). These studies revealed that GS expressed a functional protein that played an important role in the assimilation of ammonium, and did so most likely when transforming ammonium to glutamine. This was very consistent with the results of Nord-Larsen et al., (2009) who cloned GS genes from ryegrass and confirmed the function of GS with the yeast complementation experiments, as well as Bernard et al., (2008) who studied the expression, cellular localization and function of GS genes from wheat. All of the GS genes from different plants could functionally complement the mutant yeast that were deprived of the GS gene.

Expression analyses of GS1a, GS1b and GS1c in different nitrogen sources: The relative expression level of GS1a and GS1c from the root was up-regulated markedly under nitrate status (Fig. 3). The positive effect of ammonium on expression of GS1b from the root was also shown, although the effect was not more remarkable than that on GS1a and GS1c from the root. GS1c was a partially sensitive to nitrate, and only after two hours did it show higher expression levels. The relative expression levels of the GS1b and GS1c genes extracted from leaves were the same as that of GS1c from the root (Fig. 4). Nitrate greatly promoted the expression of both GS1b and GS1c in leaves, and only after two hours did they exhibit a higher expression level. However, the expression level of the GS1a gene from leaves was different. The relative expression level of the GS1a gene from leaves was markedly up-regulated under a nitrogen deprivation status. After six hours of nitrogen deficiency, GS1a transcript levels were promoted to levels higher than that found under ammonium or nitrate.

The relative expression level of GS gene was influenced by species, organ, tissues, developmental stage and environmental factors, which was reported by many previous papers (Nogueira et al., 2005; Zozaya-Hinchliffe et al., 2005; Zhao & Shi, 2006; Bernard et al., 2008; Castro-Rodríguez et al., 2011; Seabra et al., 2013; Castro-Rodríguez et al., 2015). Ammonium and nitrate could influence GS gene expression. This influence depended on the species, organ and the specific member of GS family examined (Sukanya & Snustad, 1994). In some cases, both ammonium and nitrate were reported to enhance the activities or the transcript level of GS genes (Kozaki et al., 1991; Hoelzle et al., 1992; Sakakibara et al., 1992a; Stanford et al., 1993), while in other cases, only ammonium (Vezina & Langlois, 1989; Sugiharto & Sugiyama, 1992) or nitrate (Hayakawa et al., 1990; Sakakibara et al., 1992a; Redinbaugh et al., 1993) were found to have positive effect. Our current observations showed that the nitrate nitrogen could obviously increase the relative expression of GS1a and GS1c in the root and GS1b and GS1c in the leaves of *E. crassipes*. The positive effect of ammonium on GS1b in root was not more remarkable than GS1a and GS1c in root. However, nitrogen deprivation could up-regulate the expression of GS1a in leaves from E. crassipes. Additionally, GS1c in the root and GS1b and GS1c in the leaves were more sensitive to nitrate than GS1a in the root, and only after two hours, the enhancement was shown. All these differential expression was regulated by different mechanism. These different response to environment factors might contribute to nitrogen metabolism homeostasis in functions that are related with the changes in environmental conditions and organ types (Castro-Rodríguez *et al.*, 2015).

Our result only reported there existed differential expression patterns in roots and leaves of E. crassipes in response to different nitrogen sources. Attempt to discover the detailed differential expression patterns in E. crassipes will be in progress, and it will compare the expression level of GS genes in other organs in addition to root and leave, even in tissue and cellular level. Our experiment result seemed contrasted with the result of Li et al., 2008 which reported ammonium could increase the activities of GS in E. crassipes. In fact, our study reported the expression levels of specific GS1 genes, while Li et al., (2008) studied the total activities of GS in specific organs. The total GS included not only our examined GS1, but also other GSs still unidentified now. Furthermore, there existed post-transcriptal modification and posttranslational modification in the procedure of GS expression. So expression level of specific GS gene was not well consistent with the total activities of GS.



Fig. 2. Yeast complementation of mutant Δ Gln1 by GS1a, GS1b, and GS1c. Images A, C and E showed the plate with YNB medium containing 1mM ammonium. Images B, D, F showed the plate with YNB medium containing 0.1% Gln. Every plate was divided into three parts. One part incubated the wild-type yeast BY4741 transformed with empty vector pYES2, the other incubated the mutant yeast Δ Gln1 transformed with empty vector pYES2 and the third incubated the mutant yeast Δ Gln1 transformed with the vector with GS1 gene.





Fig. 3. The relative expression level of three GS genes from the roots under different nitrogen status. The values are given as mean \pm SD. A. GS1a; B. GS1b; C. GS1c. Expression levels were normalized against the value for plants grown in pre-culture medium and collected at 0 hour. Error bars represent means of three repeats +SE (n=3).

Conclusions

In this study, we cloned two cytosolic GS cDNAs, GS1b and GS1c from the roots of *E. crassipes*, studied the characterizations with the yeast complementation experiment and analyzed the expressions of GS1a, GS1b and GS1c in response to different nitrogen sources in roots and leaves. These GS cDNAs were approximately 1400-1500 nucleotide encoding proteins with 354-356 amino acids that functionally complemented the mutant yeast Δ Gln for growth on ammonium as the sole source of nitrogen. Their relative expression level was markedly upregulated under the status of nitrate as the only nitrogen source except that of GS1b in roots, which was only up-

Fig. 4. The relative expression levels of three GS genes from leaves under different nitrogen status. The values are given as mean \pm SD. A. GS1a; B. GS1b; C. GS1c. Expression levels were normalized against the value for plants grown in pre-culture medium and collected at 0 hour. Error bars represent means of three repeats + SE (n=3).

regulated less than one fold, and GS1a in leaves, which was up-regulated under condition of nitrogen deprivation. All these results indicated that *E. crassipes* has at least three different cytosolic GS1 genes which have typical conserved domains of GS gene and can functionally complement the mutant yeast that is deprived of the GS gene. All these *E. crassipes* GS1 genes have different expression patterns in response to different nitrogen sources.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the Science and Technology project of Guangdong Province under grant 2016A010105020 and the National Science Foundation under grant 21177029.

References

- Aboul-Enein, A.M., A.M. Al-Abd, E.A. Shalaby, F. Abul-Ela, A.A. Nasr-Allah, A.M. Mahmoud and H.A. El-Shemy. 2011. Eichhornia crassipes (Mart) solms. Plant Signal Behav., 6: 834-836.
- Altschul, S.F., T.L. Madden, A.J. Schaffer, J. Zhang, Z. Zhang, W. Miller and D.J. Lipman. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: A new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 25: 3389-2402.
- Bernard, S.M., A.L.B. Møller, G. Dionisio, T. Kichey, T.P. Jahn, F. Dubois, M. Baudo, M.S. Lopes, T. Tercé-Laforgue, C.H. Foyer, M.A. Parry, B.G. Forde, J.L. Araus, B. Hirel, J.K. Schjoerring and D.Z. Habash. 2008. Gene expression, cellular localisation and function of glutamine synthetase isozymes in wheat (Triticumaestivum L.). *Plant Mol. Biol.*, 67: 89-105.
- Betti, B., M. García-Calderónm, C.M. Pérez-Delgado, A. Credali, P. Pal'ove-Balang, G. Estivill, M. Repčák, J.M. Vega, F. Galván and A.J. Márquez. 2014. Reassimilation of ammonium in Lotus japonicus. J. Exp. Bot., 65: 5557-5566.
- Caldelas, C., S. Iglesia-Turino, J.L. Araus, J. Bort and A. Febrero. 2009. Physiological responses of Eichnornia crassipes (Mart.) Solms to the combined exposure to excess nutrients and Hg. *Braz. J. Plant Physiol.*, 21: 1-12.
- Casabianca, M.L.D., T. Laugier and F. Posada. 1995. Pertoliferous wastewater treatment with water hyacinth: experimental statement. *Waste Manage*, 15: 651-655.
- Castro-Rodríguez, V., A. García-Gutiérrez, J. Canales, C. Avila, E.G. Kirby and F.M. Cánovas. 2011. The glutamine synthetase gene family in Populus. *BMC Plant Biol.*, 11: 119-134.
- Castro-Rodríguez, V., A. García-Gutiérrez, R.A. Cañas, M.B. Pascual, C. Avilas and F.M. Cánova. 2015. Redundancy and metabolic function of the glutamine synthetase gene family in Poplar. *BMC Plant Biol.*, 15: 20-37.
- Che,n X., X.X. Chen, X.W. Wan, B.Q. Weng and Q. Huang. 2010. Water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) waste as an adsorbent for phosphorus removal from swine waste water. *Bioresource Technol.*, 101: 9025-9030.
- Deng, H., Z.H. Ye and M.H. Wong. 2004. Accumulation of lead, zinc, copper and cadmium by 12 wetland plant species thriving in metal-contaminated sites in China. *Environ. Pollut.*, 132: 29-40.
- Emanuelsson, O., S. Brunak, G. von Heijne and H. Nielsen. 2007. Locating proteins in the cell using Target P, Signal P, and related tools. *Nat. Protoc.*, 2: 953-971.
- Fuentes, S.I., D.J. Allen, A. Ortiz-Lopez and G. Hernández. 2001. Overexpression of cytosolic glutamine synthetase increases photosynthesis and growth at low nitrogen concentrations. J. Exp. Bot., 52: 1071-1081.
- Hayakawa, T., K. Kamachi, M. Oikawa, K. Ojima and T. Yamaya. 1990. Response of glutamine synthetase and glutamate synthase isoforms to nitrogen sources in rice cell cultures. *Plant Cell Physiol.*, 31: 1071-1077.
- Hoelzle, I., J.J. Finer, M.D. McMullen and J.G. Streeter. 1992. Induction of glutamine synthetase activity in nonnodulated roots of Glycine max, Phaseolus vulgaris mad Pisum sativum. *Plant Physiol.*, 100: 525-528.
- Ishiyama, K., E. Inoue, A.W. Tkahashi, M. Obara, T. Yamaya and H. Takahashi. 2004. Kinetic properties and ammonium-dependent regulation of cytosolic isoenzymes of glutamine synthetase in Arabidopsis. *Biol. Chem.*, 279: 16598-16605.
- Jiang, L.H., M.H. Fu, Y.M. Li, G.H. Yan, L.J. Zheng, X.L. Chen and J.P. Peng. 2014. Cloning and analysis of cytosolic Glutamine synthetase cDNA from *Eichhornia crassipes*. *Biotechnol. Bull.*, 7: 93-99.

- Kassir, Y. and G. Simchen. 1991. Monitoring meiosis and sporulation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Method Enzymol.*, 194: 94-110.
- Kozaki, A., A. Sakamoto, K. Tanaka and G. Takeba. 1991. The promoter of the gene for glutamine synthetase from rice shows organ-specific and substrate-induced expression in transgenic tobacco plants. *Plant Cell Physiol.*, 32: 353-358.
- Lee, H.J., S.E. Abdula, D.W. Jang, S.H. Park, U.H. Yoon, Y.J. Jung, K.K. Kang, I.S. Nou and Y.G. Cho. 2013. Overexpression of the glutamine synthetase gene modulates oxidative stress response in rice after exposure to cadmium stress. *Plant Cell Rep.*, 32: 1521-1529.
- Li, W.G., H.M. Gong and T.J. Chang. 2008. Effect of nitrogen forms on growth and physiological responses of an aquatic plant Eichhornia crassipes. J. Agro-environ. Sci., 27: 1545-1549.
- Li, Y.M., L.H. Jiang, G.H. Yan, L.J. Zheng, M.H. Fu and J.P. Peng. 2014. Cloning and expression analysis of a novel ammonium transporter gene from *Eichhornia crassipes*. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 46(6): 2021-2026.
- Livak, K.J. and T.D. Schmittgen. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method. *Methods*, 25: 402-408.
- Man, H.M., R. Boriel, R. El-Khatib and E.G. Kirby. 2005. Characterization of transgenic poplar with ectopic expression of pine cytosolic glutamine synthetase under conditions of varying nitrogen avalability. *New Phytol.*, 167: 31-39.
- Martin, A., J. Lee, T. Kichey, D. Gerentes, M. Zivy, C. Tatout, F. Dubois, T. Balliau, B. Valo, M. Davanture, T. Tercé-Laforgue, I. Quilleré, M. Coque, A. Gallais, M.B. Gonzalez-Moro, L. Bethencourt, D.Z. Habash, P.J. Lea, A. Charcosset, P. Perez, A. Murigneux, H. Sakakibara, K.J. Edwards and B. Hirel. 2006. Two cytosolic glutamine synthetase isoforms of maize are specifically involved in the control of grain production. *Plant Cell*, 18: 3252-3274.
- Miflin, B.J. and D.Z. Habash. 2002. The role of glutamine synthetase and glutamate dehydrogenase in nitrogen assimilation and possibilities for improvement in the nitrogen utilization of crops. *J. Exp. Bot.*, 53: 979-987.
- Nogueira, E.D.M., F.L. Olivares, J.C. Japiassu, C. Vilar, F. Vinagre, J.I. Baldani and A.S. Hemerly. 2005. Characterization of glutamine synthetase genes in sugarcane genotypes with different rates of biological nitrogen fixation. *Plant Sci.*, 169: 819-832.
- Nord-Larsen, P.H., T. Kichey, T.P. Jahn, C.S. Jensen, K.K. Nielsen, J.N. Hegelund and J.K. Schjoerring. 2009. Cloning, characterization and expression analysis of tonoplast intrinsic proteins and glutamine synthetase in ryegrass (*Loliumperenne* L.). *Plant Cell Rep.*, 2: 1549-1562.
- Odjegba, V.J. and I.O. Fasidi. 2007. Changes in antioxidant enzyme activities in *Eichhornia crassipes* (Pontederiaceae) and Pistia stratiotes (Araceae) under heavy metal stress. *Rev. Biol. Trop.*, 55: 815-823.
- Rana, N.K., P. Mohanpuria and S.K. Yadav. 2008. Expression of tea cytosolic glutamine synthetase is tissue-specific and induced by cadmium and salt stress. *Biol. Plantarum*, 52: 361-364.
- Reddy, K.R., M. Agami and J.C. Tucker. 1989. Influence of nitrogen supply rates on growth and nutrient storage by water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes* (Mart.) Solms) plants. *Aquat. Bot.*, 36: 33-43.
- Reddy, K.R., M. Agami and J.C. Tucker. 1990. Influence of phosphorus supply rates on growth and nutrient storage by water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes* (Mart.) Solms) plants. *Aquat. Bot.*, 37: 355-365.
- Redinbaugh, M.G. and W.H. Campbell. 1993. Glutamine synthetase and ferredoxin-dependent glutamate synthase expression in maize (*Zea mays*) root primary response to nitrate. *Plant Physiol.*, 101: 1249-1255.

- Sakakibara, H., S. Kawabata, H. Takahashi, T. Hase and T. Sugiyama. 1992b. Molecular cloning of the family of glutamine synthetase gene from maize: expression of genes for glutamine synthetase and ferredoxin-dependent glutamate synthase in photosynthetic and non-photosynthetic tissues. *Plant Cell Physiol.*, 33: 49-58.
- Sakakibara, H., S. Kawabata, T. Hase and T. Sugiyama. 1992a. Differential effects of nitrate and light on the expression of glutamine synthetases and ferredoxin-dependent glutamate synthase in maize. *Plant Cell Physiol.*, 33: 1193-1198.
- Schultz, J., F. Milpetz, P. Bork and C.P. Ponting. 1998. SMART, a simple modular architecture research tool: Identification of signaling domains. *PNAS*, 95: 5857-5864.
- Seabra, A.R., C.P. Vieira, J.V. Cullimore and H.G. Carvalho. 2010. Medicago truncatula contains a second gene encoding a plastid located glutamine synthetase exclusively expressed in developing seeds. *BMC Plant Biol.*, 10: 183-198.
- Seabra, A.R., L.S. Silva and H.G. Carvalho. 2013. Novel aspects of glutamine synthetase (GS) regulation revealed by a detailed expression analysis of the entire GS gene family of Medicago truncatula under different physiologicalconditions. *BMC Plant Biol.*, 13: 137-151.
- Sigrist, C.J.A., E. de Castro, L. Cerutti, B.A. Cuche, N. Hulo, A Bridge, L. Bougueleret and I. Xenarios. 2013. New and continuing developments at PROSITE. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 41: D344-347.
- Sigrist, C.J.A., L. Cerutti, N. Hulo, A. Gattiker, L. Falquet, M. Pagni, A Bairoch and P. Bucher. 2002. PROSITE: a documented database using patterns and profiles as motif descriptors. *Brief Bioinform.*, 3: 265-274.
- Stanford, A.C., K. Larsen, D.G. Barker and J.V. Cullimore. 1993. Differential expression within the glutamine synthetase gene family of the model legume Medicago truncatula. *Plant Physiol.*, 103: 73-81.
- Sugiharto, B. and T. Sugiyama. 1992. Effects of nitrate and ammonium on gene expression of phosphoeno/pyruvate carboxylase and nitrogen metabolism in maize leaf tissue during recovery from nitrogen stress. *Plant Physiol.*, 98: 1403-1408.

- Sukanya, R., M.G. Li and D.P. Snustad. 1994. Root- and shootspecific responses of individual glutamine synthetase genes of maize to nitrate and ammonium. *Plant Mol. Biol.*, 26: 1935-1946.
- Sun, H., Q.M. Huang and J. Su. 2005. Highly effective expression of glutamine synthetase genes GS1 and GS2 in transgenic rice plants increases nitrogen-deficiency tolerance. J. Plant Physiol. Mol. Biol., 31: 492-498.
- Tabuchi, M., K. Sugiyama, K. Ishiyama, E. Inoue, T. Sato, H. Takahashi and T. Yamaya. 2005. Severe reduction in growth rate and grain filling of rice mutants lacking OsGS1; 1, a cytosolic glutamine synthetase1; 1. *Plant J.*, 42: 641-651.
- Teixeira, J., S. Pereira, F. Cánovas and R. Salema 2005. Glutamine synthetase of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L. cv. Désirée) plants: cell- and organ-specific expression and differential developmental regulation reveal specific roles in nitrogen assimilation and mobilization, *J. Exp. Bot.*, 56: 663-671.
- Thompson, J.D., T.J. Gibson, F. Plewniak, F. Jeanmougin and D.G. Higgins. 1997. The CLUSTAL_X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 25: 4876-4882.
- Vezina, L.P. and J.R. Langlois. 1989. Tissue and cellular distribution of glutamine synthetase in roots of pea (*Pisum* sativum) seedlings. *Plant Physiol.*, 90: 1129-1133.
- Wang, Z., Z. Zhang, Y. Zhang, J. Zhang, S. Yan and J. Guo. 2013. Nitrogen removal from Lake Caohai, a typical ultraeutrophic lake in China with large scale confined growth of *Eichhornia crassipes. Chemosphere*, 92: 177-183.
- Wilkins, M.R., E. Gasteiger, A. Bairoch, J.C. Sanchez, K.L. Williams, R.D. Appe and D.F. Hochstrasser. 1999. Protein identification and analysis tools in the ExPASy server. *Method Mol. Biol.*, 112: 531-552.
- Zhao, X.Q. and W.M. Shi. 2006. Expression analysis of the glutamine synthetase and glutamate synthase gene families in young rice (*Oryza sativa*) seedlings. *Plant Sci.*, 170: 748-754.
- Zozaya-Hinchliffe, M., C. Potenza, J.L. Ortega and C. Sengupta-Gopalan. 2005. Nitrogen and metabolic regulation of the expression of plastidic glutamine synthetase in alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*). *Plant Sci.*, 168: 1041-1052.

(Received for publication 28 December 2017)