AMAL MOHAMED ELIWA ABDEL-HAMID^{1,2}

¹Department of Biological and Geological Sciences, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt ²Department of Biology, College of Sciences and Arts, Taibah University, Al-Ula, Saudi Arabia *Corresponding author's email: amaleliwa72@yahoo.com

Abstract

The present study used Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) marker and scanning electron microscope (SEM) for achene surface to characterize and detect morphological and genetical molecular markers in 15 taxa of family Asteraceae collected from Al-Jouf and Al-Ula regions in the northern of Saudi Arabia and give attention to the importance of this family as grazing plants. The results of achene surface showed great differences between studied taxa and gave important criteria to characterize them. RAPD analysis scored molecular genetic markers that helped to characterize and detect variation between studied taxa. Primers produced a total of 105 bands of which 59 were species specific markers. The dendrogram generated by combination between RAPD polymorphism and achene scanning electron microscope features produced a clear view about the genetic relationship between studied taxa of Asteraceae.

Key words: Asteraceae, Achene, RAPD marker, Genetic diversity, Grazing plants.

Introduction

Saudi Arabia has a great plant species diversity. Asteraceae is one of the largest families in the flora of Saudi Arabia, represented by 233 species which is about (10.7%) of the total plant species in the Kingdom (Al-Sodany *et al.* 2013).

The fruit in Asteraceae called achene, is a single seeded thin-walled fruit in which the seed coat is not part of the fruit coat. Achene morphology such as shape, size and color help in correct identification and classification of taxa at specific level (Kynclova, 1970; Haque & Godward, 1984; Kreitschitz & Vallés, 2007; Savadkoohi et al., 2012; Akcin & Akcin, 2014; Bona, 2014; Karanović et al., 2016; Lazarević et al., 2016). Achenes of most species of Asteraceae have a ring of fine hairs or scales called pappus. Pappus is taxonomically helpful to distinguish certain taxa (Vít & Agáta, 2007). At the base of the achene there is a carpopodium. The carpopodium has different shapes like annular, cylindrical, multi-layered, cellular form, the cells rarely have hairs or glands (Michelli & Luiz, 2017). The fine structure of the seeds and achenes are useful in families in which delimitation of particular taxa on morphological basis is difficult (Johnson et al., 2004; Plaza et al., 2004; Zeng et al., 2004).

Molecular genetic markers have an important role in the identification and understanding the phylogenetic relationships between plant species. RAPD marker is one of the significant tools of genetic characterization of plants, revealing the genetic diversity and relationship among species (Henuka *et al.*, 2015). These molecular parameters have an important role for the protection of genetic resources (Saiki *et al.*, 1988; Welsh & McClelland, 1990; Williams *et al.*, 1990; Echt *et al.*, 1992; Nagaoka & Ogihara, 1997; Sivolap *et al.*, 2000; Sreekumar, 2006; Abdel-Hamid, 2011). Moazzeni *et al.*, 2010 opined that morphological characters of achenes and seeds should be correlated with the molecular data.

The floristic studies in Saudi Arabia are not conducted with reference to genetic and molecular bases that be useful for knowing the evolutionary relationships between different plant species (Rodr'1guez-Garaya *et al.*, 2009; Haq *et al.*, 2013).

The aim of the present study is to investigate micromorphological features of achene surface and the molecular genetic markers of 15 taxa of Asteraceae from Al Jouf and Al-Ula regions in the northern part of Saudi Arabia and explain the genetic variations between them and shed light to its importance as grazing plants.

Materials and Methods

Fifteen taxa of family Asteraceae were collected from Al Jouf and Al-Ula regions in the northern of Saudi Arabia (Table 1). The taxa were identified following (Migahid, 1996; Collenette, 1999; Al-Hassan, 2006).

Scanning electron microscopic study of achene surfaces sculpturing (SEM): For SEM studies dry achenes were mounted directly onto clean stubs using double-sided adhesive tape, followed by coating with gold in a JEOL JFC 1100E ion-sputtering unit and examined using a JEOL JSM 5400 LV at a magnification of 35 X, 75 X, 100 X, 150 X, 200 X, 350, 500 and 1000 X scanning electron microscope. The terminology used for the description of the achene surface followed here is of (Stearn, 1966; Stant, 1973; Esau, 1977; Barthlott, 1981; Boesewinkel & Bouman, 1984; Koul *et al.*, 2000; Abid & Qaiser, 2008; Zareh *et al.*, 2016).

Following morphological parameter of the achenes were studied: size (length and width), color and surface pattern; pappus length, color and shape; Carpopodium shape was also noted (Fig. 1).

DNA isolation: DNeasy plant Mini Kit (Qiagen Inc. Dneasy plant mini handbook) protocol was used for isolation of plant DNA.

RAPD-PCR conditions: RAPD-PCR reactions were done by using seven arbitrary random 10-*mer* primers with sequences indicated in Table 2.

Reaction conditions and mixtures (50 μ l total volume) consisting of dNTPs, MgCl₂, 10 X buffer, Primer and Template DNA were optimized. Amplification was

carried out in a Perkin Elmer 2400 thermocycler programmed for 44 cycles as follows: $94^{\circ}C / 4$ mins (1 cycle); $94^{\circ}C / 1$ min, $37^{\circ}C / 1$ min. $72^{\circ}C / 2$ mins. (40 cycles); $72^{\circ}C / 10$ mins. (1 cycle) and $4^{\circ}C$ (infinitive).

 Table 2. Names of random primers and their sequences for RAPD-PCR analysis.

Primer's name	Sequence
OP-005	5-CCCAGTCACT-3
<i>OP-010</i>	5-TCAGAGCGCC-3
OP-020	5-ACACACGCTG-3
<i>OP-Z12</i>	5-TCAACGGGAC-3
OP-A10	5-GTGATCGCAG-3
OPA-02	5-TGCCGAGCTG-3
OPA-05	5-AGGGGTCTTG-3

Table 1. Serial numbers and names of studied Asteraceae taxa. Serial S

No.	species's name	
1 11/4		

- 1. Launaea capitate (Spreng.) Dandy
- 2. Launaea mucronata ssp. Cassiniana (Jaub. & Spach.)
- N. kilian
- 3. Launaea mucronata ssp. Mucronata (Forssk.) Muschl.
- 4. Pulicaria undulata (Forssk.) C.A. Mey.
- 5. Centaurea scoparia Sieber. ex Spreng.
- 6. *Centaurea pseudosinaica* Czerep.
- 7. Conyza bonariensis (L.) Cronquist
- 8. Senecio flavus (Decne.) Sch.-Bip. ex A. Rich.
- 9. Lactuca serriola L.
- 10. Anthemis deserti Boiss.
- 11. Phagnalon barbeyanum Asch. & Schweinf.
- 12. Rhanterium epapposum Oliv.
- 13. Artemisia sieberi Besser
- 14. Artemisia judaica L.
- 15. Artemisia monosperma Delile

Gel electrophoresis: Agarose (12%) was used for resolving the PCR products a Kb DNA ladder (Stratagene) its molecular sizes in bp were: 3000, 2500, 2000, 1500, 1200, 1033, 900, 800, 700, 600, 500, 400, 300, 200 and 100 according to Maniatis *et al.*, (1982).

The run was accomplished for one hour at 100 V in a Bio-RadTM submarine (12 cm x 8 cm). Bands were observed by UV-transilluminator and photographed by Gel Doc XR+ System Bio-Rad.

Data analysis: The analysis of the morphological features and RAPD data was performed using the band binary criterion by codifying the detected bands to 0 when absent and 1 when present, Band scoring and assortment was done using Peak scanner Applied Biosystems. Microsoft Excel 2013 FAMD software (Schluter & Harris, 2006) was used for phylogenetic analysis and Treegraph2 programs to visualize the produced tree (Stover & Muller, 2010).

Results

Achene morphology: Achene morphological characters includng (length and width), color, surface pattern, pappus length, pappus color, shape of bristles and shape of carpopodium of the 15 taxa of Asteraceae are listed in Table 3.

The results in Table 3 showed that the achene size of the studied taxa varied between 0.83–6.5 mm x 0.15–1.5 mm, and pappus length ranged from 2.8–5.50 mm. Out of 15 taxa following 8 taxa were epappose: *Launaea capitata*, *Pulicaria undulata*, *Anthemis deserti*, *Rhanterium epapposum*, *Artemisia sieberi*, *Artemisia judaica* and *Artemisia monosperma*.

Launaea capitata (Spreng) Dandy: Achene size 3.8 mm x 1.5 mm, creamy to brown, surface pattern areolate with round borders, carpopodium was irregularly developed.

Launaea mucronata ssp. *cassiniana* (Jaub. & Spach.) N. Kilian: Achenes size 5.50 mm x 0.50 mm, brown, irregular sulcate. Pappus bristles 5.00 mm long, white, filamentous, carpopodium rectangular.

Launaea mucronata ssp. *mucronata* (Forssk.) Muschl: Achenes 4.75–5.25 mm x 0.40 mm, brown with creamy lines, irregularly sulcate with papillae. Pappus bristles length 5.25 mm long, white, capillary, carpopodium shape elliptic.

Pulicaria undulata (Forssk.) C.A. Mey.: Achenes size 3.38 mm x 0.38 mm, biege, surface pattern reticulate. Cell borders clearly raised above the cell centers with a smooth structure, carpopodium elliptic.

Centaurea scoparia Sieber. ex. Spreng.: Achenes size 3.75 mm x 1.50 mm, creamy to bronze, surface pattern smooth scalariform. The centers of the cells little higher than the borders. Pappus creamy to bronze, 3.75 mm long, scalely, homomorphic bristillate, carpopodium elliptic with scales.

Centaurea pseudosinaica Czerep.: Achene 4.00 mm x 1.50 mm, creamy, surface pattern falsafoveate and scalariform. The cell borders thin and the centers of the cells were at the same level with the borders, pappus white, ca 4.25 mm long, carpopodium triangular with scales.

Conyza bonariensis (L.) Cronquist.: Achenes 0.83 mm x 0.15 mm. Surface cells pubescent, ribbed, vertically oriented with straight anticlinal walls and shallow periclinal walls and upwardly directed hairs. Pappus bristles ca 3.00 mm long, white, homomorphic, scabrous serrulate bristillate. Carpopodium circular disc-shaped.

Senecio flavus (Decne.) Sch.-Bip. ex A. Rich.: Achenes 3.18 mm x 1.00 mm, wholly brownish, striate-scalariform, reticulate and warty. Pappus 5.50 mm long, white, scabrous-barbellate. Carpopodium circular with interruption.

Lactuca serriola L.: Achenes 2.58 mm x 0.87 mm, obovate, brown, longitudinally ribbed on both sides, gradually narrowed towards the base. Pappus bristles 3.00 mm length, white, homomorphic capillary. Carpopodium round shaped with interruption.

Anthemis deserti Boiss.: Achenes 2.00 mm x 0.67 mm, brown to black, surface pattern reticulate. Pappus absent. Carpopodium round shaped.





(Fig. 1. Cont'd.)

Fig. 1. Scanning electron micrographs (SEM) of achenes of studied Asteraceae taxa. A: Shapes of achenes. B: Achene surface. C: Achene surface. D: Achene tip. E: Shape of carpopodium. 1: Launaea capitata, 2: Launaea mucronata cassiniana, 3: Launaea mucronata mucronata, 4: Pulicaria undulate, 5: Centaurea scoparia, 6: Centaurea pseudosinaica, 7: Conyza bonariensis, 8: Senecio flavus, 9: Lactuca serriola, 10: Anthemis deserti, 11: Phagnalon barbeyanum, 12: Rhanterium epapposum, 13: Artemisia sieberi, 14: Artemisia judaica, 15: Artemisia monosperma.

AcheneAcheneAchenePapusLength (m)Width (m)Vidth (m)Vidth (m)PapusPapus3.801.50Creamy to BrownTregular sulcate5.00WhiteFilamentousSerrate5.500.50BrownIrregular sulcate5.00WhiteFilamentousSerrate3.751.50Creamy to BrownIrregular sulcate5.00WhiteFilamentousRound3.751.50Creamy to BromnIrregular sulcate3.75Creamy to BromsDentate create3.751.50Creamy to BromnFalse foveate and scalariform3.75Creamy to BromsPaputa create3.751.50Creamy to BromnPalse foveate and scalariform3.75Creamy to BromsPantate create0.830.15Beige to BrownPubescent Ribbed3.00WhiteHomomorphic BristilateRound1.100BrownI.100BrownI.100BrownStriate Scalariform and5.50WhiteHomomorphic CapillaryInconspice2.000.67BrownI.100BrownI.100WhiteArondotic CapillaryInconspice1.303.181.00BrownI.100BrownI.100BrownI.100WhiteScabrous barbellateRound2.000.67BrownI.100BrownI.100BrownI.100TapicateI.1002.000.67BrownI.100Brown	_				Table 3. Description of achene c	haracteris	stics for studied Ast	eraceae taxa.		1
SizeSizeLength (m)Vildth (m)Shape of bristlesPappus D 80 1.50Creamy to BrownArcolate with round bordersSerrate 5.0 0.50BrownArcolate with round borders5.0WhiteFilamentous serrulateRound -5.25 0.40BrownIrregular sulcate5.00WhiteFilamentous serrulateRound -5.25 0.40BrownIrregular sulcate with papilla5.25WhiteFilamentous serrulateRound -5.25 0.40BrownFalse foveate and scalariform3.75Creamy to BronzeRoundRound 0.15 Beige to BrownPubescent Ribbed3.00WhiteHomomorphic BristilateRound 0.15 Beige to BrownPubescent Ribbed3.00WhiteHomomorphic ScabrousSalient and 0.15 Beige to BrownStriate Scalariform and5.50WhiteHomomorphic ScabrousSalient and 0.16 BrownLongitudinal ribbed3.00WhiteRoundateRound 0.87 Brown to BlackColate and varty5.50WhiteHomomorphic CapillaryIncome 0.00 0.67Brown to BlackColate and varty 5.0 WhiteFilamentous 7.5 0.00 0.67Brown to BlackColate and varty 5.0 WhiteRoundate 7.5 0.00 0.67Brown to BlackColate and resculate 2.80 White 7.5 7.5 <				Achene				Pappus		Carpopodium
Beige Transmitter Term Serrate 5.80 0.50 Brown Irregular sulcate with papilla 5.25 White Filamentous serrulate Round 5.80 0.50 Brown Irregular sulcate with papilla 5.25 White Filamentous serrulate Round 3.75 0.38 Beige Reculate 3.00 White Filamentous Round 3.75 1.50 Creamy to Bronze Scalariform 3.75 Creamy to Bronze Round Round 3.75 1.50 Creamy to Bronze Scalariform 3.75 Creamy to Bronze Round 3.150 Creamy to Bronze Scalariform 3.00 White Homomorphic Bristilate Round 3.18 1.00 Brown Pubescent Ribbed 3.00 White Homomorphic Scabrous Salient and in 3.18 1.00 Brown Creamy to Brown Striate Scalariform and striate 3.00 White Homomorphic Scabrous Salient and in 3.10 Brown Brown Longitudinal ribled 3.00 White Scabrous barbellate Rou	Ion	Siz	<u>(m) (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) </u>	Color	Pattern	Length	Color	Shape of bristles	Pappus Disc	Shape
5.00.50BrownIrregular sulcate5.00WhiteFilamentous serulateRound3.380.38BrownIrregular sulcate with papilla5.25WhiteFilamentous serulateRound3.751.50Creamy to BrownIrregular sulcate8.00WhiteFilamentousRound3.751.50Creamy to BrownFalse foveate and scalariform3.75Creamy to BronzeRound3.751.50Creamy to BrownFalse foveate and scalariform4.25WhiteHomomorphic BristilateRound4.001.50Creamy to BrownPubescent Ribbed3.00WhiteHomomorphic ScabrousSalient and 13.181.00BrownStriate Scalariform and reticulate and warty5.50WhiteHomomorphic CapillaryIncomplete3.181.00BrownLongitudinal ribbed3.00WhiteHomomorphic CapillaryIncomplete2.000.67Brown to BlackOcellate and warty3.00WhiteRomomorphic CapillaryIncomplete2.000.67Brown to BlackOcellate and reticulate2.000.67BrownBrownCreamy and reticulate2.000.67Brown to BlackOcellate and reticulate2.000.67Brown to BlackCreamy to BrownBrown		3 80	1 50	Creamy to Brown	Areolate with round borders	(m) '	,	,	Serrate	Irregularly developed
 5.00 0.50 Brown Irregular sulcate 5.00 White Filamentous serrulate Round Brown Irregular sulcate with papilla 5.25 White Filamentous serrulate Round 3.38 0.38 Beige Round Reticulate 5.25 0.40 Brown Irregular sulcate with papilla 5.25 White Filamentous Round 4.00 1.50 Creany to Bronze Reticulate and scalariform 3.75 Creany to Bronze Homomorphic Bristilate Round 4.00 1.50 Creany to Brown Pubescent Ribbed 3.00 White Homomorphic Scabrous Salient and 1.51 Creany to Brown Pubescent Ribbed 3.00 White Homomorphic Scabrous Salient and 1.50 Creany to Brown Pubescent Ribbed 3.00 White Homomorphic Capillary Dentate Creany to Brown Reticulate and warty 5.50 White Homomorphic Capillary Incomprise 2.50 0.67 Brown to Black Ocellate and reticulate		0000	0001	CICUM M PICAL	TIME TO THE				Contaco	modern franchen
 55.25 0.40 Brown Irregular sulcate with papilla 5.25 White Filamentous Round 3.38 0.38 Beige Beige Reticulate		5.50	0.50	Brown	Irregular sulcate	5.00	White	Filamentous serrulate	Round	Rectangular
 3.38 0.38 Beige Reticulate 3.75 Creamy to Bronze Homonorphic Bristilate Round 4.00 1.50 Creamy to Bronze Scalariform 4.25 White Homonorphic Bristilate Round 4.00 1.50 Creamy to Bronze Brown Pubescent Ribbed 3.00 White Homonorphic Scabrons Salient and a strait and a strait scabrons 3.18 1.00 Brown Pubescent Ribbed 3.00 White Homonorphic Scabrons Salient and a strait scabrons 3.10 Brown Longitudinal ribbed 3.00 White Round Homonorphic Scabrons Salient and a strait scalariform and strait scalariform and a strait scalariform and strait scalariform and strait scalariform and strait scalariform and a strait scalariform and scalariform and strait scalariform and strait scalariform and strait scalariform and scalariform scalariform and scalariform scalariform and scalari scalariform scalariform and scalariform scalariform and scala	4.7	55.25	0.40	Brown	Irregular sulcate with papilla	5.25	White	Filamentous	Round	Elliptic
3.751.50Creamy to BronzeScalariform3.75Creamy to BronzeHomomorphic BristilateRound4.001.50Creamy to BrownFalse foveate and scalariform4.25WhiteHomomorphic BristilateRound0.830.15Beige to BrownPubescent Ribbed3.00WhiteHomomorphic ScabrousSalient and 13.181.00BrownStriate Scalariform and reticulate and warty5.50WhiteHomomorphic CapillaryIncomspic3.181.00BrownLongitudinal ribbed3.00WhiteScabrous barbellateRound2.580.87Brown to BlackLongitudinal ribbed3.00WhiteHomomorphic CapillaryInconspic2.000.67Brown to BlackOcellate and reticulate1.400.30BrownBrown to BlackOcellate and reticulate1.400.30BrownBrownRitike2.80WhiteHomomorphic CapillaryInconspic1.300.75BeigeStriate Scalariform and appendages <td></td> <td>3.38</td> <td>0.38</td> <td>Beige</td> <td>Reticulate</td> <td>ı</td> <td></td> <td>Capillary</td> <td>Dentate crown</td> <td>Elliptic</td>		3.38	0.38	Beige	Reticulate	ı		Capillary	Dentate crown	Elliptic
4.001.50CreamyFalse foveate and scalariform4.25WhiteHomomorphic BristilateRound0.15Beige to BrownPubescent Ribbed3.00WhiteHomomorphic ScabrousSalient and3.181.00BrownStriate Scalariform and reticulate and warty5.50WhiteHomomorphic ScabrousSalient and2.580.87BrownLongitudinal ribbed3.00WhiteScabrous barbellateRound2.500.67Brown to BlackOcellate and reticulate1.400.30BrownLineate with hair like2.80WhiteHomomorphic CapillaryInconspicu6.500.75BeigeStriate Scalariform and appendages3.251.13BeigeGlabrous Sulcate and Ribbed <t< td=""><td></td><td>3.75</td><td>1.50</td><td>Creamy to Bronze</td><td>Scalariform</td><td>3.75</td><td>Creamy to Bronze</td><td>Homomorphic Bristilate</td><td>Round</td><td>Elliptic with scales</td></t<>		3.75	1.50	Creamy to Bronze	Scalariform	3.75	Creamy to Bronze	Homomorphic Bristilate	Round	Elliptic with scales
0.830.15Beige to BrownPubescent Ribbed3.00WhiteHomomorphic ScabrousSalient and3.181.00BrownStriate Scalariform and reticulate and warty5.50WhiteScabrous barbellateRound2.580.87BrownLongitudinal ribbed3.00WhiteScabrous barbellateRound2.500.67Brown to BlackCocellate and reticulate3.00WhiteHomomorphic CapillaryInconspicu ray1.400.30BrownLineate with hair like2.80WhiteHomomorphic CapillaryEntire6.500.75BeigeStriate Scalariform and appendages2.80WhiteHomomorphic CapillaryEntire6.500.75Beige to BrownCreamy to BrownClabrous Sulcate and Ribbed1.300.82Beige to BrownRugose with pits2.500.53Creamy to BrownGlabrous Sulcate and Ribbed2.500.53Creamy to BrownRugose with pits <td></td> <td>4.00</td> <td>1.50</td> <td>Creamy</td> <td>False foveate and scalariform</td> <td>4.25</td> <td>White</td> <td>Homomorphic Bristilate</td> <td>Round</td> <td>Triangular with scales</td>		4.00	1.50	Creamy	False foveate and scalariform	4.25	White	Homomorphic Bristilate	Round	Triangular with scales
3.181.00BrownStriate Scalariform and reticulate and warty5.50WhiteScabrous barbellateRound2.580.87BrownLongitudinal ribbed3.00WhiteHomomorphic Capillaryinconspicu ray2.000.67Brown to BlackOcellate and reticulate1.400.30Brown to BlackOcellate and reticulate1.400.30BrownLineate with hair like2.80WhiteHomomorphic CapillaryEntire6.500.75BeigeStriate Scalariform and reticulate3.251.13Beige to BrownRugose with pits1.300.53Creamy to BrownGlabrous Sulcate and Ribbed2.500.53Creamy to BrownGlabrous Sulcate and Ribbed2.500.53Creamy to BrownGlabrous Sulcate and Ribbed1.300.53Creamy to BrownGlabrous Sulcate and Ribbed<		0.83	0.15	Beige to Brown	Pubescent Ribbed	3.00	White	Homomorphic Scabrous Serrulate Bristillate	Salient and round	Broad circular disc
2.580.87BrownLongitudinal ribbed3.00WhiteHomomorphic Capillaryinconspicu2.000.67Brown to BlackOcellate and reticulate <td></td> <td>3.18</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>Brown</td> <td>Striate Scalariform and reticulate and warty</td> <td>5.50</td> <td>White</td> <td>Scabrous barbellate</td> <td>Round</td> <td>Round with fissure</td>		3.18	1.00	Brown	Striate Scalariform and reticulate and warty	5.50	White	Scabrous barbellate	Round	Round with fissure
2.000.67Brown to BlackOcellate and reticulate1.400.30BrownLineate with hair like2.80WhiteHomomorphic CapillaryEntire6.500.75BeigeStriate Scalariform and3.251.13BeigeGlabrous Sulcate and Ribbed1.300.82Beige to BrownRugose with pits2.500.53Creamy to BrownGlabrous Sulcate and Ribbed2.500.53Creamy to BrownGlabrous Sulcate and Ribbed2.500.53Creamy to BrownGlabrous Sulcate and Ribbed </td <td></td> <td>2.58</td> <td>0.87</td> <td>Brown</td> <td>Longitudinal ribbed</td> <td>3.00</td> <td>White</td> <td>Homomorphic Capillary ray</td> <td>İnconspicuous</td> <td>Round with interruption</td>		2.58	0.87	Brown	Longitudinal ribbed	3.00	White	Homomorphic Capillary ray	İnconspicuous	Round with interruption
1.400.30BrownLineate with hair like2.80WhiteHomomorphic CapillaryEntire6.500.75BeigeStriate Scalariform and3.251.13BeigeGlabrous Sulcate and Ribbed1.300.82Beige to BrownRugose with pits2.500.53Creamy to BrownGlabrous Sulcate and Ribbed2.500.53Creamy to BrownGlabrous Sulcate and Ribbed2.500.53Creamy to BrownGlabrous Sulcate and Ribbed </td <td></td> <td>2.00</td> <td>0.67</td> <td>Brown to Black</td> <td>Ocellate and reticulate</td> <td>ı</td> <td></td> <td>I</td> <td>·</td> <td>Round</td>		2.00	0.67	Brown to Black	Ocellate and reticulate	ı		I	·	Round
6.50 0.75 Beige Striate Scalariform and - - - - 3.25 1.13 Beige Glabrous Sulcate and Ribbed - - - - 1.30 0.82 Beige to Brown Rugose with pits - - - - 2.50 0.53 Creamy to Brown Glabrous Sulcate and Ribbed - - - -		1.40	0.30	Brown	Lineate with hair like appendages	2.80	White	Homomorphic Capillary ray Scabrid barbellate	Entire	Broad circular disc subbasal
3.25 1.13 Beige Glabrous Sulcate and Ribbed -		6.50	0.75	Beige	Striate Scalariform and reticulate	ı	I	ı	I	Irregularly developed
1.30 0.82 Beige to Brown Rugose with pits - - - 2.50 0.53 Creamy to Brown Glabrous Sulcate and Ribbed - - Dentate cr		3.25	1.13	Beige	Glabrous Sulcate and Ribbed	ı		I	ı	Irregularly developed
2.50 0.53 Creamy to Brown Glabrous Sulcate and Ribbed Dentate cre		1.30	0.82	Beige to Brown	Rugose with pits	ı	ı	I	ı	I
		2.50	0.53	Creamy to Brown	Glabrous Sulcate and Ribbed	ı	ı	I	Dentate crown	Round

Phagnalon barbeyanum Asch. & Schweinf .: Achenes 1.40 mm x 0.30 mm, dark brown, long hairy, surface pattern lineate with hair like appendages. Pappus bristles 2.80 mm long, white, homomorphic scabrid barbellate, Carpopodium broad subbasal, circular disc-like.

Rhanterium epapposum Oliv.: Achenes of disc-florets without pappus, 6.50 mm x 0.75 mm, beige, straight to slightly curved, striate scalariform and reticulate, glandular, with many narrow longitudinal sclerenchymatic ribs. Carpopodium irregularly developed.

Artemisia sieberi Besser: Achenes 3.25 mm x 1.13 mm, pericarp wholly beige, glabrous sulcate and ribbed. Pappus absent, Carpopodium irregularly developed.

Artemisia judaica L.: Achenes 1.30 mm x 0.82 mm small, beige to brown in colour, surface pattern rugose with pits, usually glabrous, pappus absent.

Artemisia monosperma Delile: Achenes 2.50 mm x 0.53 mm, creamy to brown in colour; glabrous sulcate and ribbed. The centers of the cells were higher than the borders. Pappus absent.

RAPD results: The RAPD analysis of 15 taxa of Asteraceae against seven different random 10-mer primers generated a total of 105 amplified fragments. (Fig. 2 and Table 4). The total number of bands produced by each primer ranged from 11 to 24 bands. The polymorphism percentage varied among the primers. The highest ratio of polymorphism generated by OP-A02 was 83.33%, while OP-005 produced the lowest polymorphism (36.36%).

Species specific molecular markers for different Asteraceae taxa: The total number of species specific markers scored across Asteraceae taxa was 59. The highest number of species specific markers generated from RAPD analysis was twenty by OP-A02, while the lowest one was four generated by primer OP-O05 (Table 4).

Genetic relationships: The genetic similarities among the 15 studied taxa were based on Nei's method (Nei, 1978) and (Saitou & Nei, 1987). The highest pairwise similarity indices resulted from both RAPD analysis and achene features were between Centaurea scoparia and Centaurea pseudosinaica, while the lowest similarity indices were between Launaea mucronata ssp. cassiniana and Phagnalon barbeyanum; Launaea mucronata ssp. mucronata and Artemisia monosperma (Table 5).

In the UPGMA tree (Fig. 3), the studied taxa were divided into two main groups at distance 0.65, the first group divided into two clusters at distance 0.68, the cluster 1 had only one species Launaeacapitata, cluster 2 contained two taxa Launaea mucronata ssp. cassiniana and Launaea mucronata ssp. mucronata. The second group was also divided into two clusters at distance 0.71, the cluster 1 had 10 species, Pulicaria undulata, Centaurea scoparia, Centaurea pseudosinaica, Lactuca serriola, Conyza bonariensis, Senecio flavus, Rhanterium epapposum, Artemisia sieberi, Artemisia judaica and Artemisia monosperma. Cluster 2 contained two species Anthemis deserti and Phagnalon barbeyanum.

OP-005















OP-A02



OP-A05



Fig. 2. DNA polymorphism of studied Asteraceae taxa generated by RAPD-PCR.



Fig. 3. UPGAMA dendogram based on achene features and RAPD-PCR analysis of studied Asteraceae taxa. 1: Launaea capitata, 2: Launaea mucronata cassiniana, 3: Launaea mucronata mucronata, 4: Pulicaria undulate, 5: Centaurea scoparia, 6: Centaurea pseudosinaica, 7: Conyza bonariensis, 8: Senecio flavus, 9: Lactuca serriola, 10: Anthemis deserti, 11: Phagnalon barbeyanum, 12: Rhanterium epapposum, 13: Artemisia sieberi, 14: Artemisia judaica, 15: Artemisia monosperma

Primer's		м	Monomorphic		Polymorp			hic bands			Total	No of	Polymorphism %				
	name	IVI	Monomorphic bands Species specific bands Non DNA markers				ba	nds									
C	DP-005		4			4			3		1	1		36.36			
C	DP-O10		4			6			1		1	1		54.55			
C	OP-O20		8		6				2		1	16	37.50				
C	OP-Z12		5			8		1			1	14		57.14			
C	DP-A10		2			6		3		1	1	54.55					
C	DP-A02		1			20		3			24		83.33		83.33		
C	DP-A05		1			9		8		1	18	50.00					
	Total		25			59			21		1	05	56.19				
Tab	ole 5. Sin	nilarity	v matric	es for s	studied	Asterac	eae tax	a gener	ated by	/ morpł	nologica	l featur	es and I	RAPD ·	PCR.		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15		
1	1.00																
2	0.67	1.00															
3	0.68	0.73	1.00														
4	0.69	0.67	0.70	1.00													
5	0.67	0.66	0.72	0.80	1.00												
6	0.71	0.66	0.76	0.80	0.83	1.00											
7	0.67	0.61	0.69	0.73	0.69	0.75	1.00										
8	0.65	0.64	0.71	0.72	0.74	0.78	0.77	1.00									
9	0.68	0.70	0.63	0.79	0.75	0.77	0.71	0.71	1.00								
10	0.62	0.68	0.67	0.77	0.71	0.69	0.70	0.70	0.72	1.00							
11	0.62	0.58	0.62	0.73	0.66	0.69	0.68	0.67	0.66	0.75	1.00						
12	0.63	0.59	0.71	0.70	0.75	0.75	0.69	0.66	0.65	0.67	0.70	1.00					
13	0.73	0.60	0.63	0.76	0.74	0.78	0.72	0.71	0.72	0.72	0.78	0.75	1.00				

14

15

0.67

0.62

0.59

0.59

0.60

0.58

0.74

0.69

0.73

0.66

0.73

0.67

0.69

0.69

0.70

0.67

0.72

0.70

0.66

0.68

0.71

0.73

0.79

0.74

0.81

0.76

1.00

0.78

1.00

Table 4. DNA monomorphic and polymorphic bands by using RAPD-DNA marker among studied Asteraceae taxa.

Discussion

The aim of the present work was to characterize some wild taxa of Asteraceae growing in Al-Jouf and Al-Ula regions in the northern of Saudi Arabia by using micromorphological characters of achene surface and molecular genetic markers. These techniques succeeded in separating and distinguishing between studied taxa and explain the genetic variations between them and be useful to preserve the germplasm of these taxa to avoid disappearance by unrestricted grazing.

This study demonstrates that achene morphology is very useful for differentiating various Asteraceae taxa. The pattern of the achene surface gives important micromorphological characters that are useful to delimit the studied taxa. Pappus also showed considerable differences especially the shape of bristles and pappus rim (Table 3).

The results of RAPD marker revealed the utility of this technique for detecting molecular markers, elucidating relationships and variation between studied taxa. RAPD analysis (Tables 4 and 5) with seven different random 10-*mer* primers resulted a total of 105 fragments with 56.19% of polymorphism. The highest ratio of polymorphism generated by OP-A05 (83.33%), while OP-O05 primer produced the lowest polymorphism (36.36%).

The UPGMA tree resulted from both RAPD analysis and achene morphology of 15 taxa of Asteraceae revealed clear diversity in the studied taxa. The tree was divided into two main groups at distance 0.65, the first group was divided into two clusters at distance 0.68. The cluster 1 had only one species, cluster 2 contained two species. The second group was divided also into two clusters at distance 0.71, the cluster 1 had 10 species, Cluster 2 contained two species (Fig. 3).

Petropoulos *et al.*, (2018) demonstrated that some members of the family Asteraceae had a nutrition value. It contains high levels of carbohydrates, antioxidant and phenolic compounds as well as some-minerals like K, Ca, Mg, and Fe.

Many shepherds depend on wild plants for animal grazing including the members of Asteraceae, especially it is one of the largest families in the flora of Saudi Arabia. Plant species diversity is great affected by herbivore grazing animals especially camels. The number of camels in Saudi Arabia is 0.12 camels/km² (Milchunas & Lauenroth, 1993; Proulex & Mazumder, 1998), over 90% of lands of the Arabian Peninsula is affected by camels because camels can graze freely throughout the desert (Gallacher & Hill, 2006; Liu *et al.*, 2015).

Batanouny (1990) demonstrated that unrestricted grazing and increasing number of livestock exhausted over 30% of the Arab Gulf grazing lands. Disturbance in habitat area and habitat connectivity are the major factors influencing species diversity (Deng *et al.*, 2014). Many studies demonstrated there was an opposite correlation between the grazing pressures and the change of genetic diversity (Shan *et al.*, 2006; Komac *et al.*, 2014).

Heavy grazing had affected plant life forms, composition of plant community, vegetation structure that resulted in a significant reduction in species diversity plants and distribution of dominant species in the arid regions. (El-Keblawy, 2003; Zhao *et al.*,2007; El-Keblawy *et al.*, 2009; Al-Rowaily *et al.*, 2012; Louhaichi *et al.*, 2012). Species diversity is very important for plant as well as animal productivity (Feng *et al.*, 2016).

Conclusion

A combination of RAPD technique and scanning electron microscopic study of achene morphology generated a large number of polymorphisms for characterization and distinguishing the different taxa of Asteraceae and gave a clear view about the genetic relationship between them. It also explained the importance to preserve the germplasm of these species because its important value as grazing plants and avoid extinction due to overgrazing in Saudi Arabia and in other arid countries.

References

- Abdel-Hamid, A.M.E. 2011. DNA fingerprint of *Alkanna tinctoria* subspecies in Misurata, Libya. *Mid. East J.*, 7(4): 555-560.
- Abid, R.D. and M. Qaiser 2008. Cypsela morphology of some genera in the tribe Gnaphalieae (Asteraceae) from Pakistan. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 40: 473-485.
- Akcin, T.A. and A. Akcin. 2014. Achene micromorphology of seven taxa of *Achillea* L. (Asteraceae) from Turkey. *Bang. J. Plant Taxon.*, 21(1): 19-25.
- Al-Hassan, H.O. 2006. Wild plants of the Northern Region of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, (field guide with photographs), Ministry of Agriculture, Camel and research center, Al Jouf. Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. pp. 264.
- Al-Rowaily, S.L., M.I. El-Bana and F.A.R. Al-Dujain. 2012. Changes in vegetation composition and diversity in relation to morphometry, soil and grazing on a hyper-arid watershed in the central Saudi Arabia. *Catena*, 97: 41-49.
- Al-Sodany, Y.M., A.B. Salih and A.M. Hosny. 2013. Medicinal Plants in Saudi Arabia: I. Sarrwat Mountains at Taif, KSA. *Acad. J. Plant Sci.*, 6(4): 134-145.
- Barthlott, W. 1981. Epidermal and seed surface characters of plants: systematic applicability and some evolutionary aspects. *Nord. J. Bot.*,1: 345-355.
- Batanouny, H.K. 1990. Rangeland Ecology of the Arab Gulf Countries. In: Proceeding of the First International Conference on Range Management in the Arabian Gulf. Kegan Paul International, London. pp. 33-56.
- Boesewinkel, F.D. and F. Bouman. 1984. The Seed: Structure. In Embryology of Angiosperms. Johri, B. (Ed.), Springer Berlin Heidelberg Berlin. 567-610.
- Bona, M. 2014. Achene characteristics of Turkish *centaurea* (Asteraceae) and their systematic application. *Bang. J. Bot.*, 43(2): 163-168.
- Collenette, S. 1999. Wildflowers of Saudi Arabia, National Commission for wildlife Conservation and development (NCWCD), Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, pp. 799.
- Deng, L., S. Sweeney and Z.P. Shangguan. 2014. Grassland responses to grazing disturbance: plant diversity changes with grazing intensity in a desert steppe. *Grass Forage Sci.*, 69(3): 524-533.

- Echt, C.S., L.A. Erdahl and T.J. McCoy. 1992. Genetic segregation of random amplified polymorphic DNA in diploid cultivated alfalfa. *Genome*, 35: 84-87.
- El-Keblawy, A. 2003. Effect of protection from grazing on species diversity, abundance and productivity in two regions of Abu-Dhabi Emirate, UAE. In: (Eds.): Alsharhan, A.S., W.W. Wood, A.S. Goudie, A. Fowler, E. Abdellatif. Desertification in the Third Millennium. Swets & Zeitlinger Publisher, Lisse, The Netherlands, pp. 217-226.
- El-Keblawy, A., T. Ksiksi and H. El Alqamy. 2009. Camel grazing affects species diversity and community structure in the deserts of the UAE. *J. Arid Environ.*, 73(3): 347-354.
- Esau, K. 1977. Anatomy of seed plants. New York: John Wiley & Sons. pp. 554.
- Feng, C., S. Ding, T. Zhang, Li Z., D. Wang, L. Wang and F. Peng. 2016. High plant diversity stimulates foraging motivation in grazing herbivores. *Basic Appl. Ecol.*, 17(1): 43-51.
- Gallacher, D. and J. Hill. 2006. Effects of camel grazing on the ecology of dwarf shrubs and sedges in the Dubai (UAE) inland desert. *J. Arid Environ.*, 6: 738-75.
- Haq, I., A.A. Khan and M.A. Azmat. 2013. Assessment of genetic diversity in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) using RAPD markers. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci.*, 50: 655-662.
- Haque, M.Z. and B.E. Godward. 1984. New records of the carpopodium in the Compositae and its taxonomic use. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.*, 89(4): 321-340.
- Henuka, R., D.V. Raju, K.M. Arun, T. Janakiram, B. Namita, K. Gopala and J. Rana. 2015. characterization and analysis of genetic diversity among different species of rose (*Rosa* species) using morphological and molecular markers. *Ind. J. Agri. Sci.*, 85(2): 240-245.
- Johnson, A., K.H. Huish and J.M. Porter. 2004. Seed surface sculpturing and its systematic significance in *Gilia* (Polemoniaceae) and segregated genera. *Int. J. Plant Sci.*,165: 153-172.
- Karanović, D., L. Zorić, B. Zlatković, P. Boža and J. Luković. 2016. Carpological and receptacular morpho-anatomical characters of *Inula*, *Dittrichia*, *Limbarda* and *Pulicaria* species (Compositae, Inuleae): Taxonomic Implications. *Flora*, 219: 48-61.
- Komac, B., M. Domènech and R. Fanlo. 2014. Effects of grazing on plant species diversity and pasture quality in subalpine grasslands in the eastern Pyrenees (Andorra): Implications for conservation. J. Na. Conserv., 22(3): 247-255.
- Koul, K.K., R. Nagpal and S.N. Raina. 2000. Seed coat microsculpturing in *Brassica* and allied genera (subtribe Brassicinae, Raphaninae, Moricandiinae). *Ann. Bot.*, 86: 385-397.
- Kreitschitz, A. and J. Vallès. 2007. Achene morphology and slime structure in some taxa of *Artemisia L.*, *Neopallasia L.* (Asteraceae). *Flora*, 202: 570-580.
- Kynclova, M. 1970. Comparative morphology of achenes of the tribe Anthemideae Cass. (Asteraceae) and its taxonomic significance. *Preslia*, 42: 33-53.
- Lazarević, J.M., J.Ž. Luković, S.Z. Terzić, M.Đ. Jocković, L.N. Zorić, D.S. Karanović and D.M. Miladinović. 2016. Micromorphological features of achene of wild annual sunflowers. Matica Srpska. J. Nat. Sci., 131: 73-80.
- Liu, J., C. Feng, D. Wang, L. Wang, B.J. Wilsey and Z. Zhong. 2015. Impacts of grazing by different large herbivores in grassland depend on plant species diversity. *J. Appl. Ecol.*, 52: 1053-1062.
- Louhaichi, M., F. Ghassali, A.K. Salkini and S.L. Petersen. 2012. Effect of sheep grazing on rangeland plant communities: Case study of landscape depressions within Syrian arid steppes. J. Arid Environ., 79: 101-106.

- Maniatis, T., E.F. Fritsch and J. Sambrook. 1982. Molecular Cloning. a Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Publisher, New York. pp. 545.
- Michelli, F.B. and A.S. Luiz. 2017. A survey of ontogeny cypsela characters contributing to the infrafamilial characterization of Asteraceae. *J. Torr. Bot. Soc.*, 144(3): 296-302.
- Migahid, A.M. 1996. Flora of Saudi Arabia. 4th ed. King Saud University, Riyadh., pp. 648.
- Milchunas, D.G. and W.K. Lauenroth. 1993. Quantitative effects of grazing on vegetation and soils over a global range of environments. *Ecol. Monographs*, 63: 327-366.
- Moazzeni, H., S. Zarre, I.A. Al-Shehbaz and K. Mummenhof. 2010. Phylogeny of *Isatis* (Brassicaceae) and allied genera based on ITS sequences of nuclear ribosomal DNA and morphological characters. *Flora*, 205: 337-343.
- Nagaoka, T. and Y. Ogihara. 1997. Applicability of inter-simple sequence repeat polymorphisms in wheat for use as DNA markers in comparison to RFLP and RAPD markers. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 94: 597-602.
- Nei, M. 1978. Estimation of average heterozygosity and genetics distance from a small number of individuals. *Genet.*, 89(3): 583-590.
- Petropoulos, S., C. Pereira, N. Tzortzakis, L. Barros and I. Ferreira. 2018. Nutritional value and bioactive compounds characterization of plant parts from *Cynara cardunculus* L. (Asteraceae) cultivated in central greece. *Front. Plant Sci.*, 9: 459-470.
- Plaza, L., I. Fernández, R. Juan, J. Pastor and A. Pujadas. 2004. Micromorphological studies on seeds of *Orobanche* species from the Iberian Peninsula and the Balearic Islands, and their systematic significance. *Ann. Bot.*, 94: 167-178.
- Proulex, M. and A. Mazumder. 1998. Reversal of grazing impact on plant species richness in nutrient-poor vs. nutrient-rich ecosystems. *Ecology*, 79: 2581-2592.
- Rodr'1guez-Garaya, B., J.A. Lomel'1-Senci'onb, E. Tapia-Camposa, A. Guti'errez-Moraa, J.Garc'1a-Galindoc, J.M. Rodr'1guez-Dom'1ngueza D.,Urbina-L'opeza and I. Vicente-Ram'1rezc. 2009. Morphological and molecular diversity of Agave tequilana Weber var. Azul and Agave angustifolia Haw. var. Linen^o. Ind. Crop. Prod., 29: 220-228.
- Saiki, R.K., D.H. Gelfand, S. Stoffet, S.J. Scharf, R. Higuchi, G.T. Mullins and H.A. Erlich. 1988. Primer-directed enzymatic amplification of DNA with a thermostable DNA polymerase. *Science*, 239: 487-494.
- Saitou, N. and M. Nei. 1987. The neighbor-joining method: A new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, 4(4): 406-425.
- Savadkoohi, F., T. Nejadsattari, M. Assadi, E. Jafari and I. Mehregan. 2012. Application of achene morphology in systematics of some Iranian *Taraxacum* (Asteraceae tribe Cichorieae) species. *Iran J. Bot.*, 18 (2): 249-261.
- Schluter, P.M. and S.A. Harris. 2006. Analysis of Multilocus fingerprinting data sets containing missing data. *Mol. Ecol. Notes*, 6: 569-572.
- Shan, D., M. Zhao, B. Han and G. Han. 2006. Examining the genetic diversity of *Stipa grandis* under various grazing pressures. *Acta Ecologica Sinica*, 26(10): 3175-3182.
- Sivolap, Y.U., E.A. Topchieva and S.V. Chebotar. 2000. Identification and certification of common wheat cultivars using RAPD and SSRP analyses. *Genet. Mosk.*, 36: 44-51.
- Sreekumar, V.B. and C. Renuka. 2006. Assessment of genetic diversity in *Calamus thwaitesii* BECC. (Arecaceae) using RAPD markers. Biochem. *Syst. Ecol.*, 34(5): 397-405.
- Stant, M.T. 1973. The role of the scanning electron microscope in plant. *Kew Bulletin*, 28: 105-115.

- Stearn, W.T. 1966. Botanical Latin. Nelson, T. & Sons Lid, London, Edinburgh., London, Edinburgh. pp. 566.
- Stover, B.C. and K.F. Muller. 2010. Tree Graph 2: Combining and visualizing evidence from different phylogenetic analyses. *B.M.C. Bioinf.*, 11: 7-16.
- Vít, B. and F. Agáta. 2007. Atlas of seeds and fruits of central and Eest– European flora, the Carpathian mountains region. springer, JSBN 978-4020-5362-7 (e book), ISBN 978-4020-5361-0(HB).pp. 1046.
- Welsh, J. and M. McClelland. 1990. Cell and fingerprinting genomes using PCR with arbitrary primers. *Nucl. Acids Res.*, 18(24): 7213-7218.
- Williams J.G., A.E. Kubelik, K.J. Livak, J.A. Rafalski and S.C. Tingey. 1990. DNA polymorphism amplified by arbitrary

primers as useful genetic markers. *Nucl. Acids Res.*, 18(22): 6531-6535.

- Zareh, M.M., A.M. Faried and M.H. Mohamed. 2016. Achene wall anatomy and surface sculpturing of Launaea Cass. (Compositae: Cichorieae) with notes on their systematic significance. *Korean J. Pl. Taxon.*, 46(2): 187-198.
- Zeng, C., J. Wang, A. Liu and X. Wu. 2004. Seed coat microsculpturing changes during seed development in diploid and amphiploid *Brassica* species. *Ann. Bot.*, 93: 555-566.
- Zhao, W.Y., J.L. Li and J.G. Qi. 2007. Changes in vegetation diversity and structure in response to heavy grazing pressure in the northern tianshan mountains, *China. J. Arid Environ.*, 68: 465-479.

(Received for publication 2 August 2018)