# **INFERTILITY CURATIVE PLANTS AS PLANT GROWTH INHIBITORY AGENTS**

## SADDAR AFSHEEN NOMAN<sup>1</sup>, SADAF TABASUM QURESHI<sup>1\*</sup>, SYEDA SALEHA HASSNEY<sup>1</sup> AND ANILA NAZ SOOMRO<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of plant Sciences, University of Sindh Jamshoro, Pakistan <sup>2</sup>Department of Fresh water biology &Fisheries, University of Sindh Jamshoro, Pakistan \*Corresponding author's email: sadaf.qureshi@usindh.eku.pk

### Abstract

Long Term use of infertility curative plants is common in Sindh Pakistan. Continues intake of these plants may lead to cellular destruction based on toxic compounds in addition to fertility boosters. Assessment of non-cytotoxic dose to human can initially be tested in plant based assay. Therefore, three fertility enhancing plant parts viz. Sweet Flag Rhizome (S.F.R.), Peppermint Leaves (P.L.) and Red Cabbage Flower (R.C.F.) were compared for germination inhibition and mitotic index (M.I) inhibition as main parameters of phytotoxicity. Chickpea seeds were incubated for 15, 30, 45 and 60 minutes in filtered aqueous extracts (1, 3 and 5%). Un-treated seeds were used as negative control and 0.2% Ethyl methane sulphonate treated seeds as positive control. Chickpea seed germination inhibition was observed in S.F.R and lowest in R.C.F. Incubation time dependent decrease in germinations were recorded by applying LSD analysis ( $p \le 0.01$ ) for all tested plant extracts except 1% S.F.R. Incubation time and concentration dependent M.I (%) was only observed in peppermint. Overall P.M. was least phytotoxic among tested plant extracts as compare to +ve control.

Key words: Phytotoxic, Incubation, LSD, EMS, Positive control, Negative control.

#### Introduction

Medicinal plants used as complementary medicine all over the world. In Pakistan more than 6000 plants cultivated indigenously and used as medicinal plants. Most of Sindhi villagers (80%) rely on herbal remedies to cure different disease (Rehman et al., 2011). Recently after revealing cytotoxic potential along with different health benefits of bioactive compounds of medicinal plants, safe dose estimation is the primary object of most pharmacologists. Many workers rely on plant based assay system before final screening on mouse and mammalian cell studies (Katabale et al., 2017; Xiaobang, 2019). Fertility defect are one of the major cause of marriage breaks in Pakistan (Ali et al., 2011). Therefore to save marriage life both male and female try every method of cure from allopathic to ayurvedic. Sometimes they try multiple medicinal plants over the time even for years. Thus infertile people are more at risk of cytotoxic effects leading to oxidation of membrane components and finally DNA. Most commonly used fertility enhancers of Sindh Pakistan are;

1. Sweet flag: (Acorus calamus) also known as Kini kathi belongs to family Acoraceae. Whole plant used for the treatment of leucorrhea, irregular menstruation and infertility due to cold womb (Rehman et al., 2011). Beside with these sweet flag also used to treat itching, anxiety, rhematitis, asthma, schizophrenia etc (Raja et al., 2009; Amit and Vandana, 2013). Asarone ( $\alpha$ -asarone and  $\beta$ -asarone) is main plant chemical of S.F with acoradin, galagin, 2, 4, 5trimethoxy benzaldehyde, 2, 5-dimethoxybenzoquinone, calamendiol, spathulenol and sitosterol (Zuba & Byrska, 2012; Amit & Vandana, 2013). Prime adverse effects associated with Sweet Flag rhizome are nervous system disorder (Bjornstad et al., 2009 and Gur'ev et al., 2010), Immunosuppressive, hyposensitive and respiratory depressant effects caused (Singh et al., 2001).

2. Peppermint: (Mentha piperita) is a perennial plant, 50-60 cm (3-4 feet) high, is flowering member of the mint family Lamiaceae. Active chemical constituents are volatile oils, menthol, menthyl acetate, isomenthone, menthofuran, eucalyptol, limonene menthone, and polyphenols (Mainasara et al., 2018). Peppermint leaves used as multipurpose component, related with the treatment of inflammation of the mouth and throat, sinus and respiratory problems. People exercise mint leave as a fertility booster in solid, tea as well as powder form. It helps in the treatment of menstrual misbalance and pain, also acts as stimulator (WebMD). Its beneficial constituent includes vitamins A, C, B12, natural form of folic acid (essential for found pregnancy) also in peppermint tea (babyprepping.com). However, heavy metals and essential oil are linked with toxic effects. Some common reactions caused by peppermint are heart burn, vomiting and renal failure (Kiggler & Chaudhary, 2007).

3. Red cabbage: (Brassica oleracea) belongs to family Brassicaceae, known to prevent fibroids and endometriosis in women (FertilityHomeopath.com). Cabbage is a rich source of vitamin c which helps to prevent sperm clumping (agglutination) (Integramassage.wordpress.com). Nutrients like calcium, iron, magnesium, phosphorous, sulfur, silica, vitamins a, b, c, e and k, amino acids, such as s-methyl cysteine, and anthocyans are present in cabbage. The active amino acid ingredients found in cabbage have also shown to promote the production of carcinogen-fighting enzymes. Due to considerable heavy metals accumulation like mercury, lead, arsenic and cadmium (herbwisdom.com) it also possesses antimicrobial properties. Unnecessary use of red cabbage cause goiter enlargement in animals because of degradation products of glucosinolate (Mithen, 2001). Jumbled effects of fertility enhancing plants reported in plant, animals and human beings as made assessed for safe dose obligatory for betterment of living organisms. While

focusing hazards of infertility curative plants to humans a preliminary study of cellular injuries resulting in growth inhibition has been designed using chick pea as standard assay plant.

## **Materials and Methods**

Chick pea Seeds were incubated for 15, 30, 45 and 60 minutes in 1%, 3% and 5% solutions of aqueous extract of fertility enhancing plants for phytotoxicity.

Aqueous extract preparation: Plant parts via roots of sweet flag, leaves of peppermint and flower of red cabbage were purchased from local bazaar and cleaned with cotton cloth to remove dust particles than powder was obtained by pestle and mortar and electric grinder. Powder of all the plants were soaked in distilled water for overnight followed by filtration with filter paper (Whatman No.1 (12.5cm)). Untreated seeds (distill water soaked) were used as negative control and 0.2% EMS (Ethyl methane sulphonate) treated seeds as positive control.

**Seed soaking:** Total 80 chickpea seeds per plant filtrate were placed in different concentrations and 20 seed per treatment were removed after 15, 30, 45 and 60 minutes. Before sowing seeds were washed with distilled water to stop the effect of plant extracts.

**Germination:** Incubated chickpea seeds were placed in sand pot up to 2 inches depth at 25C° in green house for germination analysis. After 48 hours seeds were counted for root emergence (germination), procedure repeated until germination stopped.

**Fixation of mitotic roots:** Carnoys-1 solution (3:1 glacial acetic acid and alcohol) used to form fixative, approximately 2.5 cm roots were kept in fixative for 24 hours to capture phase of cell division then roots were shifted in 70% alcohol till the time of slide preparation.

**Slide preparation and photography:** Root tips were cut very carefully and spread by squash technique (Dille & King 1983; Dille *et al.*, 1986), slides were stained with acetocarmine solution (2% acetocarmine in 45% glacial acetic acid), cover with Petri dish for 15 to 20 minutes for healthier staining, eliminate extra mark with filter paper and fix slides with flame. Digital microscope (Olympus51x) at 400 magnifications was used for slide analysis.

**Cytogenetic studies:** Initially 6 slides for each treatment were used to calculate abnormal dividing, non-dividing and normal dividing cells to compile mitotic index.

# Data analysis of phytotoxicity and genotoxicity assay

**Germination percentage:** To obtain germination percentage following formula used (El-Shaieny, 2015).

Germination % = 
$$\frac{\text{No. of germinated seed}}{\text{Total no. of seed sown}} \times 100$$

Least square difference (LSD) test at  $p \le 0.01$  was applied through computer software statistics 8.1 to evaluate germination percentage and mitotic index as compare to both (negative and positive) controls.

**8.2. Mitotic index (M.I):** A ratio between the numbers of total dividing cells and total cells analyzed called mitotic index. It was calculated by following formula (Didla *et al.*, 2015).

$$M.I. = \frac{\text{Total dividing cells}}{\text{Total cells analyzed}} \times 100$$

Results

**Germination (%):** LSD analysis of chickpea seed germination (%) exhibited significant variations at  $p \le 0.01$  for all the doses of tested fertility enhancing plants.

Approximately all incubations of S.F.R. and P.L. revealed highly significant variation as compare to control except 15 minutes incubation of 1% R.C.F.

Mean comparison of germination percentage: The mean comparison of germination percentage as affected by different concentrations and incubation time of S.F.R. P.L. and R.C.F. aqueous extracts are compiled and presented in (Table 1; Figs. 1, 2 and 3). Highest germination percentage (90) of sweet flag treated seed was recorded in 15 minutes of 3% concentration whereas lowest (55%) also found in 3% with 45 minutes incubation period (Fig. 6). P.L. uppermost (90%) germination proportion was found in 15 minutes incubation period of 5% concentration and lowermost (50%) was found in 60 minutes of 3% (Fig. 2). Higher germination percent (95) of chickpea seed treated by R.C.F. extracts was resulted in 45 minutes of 1% incubation and lower (70%) again found in 1 percent's 30 minute incubation period (Fig. 3).

**Mitotic Index (%):** Mitotic index is very important phytotoxicity parameter that provide solid prove of growth retardation. It was obtained by mean number of non-dividing, normal dividing and abnormal dividing cells for all the tested plant parts (Tables 2, 3, and 4). Highly significant ( $p \ge 0.01$ ) differences were observed for all the applied concentrations and incubation time (Table 5; Figs. 4, 5 and 6). In S.F.R. extract treated roots highly significant variation for M.I. was recorded for all the incubation periods of 5% and non-significant for 1%. (What about 3%) Maximum M.I. (74.63%) was resulted in 1% and minimum (59.49%) in 5%. Decrease in M.I increased by increasing extract concentrations.

<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of all concentrations of P.L. extract showed significant variation in M.I. as compare to control. Maximum M.I. (86.17%) was given by 3% and minimum (59.29%) by 1%. Non-dose dependent increase and decrease was induced by P.L.

Most of incubations of R.C.F. were highly significant variant as compare to control. Maximum M.I. (86.53%) was recorded in 1% 15 minutes incubation and minimum (69.89%) also found in 1% but 30 minutes incubation. Over all random mito-depressive affects were observed in R.C.F. treated roots.



Fig. 1. Effect of different concentrations of S.F.R. aqueous extracts on germination (%).



Fig. 2. Effect of different concentrations of P. L. aqueous extracts on germination (%).



Fig. 3. Effect of different concentrations of R. C. F. aqueous extract of on germination.

Mitotic index of sweet flag (root) 15 30 45 ■60 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 3% 5% 1% negative positive control cotrol

Fig. 4. Mitotic index given by different concentration and incubation of S.F.R.



Fig. 5. Mitotic index given by different concentration and incubation of P.L.



Fig. 6. Mitotic Index Given by different concentration and incubation of R.C.F.

	Germination percentage of treated plant (Emerpea) induced by inter tinty curative plants						
Plant name	Incubation time (minutes)	-ve control	+ve control	1%	3%	5%	
	15	85 <sup>b</sup>	65 <sup>f</sup>	80°	90 <sup>a</sup>	85 <sup>b</sup>	
Germination of	30	75 <sup>d</sup>	60 <sup>g</sup>	75 <sup>d</sup>	70 <sup>e</sup>	60 <sup>g</sup>	
Sweat flag	45	50 <sup>i</sup>	30 <sup>j</sup>	65 <sup>f</sup>	55 <sup>h</sup>	60 <sup>g</sup>	
0	60	70 <sup>e</sup>	00 <sup>k</sup>	85 <sup>b</sup>	80°	85 <sup>b</sup>	
	15	85 <sup>b</sup>	65 <sup>f</sup>	80°	85 <sup>b</sup>	90 <sup>a</sup>	
Germination of Peppermint	30	75 <sup>d</sup>	60 <sup>g</sup>	80°	75 <sup>d</sup>	85 <sup>b</sup>	
	45	50 <sup>h</sup>	30 <sup>j</sup>	60 <sup>g</sup>	80°	80 <sup>c</sup>	
	60	70 <sup>e</sup>	$00^{\mathbf{k}}$	60 <sup>g</sup>	50 <sup>h</sup>	80 <sup>c</sup>	
	15	85 <sup>c</sup>	65 <sup>f</sup>	85°	85°	80 <sup>d</sup>	
Germination of Red cabbage	30	75 <sup>e</sup>	60 <sup>g</sup>	70 <sup>f</sup>	80 <sup>d</sup>	90 <sup>b</sup>	
	45	50 <sup>i</sup>	30 <sup>j</sup>	95 <sup>a</sup>	85°	85°	
	60	70 <sup>f</sup>	00	80 <sup>d</sup>	80 <sup>d</sup>	80 <sup>d</sup>	

 Table 1. Mean germination percentage of chickpea seeds treated by S.F.R., P.L. and R.C.F. aqueous extracts (LSD p≤0.01).

 Germination percentage of treated plant (Chickpea) induced by infertility curative plants

(Means with same alphabets are non-significantly different from each other and with different alphabets are significantly different at  $(p \le 0.01)$ )

 Table 2. Number of normal dividing, non-dividing and abnormal dividing cells induced by different concentration of S.F.R. aqueous extract.

Treatment	Incubation (minutes)	Number of cells			
ITeatment		Non-dividing	Normal dividing	Abnormal dividing	
	15	0	485	5	
tra aantaal	30	0	480	10	
-ve control	45	0	485	08	
	60	0	490	0	
	15	181	111	95	
SED 10/	30	179	116	103	
S.F.K. 1 %	45	124	132	177	
	60	91	128	174	
	15	217	00	104	
S.F.R. 3%	30	267	19	190	
	45	195	112	212	
	60	203	167	260	
	15	129	16	109	
CED 50/	30	144	112	192	
5.F.K. 5%	45	123	114	254	
	60	120	116	265	
	15	160	48	550	
EMS 0.2 %	30	180	30	468	
(+ve control)	45	130	20	393	
	60	-	-	-	

 Table 3. Number of normal dividing, non-dividing and abnormal dividing cells induced by different concentration of P.L. aqueous extract.

		Number of cells				
Treatment	Incubation (minutes)	Non-dividing	Normal dividing	Abnormal dividing		
	15	0	485	5		
	30	0	480	10		
-ve control	45	0	485	08		
	60	0	Number of cells           Normal dividing         Abnor           485         480           485         490           35         21           19         10           10         11           13         34           21         21           21         21           21         35           21         34           21         20           72         48           30         20	0		
	15	67	35	179		
DI 10/	30	46	21	245		
P.L. 1 %	45	139	19	432		
	60	147	10	204		
	15	52	10	314		
DI 20/	30	64	11	274		
P.L. 3%	45	78	13	200		
	60	74	Normal dividing         A           g         Normal dividing         A           485         480           485         480           485         21           19         10           10         11           13         34           21         21           20         72           48         30           20         -	192		
	15	46	21	262		
DI 50/	30	73	21	192		
P.L. 5%	45	40	20	186		
	60	50	72	220		
	15	160	48	550		
EMS 0.2 %	30	180	30	468		
(+ve control)	45	130	20	393		
. , ,	60	-	-	-		

Tuestment	In substion (minutes)	Number of cells			
Ireatment	Incubation (minutes)	Non-dividing	Normal dividing	Abnormal dividing	
	15	0	485	5	
	30	0	Number of cells           g         Normal dividing         Abnor           485         480         485           480         485         490           7         19         7           19         7         2           11         7         28           102         77         2           13         14         48           30         20	10	
-ve control	45	0		08	
	60	0		0	
	15	33	7	205	
DCE 10/	1% $30$ $143$ $45$ $48$ $60$ $35$	19	313		
R.C.F. 1 %	45	48	7	215	
	60	35	2	80	
	15	64	11	261	
D C E 20/	30	65	7	288	
R.C.F. 5%	45	73	Number of cells           Normal dividing         Abnormal dividing           485         5           480         10           485         08           490         0           7         205           19         313           7         215           2         80           11         261           7         288           28         174           102         212           77         255           2         161           13         313           14         127           48         550           30         468           20         393		
	60	108		212	
	15	130	77	255	
D C E 50/	30	38	ng Normal dividing Abnorm 485 480 485 480 485 490 7 19 7 2 10 7 2 11 7 2 11 7 2 11 7 2 11 7 2 11 48 30 20 -	161	
R.C.F. 5%	45	79	13	313	
	60	54	14	127	
	15	160	48	550	
EMS 0.2 %	30	180	30	468	
(+ve control)	45	130	20	393	
. ,	60	-	-	_	

Table 4. Number of normal dividing, non-dividing and abnormal dividing cells induced by different concentration of R.C.F. aqueous extract.

 Table 5. Mitotic index of chick pea root tip cells treated by different concentrations and incubations of S.F.R., P.L. and R.C.F. aqueous extract.

	Mitotic index of Chickpea root tip cells by infertility curative plants						
Type of index	Incubation time	vo Control	+ve		Concentration		
	(minutes)	-ve Control	Control	1%	3%	5%	
	15	$100^{a}$	82.25 <sup>b</sup>	74.63 <sup>cd</sup>	69.29 <sup>fg</sup>	67.75 <sup>g</sup>	
M.I. of Sweet flag	30	$100^{a}$	76.32 <sup>c</sup>	73.75 <sup>cd</sup>	67.88 <sup>g</sup>	67.85 <sup>g</sup>	
	45	$100^{a}$	73.35 <sup>cde</sup>	72.07 <sup>def</sup>	70.29 <sup>efg</sup>	$63.47^{h}$	
	60	$100^{a}$	-	69.48 <sup>fg</sup>	67.77 <sup>g</sup>	59.49 <sup>h</sup>	
	15	$100^{a}$	82.25 <sup>cd</sup>	75.88 <sup>ef</sup>	86.17 <sup>b</sup>	86.01 <sup>b</sup>	
M.I. of Peppermint	30	$100^{a}$	76.32 <sup>e</sup>	85.29 <sup>b</sup>	81.66 <sup>d</sup>	$74.47^{fg}$	
	45	$100^{a}$	73.35 <sup>g</sup>	76.49 <sup>e</sup>	73.19 <sup>g</sup>	83.73 <sup>c</sup>	
	60	$100^{a}$	_i	$59.27^{\rm h}$	75.33 <sup>ef</sup>	85.38 <sup>b</sup>	
M.I. of Red Cabbage	15	100 <sup>a</sup>	82.25°	86.53 <sup>b</sup>	80.95 <sup>cd</sup>	71.86 <sup>hi</sup>	
	30	$100^{a}$	76.32 <sup>e</sup>	69.89 <sup>j</sup>	81.94 <sup>cd</sup>	81.09 <sup>cd</sup>	
	45	$100^{a}$	73.35 <sup>gh</sup>	82.22°	73.45 <sup>fg</sup>	80.49 <sup>d</sup>	
	60	$100^{a}$	_k	$70.08^{ij}$	74.40 <sup>ef</sup>	72.30 <sup>gh</sup>	

# Discussion

Concentration and time dependent decrease in germination for 15, 30 and 45 minutes were observed for all applied incubations (concentration and time) of sweet flag and 5% of Peppermint. Similar findings were note down for *Satureja thymbra* L. and *Cassia fistula* extracts in different assay plants by a range of research workers previously (Masoud, 2018 and Muhammad, 2019). Whereas other incubations of rest of plants revealed that chick pea seeds were totally compromised irrespective used aqueous extracts. Recent results are although unusual but occasionally reported from Brazil (Gomes *et al.*, 2017). On the contrary other scientists found concentration dependent germination inhibition as well as yield and growth inhibition mediated by different plant extracts.

Actually low to moderately toxic plants give concentration dependent toxicity, whereas highly toxic plants have lethal effects right from the lowest dose that fluctuates a little randomly. As metals are linked with many physiological and biochemical complications (Ackova, 2018) hence experimental germination inhibition can be correlated with remarkable amount of mercury, lead, cadmium and arsenic piled up in S.F.R. (Meena, 2010), lead, Manganese, iron, zinc and copper in P.L. (Bagdatlioglu *et al.*, 2010) and mercury, cadmium, arsenic, and lead in R.C.F. It is reported by many researchers all over the world that chickpea and onion seed germination hang-up and plant root growth slow down by cadmium, lead and arsenic (Babatunde & Bakare, 2006; Bhattacharya *et al.*, 2012; Mondal *et al.*, 2013). Decrease in the length of wheat seed, membrane breakage and germination inhibition in pea seeds and embarrassment of root length as well as seed germination in zea mays recorded due to elevated quantities of mercury, cadmium, zinc and iron (Rahoui, *et al.*, 2010; Pattanaik *et al.*, 2011 and Rasafi *et al.*, 2016).

Resulted decrease in mitotic index (%) by S.F.R aqueous extracts was directly proportional towards dose. Likewise Soliman (2001), Sobita and Bhagirath (2005), Lubini *et al.*, (2008), Sousa *et al.*, (2009 and 2010), Celik and Aslanturk (2010), Sousa & Viccni (2011) and Qureshi *et al.*, (2015) reported mitotic index suppression by neem extract in onion, *Nerium odorum* and *Solanum indicum* 

extract in *Viccia faba*, psychotoria extract in onion, aqueous extracts of *Lantana camara* L., *Lippia alba* (Mill) and *Cymbopogon citratus* (DC) in lettuce, *Lavandula stoech* in onion, *Achillea millefolium* in lettuce and by aqueous extracts of Thyme seed, Neem leaf, Neem seed and Eucalyptus leaf in chickpea root tip cells.  $\beta$ asarone,  $\alpha$ -asarone and Eugenol are main constituents of sweet flag, that might be causes of decrease in mitotic index supported by findings of earlier investigator like use of  $\beta$ -asarone and its oil for two years or more induce intestinal tumor in rats (Singh *et al.*, 2001).  $\alpha$ -asarone at high concentration reduce mitotic index (Cassani-Galindo *et al.*, 2005). Stomatitis is caused by eugenol an active agent of sweet flag (Deshpande *et al.*, 2014).

Time dependent hit and miss in M.I was found in peppermint treated organism. Peppermint chemicals directly or indirectly affect on plant as well as other organisms, its cytotoxic effects reported in earlier researchers as resulted by Lazutka (2001), according his findings P.M essential oil having cytotoxic concern for human lymphocytes, it induces mutations in doseindependent manner.

The concentration and time dependent decrease in mitotic index was also observed by many researchers in aqueous extracts of *Vicia villosa*, *Rubus sancatus*, *Cinnamomum zeylanicum* (bark) and *Citrullus colocynthis* (leaves) by using *Allium cepa* and *Viccia faba* root tip cells (Soltys *et al.*, 2011; Selmi *et al.*, 2014; El-Ghamery & Basuoni, 2015; Sameer, 2016). The reduction of the mitotic index treated by silk dyeing industry waste on root tip cell of onion is the signal of the inhibition of DNA synthesis (Sudhakar *et al.*, 2001).

Time dependent decrease and fluctuated curve may be due to random effect of phytochemical glucosinolates. Metabolites of glucosinolates (thiocyanates, thiourea and oxazolidithione) are liable cellular injuries in the form of hepatotoxicity and nephrotoxicity (Ahlin et al., 1994; Zang et al., 1999; Wallig et al., 2002; Tanii et al., 2004). Reduction and increase in mitotic index in zigzag mode because of deposition of secondary metabolites (alkaloids, tannins, terpenoids, steroids, glycosides, Phytosterol, Flavonoids, saponins) in red Cabbage. Inhibitory action against germination, seedling growth and mitotic index due to the presence of secondary metabolites were observed in seed of Vigna radiata by the effect of aqueous bark extract of oroxylum indicum L. (Chetry & Bharali, 2018). Similar findings were reported in phytotoxic profiling of Ziziphus mauritiana var. spontanea Edgew. and Oenothera biennis L. against Rhizopertha dominica, Tribolium castaneum and Sitophilus oryzea (Ambrin et al., 2020). Absorption of high measure of lead and mercury reduce mitotic index of root tip cells of Cicer arietinum (Cavusoglu et al., 2009). Cd and Pb reason the boost of cytogenetic disorder in wheat by industrial release of heavy metals (Yakymchuk & Valyuk, 2018). In addition to mito-depressive effects in plants by heavy metals earlier researchers notified human toxicity as presence of lead and cadmium results liver damage (cytotoxicity) increased blood enzyme levels and reduced protein synthesis is (both are molecular indicator of oncogenesis) (Yuan et al., 2014; El-Boshy et al., 2017). Heavy metal intake in large amount related with dysfunction of immunological defense (mainly leukemia and lymphoma)

and neurological behavior (Korfali *et al.*, 2013). Food products (cereals, vegetables, fruits, fish, and meat) possessing toxic metals Cd, Pb, Cr, As, and Hg cause trouble for human being if nearby in huge amount. These metals toxify bodies mechanism finally stimulate chronological disorders like nervous system destruction, deformity, renal tubular dysfunction or anemia skeletal damage resulted due to oxidation of membranes and heredity material (Chang, 2014; Liu, 2014 and Liang *et al.*, 2019).

#### Conclusion

All tested plants were potentially phytotoxic to assay plant with little difference; root germination percentage was more altered by sweet flag followed by land calotrops and least by peppermint, whereas root of sweet flag were and red cabbage was among the three plant parts. Therefore only P.L. should be used as fertility booster in moderate amounts.

#### References

- Ackova, D.G. 2018. Heavy metals and their general toxicity on plants. *Plant Sci. Today*, 5(1): 14-18.
- Ahlin, K.A., M. Emmanuelson and H. Wiktorsson. 1994. Rapeseed products from double low cultivars as feed for dairy cow: effects of long term feeding on thyroid function, fertility and animal health. *Acta. Veterinaria Scandinavica*, 35: 37-53.
- Ali, S., R. Sophie, A.M. Imam, F.I. Khan, F.A. Syed, A. Shaikh and S. Farid-ul-Hasnain. 2011. Knowledge, perceptions and myths regarding infertility among selected adult population in Pakistan. *BMC Pub. Health.*, 11(760): 1471-2458.
- Ambrin, G. Dastgir, J. Bakht and M. Adil. 2020. Phytotoxic, insecticidal and cytotoxic activities of *Ziziphus mauritiana* var. spontaneaedgew and oenotherabiennis L. Pak. J. Bot., 52(6): 2191-2195.
- Amit, K. and Vandana. 2013. Medicinal properties of *Acorus* calamus. J. Drug Deliv. & Therapeut., 3(3): 143-144.
- Babatunde, B.B. and Bakare. 2006. Genotoxicity screening of waste water from Agbara industrial estate Naigeria evaluated with the Allium test. *Pollut. Res.*, 25: 227-234.
- Bagdatlioglu, N., C. Nergiz and P.G. Ergonul. 2010. Heavy metal level in leafy vegetables and some selected fruits. J. Cons. Prot. & Food Saf., 5(3): 421-428.
- Bhattacharya, S., N.D. Sarkar, P. Baenrjee, S. Banerjee, S. Mukherjee, D. Chattopadhyay and A. Mukhopadhyay. 2012. Effect of rsenic toxicity on germination, seedling growth and peroxidase activity in *Cicer arietinum*. *Int. J. Agri. & Food Sci.*, 2(4): 131-137.
- Bjornstad, K., A. Helander, P. Hulten and O. Beck. 2009. Bioanalytical investigation of asarone in connection with Acorus calamus oil intoxications. J. Anal. Toxicol., 33: 604-609.
- Cassani-Galindo, M., E. Madrigal-Bujaidar, G. Chamoro and F. Diaz. 2005. In vitro genotoxic evaluation of three α-asarone analogues. *Toxicol. In Vitro*, 19(4): 547-552.
- Cavusoglu, K., A. Ergene, E. Yalcin, S. Tan and K. Yapar. 2009. Cytotoxic effects of leas and mercury ions on root tip cells of *Cicer arietinum L. Fresen. Environm. Bull.*, 18(9): 1654-1661.
- Chetry, L.B. and M.K. Bharali. 2018. Antiproliferative effect of aqueous bark extracts of Oroxylum indicum L. on *Vigna radiate* L. (Green gram) seedlings. J. Phytopharm., 7(2): 174-179.
- Deshpande, A., S. Verma and C. Verma. 2014. Allergic reaction associated with the use of Eugenol Containing Dental Cement in a Young Child. *Aust. J. Dent.*, 1(2): 1-3.

- Didla, S.R., J. Undamatla and T.C. Diana. 2015. Evaluation of changes in mitotic index of Leukemia cell cultures in different time periods. *Intern. J. Ani. Biol.*, 1(5): 249-252.
- Dille, J.E. and E.N. King. 1983. Changes in Mitotic Indices in Roots of *secale* exposed to Dimethyl Sulfoxide (DMSO). *Cytologia*, 48(3): 659-662.
- Dille, J.E., J.E. King and M. Bright. 1968. Morphological and cytogenetic effects of isotox 25 seeds treater (F) (Lindane and Captan) on roots and chromosome of rye (*Secale cereal* L.). *Cytologia*, 51(3): 489-492.
- El-Boshy, M., A. Ashshi, M. Gaith, N. Qusty, T. Bokhary, N. Altaweel and M. Abdelhady. 2017. Studies on the protective effect of the artichoke (*Cynara scolymus*) leaf extract against cadmium toxicity-induced oxidative stress, hepatorenal damage, and immunosuppressive and hematological disorders in rats. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 24: 12372-1238.
- El-Ghamery, A. and M.M. Basuoni. 2015. Evaluation of cytotoxic and genotoxic effects of Cinnamon aqueous extract in *Allium cepa* and *Vicia faba. Intern. J. Adv. Res. Biol. Sci.*, 2(11): 209-224.
- Gomes, M.D.M., D.J. Bertoncelli, G.A.C. Alves, G.H. Freiria, F.F. Furlan, G.R. Gomes, V.R. Favoretto, H.F.I. Neto, M.C. Omura and J.R.P.D. Souza. 2017. Allelopathic potential of the aqueous extract of turnip on germination of bean and corn seeds. *Open Access Library J.*, 4(3590): 1-10.
- Gur'ev, A.M., M.B. Belousov, R.R Akhmedzhanov, M.S. Iusubov, O.L. Voronova and G.V. Karpova. 2010. Mutagen properties of watersoluble polysaccharides from *Acorus calamus. Eksp Klin Farmakol.*, 73: 43-45.
- http://www.babyprepping.com/health-and-nutrition/what-arefertility-teas/
- http://www.herbwisdom.com/herb-cabbage.html
- http://www.webmd.com/vitamins-supplements/ingredientmono-705
- https://integramassage.wordpress.com/2011/07/25/7-foods-thatboost-male-fertility/
- Katabale, M.K., N.M. Esther and D. Kariuki. 2017. Phytochemical screening, Cytotoxic, genotoxic and mutagenic effects of the aqueous extract of *Azadirachta indica* leaves. *Int. J. Herb. Med.*, 5(3): 39-44.
- Kligler, B. and S. Chaudhary. 2007. Peppermint Oil. Am Fam Physician, 75(7): 1027-1030.
- Korfali, S.I., T. Hawi and M. Mroueh. 2013. Evaluation of heavy metals content in dietary supplements in Labnon. *Chem. Cent. J.*, 7(1): 1-14.
- Lazutka, J.R., J. Mierauskiene, G. Slapsyte and Dedonyte. 2001. Genotoxicity of dill, peppermint and pine essential oil in human lymphocytes and *Drosophila melonogaster*. Food Chem. Toxicol., 39(5): 485-492.
- Liang, G., W. Gong, B. Li, J. Zuo, L. Pan and X. Liu. 2019. Analysis of heavy metals in foodstuffs and an assessment of the health risks to the general public via Consumption in Beijing, China. *Intern. J. Environ. Res. & Pub. Hea.*, 16(909): 1-10.
- Liu, G., Y. Yu, J. Hou, W. Xue, X. Liu, Y. Liu, W. Wang, A. Alsaedi, T. Hayat and Z. Liu. 2014. An ecological risk assessment of heavy metal pollution of the agricultural ecosystem near a lead-acid battery factory. *Ecol. Indic.*, 47: 2210-218.
- Lubini, G., J. Fachinetto, H. Laughinghouse, J. Paranhos, A. Silva and S. Tedesco. 2008. Extracts affecting mitotic division in root-tip meristematic cells. *Biologia*, 63(5): 647-651.
- Mainasara, M.M., M.F.A. Bakar and A.C. Linatoc. 2018. Malaysian medicinal plants for breast cancer therapy. *Asian J Pharm. & Clini. Res.*, 11(6): 101-117.

- Masoud, M., A.K. Mohamed, Omar, Saleh and A. Abugarsa. 2018. Aqueous extract from *Satureja thymbra* L. on seed germination and seedling growth of *Pinus halepensis* Mill. and *Ceratonia siliqua* L. *Libyan J. Sci. & Technol.*, 7(1): 17-20.
- Meena, A.K., M.M. Rao, A. Singh and S. Kumari. 2010. Physicochemical and preliminary phytochemical studies on the rhizome of *Acorus calamus* Linn. *Intern. J. Pharm. & Pharm. Sci.*, 2(2): 130-131.
- Mithen, R. 2001. Glucosinolates and their degradation products. *Adv. Bot. Res.*, 35: 213-262.
- Mondal, N.K., C.D.S. Roy, J.K. Datta and A. Banerjee. 2013. Effect of varying cadmium stress on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) seedlings: an ultrastructural study. Ann. Environ. Sci., 7: 59-70.
- Muhammad, Z., N. Inayat, Rehmanullah, W.M. Khan and A. Majeed. 2019. Allelopathic potential of *Cassia fistula* against *Lactuca sativa, Setaria italic* and *Pennisetum americanum. Pure & Appl. Biol.*, 8(1): 187-198.
- Pattanaik, D.P., S. Mishra, A. Mishra, S. Sharmila, V. Dhanalakshmi, S. Anbuselvi and L.J. Rebecca. 2011. Phytoremediation of Mercury, Aluminium and Chromium using *Raphanus sativus* and *Zea mays. Intern. J. Biotechnol. & Bioengin. Res.*, 2(2): 277-286.
- Qureshi, S.T., P. Chandio, A. Noman, A. Parveen and Y. Soomro. 2015. Cytotoxic and genotoxic and oxidative effects of aqueous extracts of some frequently used medicinal plants in Pakistan. *Bot. Res. Int.*, 8(1): 29-35.
- Rahman, A.U., M.I. Choudhary and A.U. Wahab. 2011. Biomedical studies and IPR (Intellectual Property Rights). Documen. Medi. Plants Used Treat. Women Disease in Sindh –Progress Report District Shaheed Benazirabad, 1-663.
- Rahoui, S., A. Chaoui and E.E. Ferjani. 2010. Membrane damage and solute leakage from germinating pea seed under cadmium stress. J. Hazard. Mater., 178(1-3): 1128-1131.
- Raja, A.E., M. Vijayalakshmi and G. Devalarao. 2009. Acorus calamus linn: Chemistry and Biology. Res. J. Pharm. & Tech., 2(2): 256-261.
- Rasafi, T.E., M. Nouri, S. Bouda and A. Haddioui. 2016. The effect of Cd, Zn and Fe on seed germination and early seedling growth of wheat and bean. *Ekologia (Bratislava)*, 35(3): 213-223.
- Sameer, H.Q. 2016. Cytotoxic and genotoxic assessment of Citrullus colocynthis. Int. J. Sci. Res. & Revi., 5(2): 20-39.
- Selmi, S.S., T.S. Abdelfattah and F.D. Mostafa. 2014. Deregulation of mitosis progression and cytotoxic effect triggered in *Allium cepa* L. roots by *Rubus sancatus* schreber extract. *Life Sci. J.*, 11(11): 1047-1058.
- Singh, C., U. Jamwal and P. Singh. 2001. Acorus calamus (sweet flag): An overview of oil composition, biological activity and usage. J. Medic. & Arom. Plant Sci., 23: 687-708.
- Sobita, K and T.H. Bhagirath 2005. Effect of same medicinal plant extract on *Vicia faba* root tip chromosomes. *Caryologia*, 58(3): 255-261.
- Soliman, M.L. 2001. Genotoxicity testing of Neem plant (Azadirachta indica A. Jun) using the Allium Cepa chromosomal aberrations assay. J. Biol. Sci., 1(11): 1021-1027.
- Soltys, D., A. Rudzinska-Langwald, W. Kurek, A. Gniazdowska, E. Sliwniska and R. Bogtek. 2011. Cyanamide mode of action during inhibition of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) root growth involves disturbance in cell division and cytoskeleton formation. *Planta*, 234(3): 609-621.

- Sousa, S.M. and L.F. Viccini. 2011. Cytotoxic and genotoxic activity of Achillea millefolium aqueous extracts, Revista Brasileira de Farmacognosia. Braz. J. Pharmac., 21(1): 98-104.
- Sousa, S.M., P.L.S. Silva and L.F. Viccni. 2009. Cytotoxic and genotoxic effects of two medicinal species of Verbenaceae. *Cytologia*, 62(4): 326-333.
- Sousa, S.M., P.L.S. Silva and L.F. Viccni. 2010. Cytogenotoxicity of *Cymbopogon citratus* (DC) Stapf (lemon grass) aqueous extracts in vegetal test systems. *Ann. Braz. Acad. Sci.*, 82(2): 305-311.
- Sudhakar, R., G.N. Ninge and G. Venu. 2001. Mitotic abnormalities induced by silk dyeing industry effluents in the cells of *Allium cepa*. *Cytologia*. 66: 235-239.
- Tanii, H., T. Takayasu, T. Higashi, S. leng and K. Saojoh. 2004. Allylnitrile: generation from cruciferous vegetables and behavioral effect on mice of repeated exposure. *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 42: 453-458.

- Wallig, M.A., R.L. Belyea and M.E. Tumbleson. 2002. Effects of pellecting on glucosinolates content of Crambe meal. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 99: 205-214.
- www.FertilityHomeopath.com
- Xiaobang, P.E.N.G. 2019. Allelopathic effects of water extracts of maize leaf on three chinese herbal medicinal plants. *Not. Bot. Hort. Agrobot.*, 47(1): 194-200.
- Yakymchuk, R.A. and V.F. Valyuk. 2018. Cytogenetic activity of pollutants by heavy metal caused by emissions of industrial enterprises. *Wor. Sci.*, 7: 34-41.
- Yuan, G., S. Dai, Z. Yin, H. Lu, R. Jia, J. Xu, X. Song, L. Li, Y. Shu and X. Zhao. 2014. Toxicological assessment of combined lead and cadmium: Acute and sub-chronic toxicity study in rats. *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 65: 260-268.
- Zang, X.P., H. Tanii, K. Kobayashi, T. Higashi and R. Oka. 1999. Behavioral abnormalities and apoptotic changes in neurons in mice brain following a single administration of allylnitrile. *Arch. Toxicol.*, 73(14): 22-32.
- Zuba, D. and B. Byrska. 2012. Alpha and Beta- asarone in herbal medicinal produced. *A case study, Foreusic Sci. Int.*, 6916: 1-5.

(Received for publication 5 April 2021)