# STOCK AND HYDROLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF FOUR LIMESTONE SECONDARY FOREST LITTERS IN SUBTROPICAL CHINA

SIYU GUN<sup>1,2,3</sup>, MANFU HOU<sup>3,4\*</sup> AND LAIYE QU<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Urban and Regional Ecology, Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100085, China

<sup>2</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

<sup>3</sup>School of Environment and Resources, Guangxi Normal University, Guilin, 541004, China

<sup>4</sup>Key Laboratory of Ecology of Rare and Endangered Species and Environmental Protection Ministry of Education,

Guangxi Normal University, Guilin 541004, China

\**Corresponding author's email: houmanfu@163.com; lyqu@rcees.ac.cn* 

#### Abstract

Forest litter plays an important role in water conservation, which has obvious effects on water storage and preservation. Taking four types of limestone forests in Guilin in the subtropical region as the object, the existing amount of litter in subtropical limestone forests was investigated *via* field sampling, and the hydrological effect of litter was explored using the water soaking method. The amount of litter accumulated in the four forests was  $4.64-8.29 \text{ t}\cdot\text{hm}^{-2}$  (p<0.05), and the accumulation of the undecomposed layer of litter was greater than the semi-decomposed layer. The maximum water-holding capacity of litter was  $11.53-16.05 \text{ t}\cdot\text{hm}^{-2}$  (p<0.05), *Cyclobalanopsis glauca* forest was the largest, *Platycarya strobilacea* forest was the smallest. The water-holding capacity and soaking time of the four forest litters had a logarithmic function relationship ( $R^2 > 0.95$ , p = 0.00), whereas the water absorption rate and soaking time had a power function relationship ( $R^2 > 0.9994$ , p = 0.00). The effective interception volume and effective interception depth of the four forest litters were represented by the *C. glauca* forest (127.71 t $\cdot\text{hm}^{-2}$ ), (p<0.00), which was equivalent to intercepting precipitation of 12.77, 11.44, 9.71, 8.69 mm (p<0.00), respectively. The *C. glauca* forest had the strongest interception ability and the evergreen mixed forest had strong water conservation ability. It is recommended to enhance the protection and restoration of the *C. glauca* forest in the limestone area of Guilin or other areas with similar climate and environment.

Key words: Limestone; Litter; Existing quantity; Hydrological effect; Forests.

## Introduction

China's subtropical limestone area is one of the largest contiguous limestone area in the world. The vegetation in this area has been damaged by human activities for a long time, and the forest is very little preserved, but it plays a key role in maintaining the biodiversity and ecosystem functions in this area (Li et al., 2018). The strongly developed epikarst zone causes the precipitation to move rapidly and is prone to geological drought, which is an important reason for the fragility of the karst environment (Li et al., 2018). As the main link between material circulation and energy flow in the forest ecosystem, litter plays unique ecological functions and is the second active layer of forest hydrological effects (Moazzam et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2018; Arnim et al., 2019). It can conserve water resources, reduce soil erosion, and effectively reduce the consumption of soil water by solar irradiation. It plays a significant role in regulating surface runoff. It can be said that it is not only an important nutrient source, but also has significant environmental benefits (Lin et al., 2004; Pan et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019).

The research on the hydrological effects of litter was aimed at exploring the relationship between the existing amount of litter in different forest types and the water holding and regulation capacities of different decomposed layers, on the one hand (Bart *et al.*, 1992; Huang *et al.*, 2020; Bohara *et al.*, 2020; Liu *et al.*, 2016), and the relationship between litter and some terrain conditions are combined, such as the effect of slope position, slope surface, slope shape, soil, altitude, etc., on the hydrological process of litter and the role of litter in soil and water conservation (Liu et al., 2018a; Zeng et al., 2018; Fu et al., 2019; Walter et al., 2020). In recent years, the research on the storage of plant litter and water conservation has mainly focused on the ecological critical zone, such as the natural forest ecosystem in the nature reserve and the alpine tundra ecosystem. (Pang et al., 2017; Li et al., 2017; Hou et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2018b). Limestone area is one of the main ecological critical zone. It has a land with abundant bare rocks and scarce and dincontinuous soil, and it is extremely prone to rock desertification and soil erosion (Li et al., 2018). However, there are few research reports on the storage capacity of litter and its hydrological effects in limestone areas (Wei et al., 2017; Cai et al., 2021).

As a typical subtropical limestone region, Guilin City in Guangxi has developed typical karst landforms and river valleys. Barren soil makes it difficult for trees to grow. Cyclobalanopsis glauca, Cornus wilsoniana and Fraxinus griffithii and Platycarya strobilacea are typical tree species in the karst area. Under natural conditions, it is easy to form four typical forests, such as Evergreen broadleaf forest with monodominant species C. glauca, mixed evergrenn and deciduous broadleaf forest dominated by evergreen trees and deciduous trees respectively, and deciduous broadleaf forest with monodominant species Platycarya strobilacea. These four typical karst forests make great

contributions to land cover and soil and water conservation in this area, provide a good ecological environment and provide good ecosystem functions for this area. The forest vegetation in this area is mainly of *C. glauca* forest and its derivative forests. It also has the highest economic value in this area. Therefore, studying the litter in the *C. glauca* forest and its hydrological characteristics in the limestone area of Guilin is of great significance to the sustainable development of the limestone area in this city.

In this study, combining field sampling and laboratory experiment analysis, we conducted a preliminary discussion on the litter deposits and hydrological effects of four typical forest types in the limestone area of Guilin. Does the litter stock of the other three mixed forests larger than that of the pure C. glauca forest due to the diversity of the constituent tree species? Does the deciduous mixed forest have more existing stocks than the evergreen mixed forest? Because of the complexity of the species, we hypothesized that the water conservation capacity of the three mixed forests was higher than that of the single species C. glauca forest. This research will serve as a reference for ecological environment construction, such as forest maintenance, forest protection, forest ecological and hydrological function evaluation, and soil erosion control in limestone areas.



Fig. 1. Map of forest and sampling points in Guilin, Guangxi, China.

## **Materials and Methods**

**Overview of the study area:** The study area is located in the suburbs of Guilin, Guangxi, China (25°20'N, 110°18'E) in Fig. 1. It is a typical limestone landform and belongs to the subtropical monsoon area. Affected by

climate and terrain, the area is mild and rainy, with an average annual temperature of 19.63 °C, average temperature of 25.5°C in the summer (May to October), and average temperature of 12.8 ° C in the winter (November to April). The frost-free period is 300 days, the average annual precipitation is 1939 mm, and the average annual relative humidity is 75%. The rainy season is from April to July, and the annual precipitation rate is 64.90%. Conversely, the dry season is from November to February, and the rate of rainfall is only 14.73% for the whole year. The lithology of the study area is as follows: limestone, brown lime soil, surface rock exposure rate of 35% to 65%, and incompletely covered soil. To study the amount of litter and its hydrological effects on the different vegetation types in limestone areas, four typical limestone forest plots were selected in the suburbs of Guilin. The detailed information of the four forest plots is presented in (Table 1).

**Determination of existing litter and natural moisture content:** Five small squares with a size of  $50 \times 50$  cm were randomly selected according to the different slopes in the standard sample plots of different forest stands. The litters in the small squares were picked up and then put into plastic bags and brought to the laboratory. Subsequently, they were categorized into decomposed and undecomposed, and weighed (fresh weight, M<sub>1</sub>). After drying to constant quality at 80°C, reweighed (dry weight M<sub>2</sub>). The natural moisture content of the litter was calculated using the following formula:

$$\mathbf{R}_0 = (\mathbf{M}_1 - \mathbf{M}_2) / \mathbf{M}_2 \times 100\% \quad (1)$$

where  $R_0$  denotes the natural moisture content of the litter (%);  $M_1$ , the mass before drying (g); and  $M_2$ , the mass after drying (g).

Determination of the water-holding capacity and water absorption rate of the litter: The water-holding capacity and water absorption rate of the litter were measured via immersion (Xu et al., 2009). Dried litter was divided into four parts, weighed, and put into 80-hole nylon bags. After soaking for 5 min, 10 min, 20 min, 40 min, 1 h, 2 h, 4 h, 8 h, 16 h, 24 h, the litter was taken out and suspended and then left to stand until dripping stopped. The litter was weighed again, and the average was obtained. Subsequently, the water-holding capacity and water absorption rate at different soaking times were calculated using the following formula:

$$\Delta M = M_t - M_0 \qquad (2)$$
  

$$V_t = (M_t - M_0)/t \qquad (3)$$
  

$$R_t = (M_t - M_0)/M_0 \times 100\% (4)$$

where  $\Delta M$  denotes the water-holding capacity of the litter soaked t hour (t·hm<sup>-2</sup>); V<sub>t</sub>, the water absorption rate of the soaked t hour (t·hm<sup>-2</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup>); R<sub>t</sub>, the water-holding capacity (%); M<sub>t</sub>, the weight (g) of soaking t hour; M<sub>0</sub>, the dry weight of the litter (g); and t, the soaking time (h).

Table 1. Overview	$\overline{v}$ of the study plots. The location of the fou	Table 1. Overview of the study plots. The location of the four forest litters and hydrological effect of the experimental plots, ve getation, soil, and other environmental factors in Guilin.	perimental plots, ve getation, soil, a	ind other environmental factors in Guilin.
Forest type	Cyclobalanopsis glauca	Deciduous mixed	Evergreen mixed	Platycarya strobilacea
Location	25°19′02″N, 110°15′34″E	25°13'34"N, 110°25'27"E	25°01'40"N, 110°20'23"E	23°13'04"N, 110°23'56"E
Elevation (m)	184–305	166–225	172–211	162–184
Crown density (%)	0.85	0.95	0.75	0.6
Tree height (m)	19	16	17	10
Slope	65–75	4055	35–45	70–75
Forest age	Near-Mature forest	Near-Mature forest	Near-Mature forest	Near-Mature forest
Soil type	Brown lime soil	Brown lime soil	Brown lime soil	Impure lime soil
Life from	evergreen broad-leaved forest	deciduous and evergreen broad-leaved forest	evergreen broad-leaved forest	deciduous and evergreen broadleaved forest
Vegetation descriptions	Cyclobalanopsis glauca is the monodominant species of trees. The shrubs are sparse, including Bauhinia championii and Millettia pulchra. The herb layer is discontinuous, including Ophiopogon bodinieri	<i>Cyclobalanopsis glauca</i> is the The arbor layer is dominated by There are mainly <i>Fraxinus griffithii Platycarya strobilacea</i> is about 10-m high. monodominant species of trees. The <i>Cyclobalanop-sis</i> and <i>Cornus wilsoniana</i> . The and <i>Cyclobalanopsis. Fraxinus</i> is <i>Rapanea nerifolia</i> forms a second layer of shrubs are sparse, including <i>Bauhinia</i> shrubs are sparse, with <i>Mallotus philippensis</i> 18-m high, whereas <i>Cyclobalanopsis</i> trees and is 4–5-m high. The shrubs include <i>championii</i> and <i>Millettia pulchra</i> . The and <i>Canthium dicoccum</i> . The herb layer is is 16-m high. The shrubs are sparse, <i>Sageretia thea</i> and <i>Millettia pulchra</i> . The herb layer is is 16-m high. The shrubs are sparse, <i>Sageretia thea</i> and <i>Millettia pulchra</i> . The herb layer is is 16-m high. The shrubs are sparse, <i>Sageretia thea</i> and <i>Millettia pulchra</i> . The herb layer is is 16-m high. The shrubs are sparse, <i>Sageretia thea</i> and <i>Millettia pulchra</i> . The herb layer is is 16-m high. The shrubs are sparse, <i>Sageretia thea</i> and <i>Millettia pulchra</i> . The herb layer is is 16-m high. The shrubs are sparse, <i>Sageretia thea</i> and <i>Millettia pulchra</i> . The herb layer is is 16-m high. The shrubs are sparse, <i>Sageretia thea</i> and <i>Millettia pulchra</i> . The herb layer is is 16-m high. The shrubs are sparse, <i>Sageretia thea</i> and <i>Millettia pulchra</i> . The herb layer is discontinuous, including <i>Psychotria prainii</i> including <i>Vitex negundo</i> and herbs <i>Carex Linn</i> . and <i>Teucrium pernyi Ophioogon bodinieri</i> and <i>Arachniodes exilis</i> .	There are mainly <i>Fraxinus griffithii</i> and <i>Cyclobalanopsis. Fraxinus</i> is 18-m high, whereas <i>Cyclobalanopsis</i> is 16-m high. The shrubs are sparse, including <i>Vitex negundo</i> and <i>Millettia pulchra</i> . No herbs	Platycarya strobilacea is about 10-m high. Rapanea neritfolia forms a second layer of trees and is 4-5-m high. The shrubs include Sageretia thea and Millettia pulchra. The herbs Carex Linn. and Teucrium pernyi Franch

**Determination of maximum water-holding capacity and maximum water-holding rate:** All types of litter basically reached saturation after soaking for 24 h; thus, the water-holding capacity at this time was at maximum. Using the mass of litter after soaking for 24 h, the maximum water-holding capacity and maximum waterholding rate were calculated as follows:

$$W_1 = M_{24} - M_0$$
 (5)  
 $R_1 = (M_{24} - M_0)M_0 \times 100\%$  (6)

where  $R_0$  denotes the natural moisture content of the litter (%);  $M_1$ , the mass before drying (g); and  $M_2$ , the mass after drying (g).

**Determination of the storage capacity and storage rate of litter:** The effective interception volume is utilized to estimate the actual interception amount of litter on rainfall using the following formula:

$$W = (0.85R_1 - R_0) \times M$$
 (7)

where  $R_0$  denotes the natural water content; W, the effective storage capacity (t·hm<sup>-2</sup>); 0.85, the effective coefficient; and M, the present amount of litter (t·hm<sup>-2</sup>).

**Data analysis:** Data was analyzed by using Microsoft Excel 2010, and the SPSS software version 23.0 was used for the correlation analysis and significance test of regression equation. The chart was drawn in origin 9.1.

#### Results

**Litters in different forests:** The litters produced by different forest types will be influenced by natural factors, such as the proportion of forest components, forest density, growth environment, climate, thickness of the litter itself, and human activities (Li *et al.*, 2018). No significant difference was observed in the amount of litter accumulated in the four planted vegetative forests in Table 2 (p>0.05). The amount of litter accumulated in the *C. glauca* forest was the largest at 8.29 t·hm<sup>-2</sup>, followed by the deciduous mixed forest (6.32 t·hm<sup>-2</sup>) and then the *P. strobilacea* forest (5.47 t·hm<sup>-2</sup>). The amount of litter accumulated in the evergreen mixed forest was the least at 4.64 t·hm<sup>-2</sup>.

The undecomposed and semi-decomposed layers of the four forest litters are very significant (p = 0.00). According to the ratio of the four forests with different decomposition levels of the total existing stock, the four types are presented in Fig. 2. The undecomposed layer of forest litter accounted for a larger proportion than the semidecomposed layer, the undecomposed layer of the C. glauca forest and deciduous mixed forest accounted for 60.03% and 59.43%, respectively, whereas the semidecomposed layer of the deciduous mixed forest and evergreen mixed forest accounted for a larger proportion than the undecomposed layer. This was because the litter in the evergreen mixed forest was difficult to decompose due to slow decomposition rate and had a large accumulation; the litter containing the defoliation component had a faster decomposition rate and less accumulation.

the same column indicate significance ( $p < 0.05$ ).							
Forest type	Total/(t•hm <sup>-2</sup> )	Undecomposed/(t•hm <sup>-2</sup> )	Semi-decomposed/(t•hm <sup>-2</sup> )				
Cyclobalanopsis glauca	$8.29 \pm 1.38 a$	$4.82\pm0.25a$	$3.47\pm0.95a$				
Deciduous mixed	$6.32\pm0.88ab$	$3.73\pm0.27ab$	$2.59\pm0.52a$				
Evergreen mixed	$4.64\pm0.39b$	$2.74\pm0.10b$	$1.91\pm0.28a$				
Platycarya strobilacea	$5.47\pm0.36ab$	$3.18\pm0.05 ab$	$2.29\pm0.29a$				

Table 2. Comparison of the amount of litter among the four forests and the composition ratio of the different decomposed layers. The value is expressed as average  $\pm$  error, and the different letters in the same column indicate significance (n < 0.05)



Fig. 2. The proportions of the decomposed layers of the four forest litters in Guilin. The value is expressed as average  $\pm$  error. Different letters represent significant differences between treatments. The difference between the decomposed layers of the same forest litter is extremely significant (p<0.00), and the same decomposed layer of the four forest litters has no significant difference (p>0.05).

Water-holding process of litter: When litter enters the water from the air-dried state, its water-holding capacity increase rapidly; The change was the largest within 0-2 h, the increase slowed down after 2 h, and then it tended to a stable saturated state (Fig. 3a). The water-holding capacity of the 4 forest litters was basically the same, whereas the

water-holding capacity of the 4 forest litters was quite different. The water-holding capacity of the litters in the C. glauca forest and deciduous mixed forest was significantly stronger than that of the litters in the evergreen mixed forest and P. strobilacea forest; the water-holding process of the C. glauca forest significantly varied. The results showed that in the limestone area, the C. glauca forest and deciduous mixed forest had better water-holding capacity. According to the change in the water-holding capacity of the 4 forest litters over time, it was deciduous mixed forest > C. glauca forest > evergreen mixed forest > P. strobilacea forest, which comprehensively illustrated the litter containing the C. glauca component. The waterholding capacity of the stratum changed with time. Such a change was mainly related to the composition and nature of the C. glauca forest litter.

The water-holding capacity of each decomposed layer of the four forest litters was the same (Fig. 4). Except for the undecomposed layer of the evergreen mixed forest litter, the water-holding capacity of the undecomposed layer was higher than that of the undecomposed layer. The water-holding capacity of each decomposed layer of the litter significantly varied. The difference in the water-holding capacity between the decomposed layers of the deciduous mixed forest litter was the largest, whereas the difference in the waterholding capacity between the decomposed layers of the *C. glauca* forest litter was relatively small. This indicated that the rate of the litter decomposition and the amount of existing amount had a great influence on the waterholding capacity of the litter.



Fig. 3. The water-holding process curves of the four forest litters in Guilin. A is the relationship between the water-holding capacity of the four forest litters over time. B is the relationship between the water absorption rate of the four forest litters over time.



Fig. 4. The water-holding process curves of each decomposed layer of the four forest litters in Guilin. The relationship between the water-holding capacity of the different decomposed layers of the four forest litters over time.

The relationship between the water-holding capacity of each layer of the 4 forest litters and the soaking time was analyzed *via* regression fitting. Such a relationship was a logarithmic function.

$$y = aln(t) + b(R^2 > 0.95)$$
 (8)

where y denotes the water-holding capacity of the litter  $(t \cdot hm^{-2})$ ; t, the soaking time (h); a, the regression coefficient of the equation; and b, the constant term of the equation. The relationship between the water-holding capacity of each decomposed layer of the 4 forest litters and the soaking time is described in Table 2.

Water absorption rate of different forest types: The water absorption rate of the litter of the 4 forest types demonstrated a downward trend with the increase in soaking time. Within 1 h of soaking, the water absorption rate changed the most; within 2-8 h, the water absorption rate of the litter slowly changed; within 8-24 h, the water absorption rate of the litter was basically the same and reached a stable state at 16 h (Fig. 3b). The water absorption rate of the litter of the four types of forest was the largest at the initial stage of soaking. In the first 2 h of soaking, the water absorption rate of the deciduous mixed forest was the largest, and the difference in the water absorption rate between the evergreen mixed forest and P. strobilacea forest was not obvious. As time increased, the gap gradually decreased, and finally, the water absorption rate tended to be consistent indicating that the litter had a

strong ability of intercepting the initial precipitation, but this ability weakened with time.

The water absorption rate of each decomposed layer of the litter was consistent with the overall change trend. The initial water absorption rate of the undecomposed layer of the deciduous mixed forest litter was significantly greater than that of the semi-decomposed layer (Fig. 5); moreover, the initial water absorption rate of the other three types of litter decomposed layers was not significantly different. The initial water absorption rate of the P. strobilacea forest litter of the semi-decomposed layer was greater than the undecomposed layer, and the initial water absorption rate of the other 3 forest litters of the undecomposed layer was greater than the semidecomposed layer. This indicated that the water absorption rate of the litter was not only influenced by the decomposition speed and existing amount of litter but also by its own biological characteristics.

The relationship between the water absorption rate and the soaking time of each decomposed layer of the four forest litters was analyzed *via* regression fitting, which demonstrated a power function relationship:

$$v = kt^{n}(R^{2} > 0.9994)$$
 (9)

where v denotes the water absorption rate of the litter  $(t \cdot hm^{-2} \cdot h^{-1})$ ; t, the soaking time (h); k, the coefficient; and n, the index. The relationship between the water absorption rate and soaking time of each decomposed layer of the four forest litters is described in Table 3.



Fig. 5. The water absorption process curve of each decomposed layer of the four forest litters in Guilin. The relationship between the water absorption rate of the different decomposed layers of the four forest litters over time.

Table 3. Relationship between the water-holding capacity and soaking time of litter. Fitting equation parameters
of the water-holding rate and water absorption rate of the four forest litters.

Forest type	Layer	Relation	R <sup>2</sup>	Relation	$\mathbf{R}^2$
Cyclobalanopsis glauca	Semi-decomposed	$y = 3.5985 \ln(t) + 27.294$	0.97464	$v = 26.552t^{-0.872}$	0.9994
	Undecomposed	$y = 3.7629 \ln(t) + 26.256$	0.9533	$v = 25.491t^{-0.865}$	0.9996
Deciduous mixed	Semi-decomposed	$y = 2.2785 \ln(t) + 27.552$	0.9632	$v = 27.224t^{-0.918}$	0.9995
	Undecomposed	$y = 4.4691 \ln(t) + 42.566$	0.986	$v = 41.804t^{-0.897}$	0.9996
Evergreen mixed	Semi-decomposed	$y = 2.5576 \ln(t) + 23.844$	0.9674	$v = 23.433t^{-0.897}$	0.9997
	Undecomposed	$y = 3.1748 \ln(t) + 25.808$	0.9812	$v = 25.171t^{-0.876}$	0.9995
Platycarya strobilacea	Semi-decomposed	$y = 2.7945 \ln(t) + 28.980$	0.9813	$v = 28.561t^{-0.906}$	0.9998
	Undecomposed	$y = 1.9574 \ln(t) + 19.556$	0.9685	$v = 19.251t^{-0.903}$	0.9997

Maximum water-holding capacity and maximum water-holding capacity of litter: The water-holding capacity of litter is utilized to reflect the water-holding capacity of litter in Table 4. The maximum water-holding capacities of the 4 forest litters in Guilin were significantly different (p<0.05), which are 11.53–16.05 t·hm<sup>-2</sup>. The *C. glauca* forest had the highest water-holding capacity, which was 16.05 t·hm<sup>-2</sup>, followed by the deciduous mixed forest (16.01 t·hm<sup>-2</sup>) and evergreen mixed forest (12.14 t·hm<sup>-2</sup>); the *P. strobilacea* forest had the lowest water-holding capacity, which was 11.53 t·hm<sup>-2</sup>. The maximum water-holding rate variation range of the four forest litters was 202.92%–243.87%, in order

of evergreen mixed forest (243.87%) > deciduous mixed forest (243.02%) > P. *strobilacea* forest (230.44%) > C. *glauca* forest (202.92%).

The maximum water-holding capacity and maximum water-holding rate of the semi-decomposed layer of the litter demonstrated opposite changes. The maximum water-holding capacity of the semi-decomposed layer of the *C. glauca* forest litter was the highest, which was 7.77 t·hm<sup>-2</sup>, and the maximum water-holding rate was the least, which was 213.28%. Contrarily, the maximum water-holding capacity of the semi-decomposed layer of the evergreen mixed forest litter was the least, which was 5.32 t·hm<sup>-2</sup>, but the maximum water-holding rate was 263.76%. In the

undecomposed layer, the maximum water-holding capacity of the deciduous mixed forest litter was the highest, which was 9.98 t·hm<sup>-2</sup>, as well as its maximum water-holding rate. The *P. strobilacea* forest litter had the least maximum water-holding capacity, which was 5.08 t·hm<sup>-2</sup>, and its maximum water-holding rate was 209.03%. The maximum water-holding rate of the *C. glauca* forest litter was the least, which was 192.56%, and its maximum water-holding capacity was 8.28 t·hm<sup>-2</sup>. The maximum water-holding capacity and maximum water-holding rate of the four forest litters had different changing laws, which might be related to the type of vegetation, existing amount of litter, and degree of decomposition.

Storage capacity of different forest types: There were significant differences in the natural moisture content of the 4 forest litters (18.18%-33.64% (p<0.05)); the natural moisture content of deciduous mixed forest was the largest, evergreen mixed forest followed by C. glauca forest and P. strobilacea forest (Table 5). The difference in the maximum interception rate of litter of 4 forests was extremely significant (p<0.00), at a range of 185.90%-226.64%. The maximum interception rate of the evergreen mixed forest was the largest, followed by deciduous mixed forest and P. strobilacea forest, and C. glauca forest. Different forest litters had different existing stocks; thus, the effective interception amount and interception depth were also different. The difference between the interception indexes of the four forest litters were extremely significant (p < 0.00), which are C. glauca forest (127.71 t  $\cdot$  hm<sup>-2</sup>), deciduous mixed

forest (114.35 t·hm<sup>-2</sup>), *P. strobilacea* forest (97.21 t·hm<sup>-2</sup>), and evergreen mixed forest (86.86 t·hm<sup>-2</sup>), which were equivalent to intercepting 12.77, 11.44, 9.71, and 8.69 mm rainfall, respectively. Integrating the changing law of the interception capacity of the existing litterfall of the 4 planting types, it could be observed that the *C. glauca* forest had the greatest rainfall interception ability, followed by the deciduous mixed forest and the evergreen mixed forest.

The difference in the natural moisture content of each decomposed layer of the different forest litters was extremely significant (p < 0.00), with the semidecomposed layer having 14.35%-23.86% and the undecomposed layer having 16.14%-26.34%, except for the natural moisture content of the evergreen mixed forest, the semi-decomposed layer is higher than the undecomposed layer, the other three forests have the semi-decomposed layer higher than the undecomposed layer. The maximum interception rate of each decomposed layer of the four forest litters is extremely different (p < 0.00); the semi-decomposed layer is larger than the undecomposed layer. The effective interception amount and effective interception depth of both the undecomposed layer was greater than the semidecomposed layer. According to the changing law of the retention capacity of each decomposed layer of the 4 forest litters, it could be seen that the semi-decomposed layer had the strongest retention capacity, and the actual interception of rainfall was influenced not only by the degree of decomposition of litter but also by other factors.

Table 4. Comparison of the water-holding capacity of the four forest litters, and the difference in the water-holding capacity of each decomposed layer. The value is expressed as average  $\pm$  error, and the different letters in the same column indicate significance (p<0.05).

	Maximum water capacity/(t·hm <sup>-2</sup> )			Maximum water-holding capacity/%			
Forest type Semi- decomposed		Undecom- posed	Total	Semi- decomposed	Undecomposed	Mean	
Cyclobalanopsis glauca	$7.77\pm0.59a$	$8.28\pm0.29ab$	$16.05\pm0.88a$	$213.28\pm4.11\text{c}$	$192.56\pm2.76d$	$202.92\pm3.20c$	
Deciduous mixed	$6.03\pm0.380a$	$9.98\pm0.67a$	$16.01\pm0.88a$	$245.41\pm2.81b$	$240.61\pm5.02a$	$243.02\pm2.18a$	
Evergreen mixed	$5.32\pm0.21a$	$6.82\pm0.62 \text{bc}$	$12.14\pm0.63 ab$	$263.76\pm4.66a$	$223.97\pm3.04b$	$243.87\pm3.13a$	
Platycarya strobilacea	$6.46 \pm 1.89a$	$5.08\pm0.33\text{c}$	$11.53 \pm 1.97 b \\$	$251.85\pm2.81ab$	$209.03\pm0.93c$	$230.44 \pm 1.05 b$	

Table 5. Comparison of the differences in the retention and storage energy of the four forest litters, and the difference between the decomposed layers of the litter. The value is expressed as average  $\pm$  error, and the different letters in the same column indicate significance (p < 0.05).

	p = 0.05).						
Layer	Forest type	Natural water content/%	Maximum intercept rate/%	Effective interception/t·hm <sup>-2</sup>	Effective retention depth/mm		
Semi-decomposed	Cyclobalanopsis glauca	$15.98\pm0.26b$	$197.30\pm4.11c$	$57.36 \pm 1.21 a$	$5.74\pm0.12a$		
	Deciduous mixed	$23.86\pm2.89a$	$221.55\pm2.80b$	$47.81\pm0.62b$	$4.78\pm0.06b$		
	Evergreen mixed	$17.33\pm0.64b$	$256.43\pm9.88a$	$39.42\pm0.75c$	$3.94\pm0.08\text{c}$		
	Platycarya strobilacea	$14.35\pm0.29b$	$237.50\pm2.81 ab$	$45.78\pm0.55b$	$4.58\pm0.06b$		
Undecomposed	Cyclobalanopsis glauca	$16.87\pm0.32b$	$174.84\pm2.49c$	$70.47 \pm 1.02a$	$7.05\pm0.10a$		
	Deciduous mixed	$26.36 \pm 1.27 a$	$214.26\pm5.02a$	$66.50\pm1.59b$	$6.65\pm0.16b$		
	Evergreen mixed	$16.95\pm0.64b$	$207.02\pm3.04a$	$47.49\pm0.71d$	$4.75\pm0.07d$		
	Platycarya strobilacea	$16.14\pm0.19b$	$192.89\pm0.93b$	$51.43\pm0.25c$	$5.14\pm0.03\text{c}$		
Total	Cyclobalanopsis glauca	$20.02\pm0.75b$	$186.08\pm3.04c$	$127.83\pm2.04a$	$12.78\pm0.20a$		
	Deciduous mixed	$33.63\pm2.32a$	$217.91\pm2.18b$	$114.31\pm1.39b$	$11.43\pm0.14b$		
	Evergreen mixed	$20.60\pm0.75b$	$231.73\pm 6.22a$	$86.90 \pm 1.17 d$	$8.68 \pm 0.12 d$		
	Platycarya strobilacea	$18.18\pm0.19b$	$215.20\pm1.05b$	$97.20\pm0.37c$	$9.72\pm0.04c$		

#### Discussion

Effect of limestone forest type on litter storage: Li et al., (2007) summarized the factors affecting the standing amount of litter into two factors. The first factor refers to the characteristics of the forest itself, mainly including the forest species composition, forest age, density, forest minerals, degree of decomposition, and other internal factors (Sun et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2020). Generally, with the growth of forest age, the amount of litter in the forest will increase, which is relatively more compact, with smaller pores and larger density (Wang et al., 2020). Another factor is the characteristics of the environment, which is categorized into natural environment and human activities. The natural environment mainly includes temperature, humidity, air, and other factors (Zhang et al., 2014; Jiang et al., 2016; Li et al., 2016), whereas human activities mainly refer to a series of effects of human activities on forest production, such as deforestation, air pollution, and man-made afforestation (Yang et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 2020). The species characteristics of the forest itself influence the quality and quantity of forest litter, and the characteristics of the environment influence the formation and decomposition of the litter layer (Pang et al., 2017). Litter accumulation mainly depends on the input of the community to the litter layer, the cumulative years, and the decomposition rate of the litter (Wang et al., 2018).

The accumulation of litter in the four forests in the limestone area demonstrates that the C. glauca forest, which is a pure forest, is larger than the other three mixed forests. Among the three mixed forests, the litter accumulation of the deciduous mixed forest, which is composed of deciduous components, mainly is significantly higher than that of the normal forest. The evergreen mixed forest, which is mainly green, has a large amount of litter accumulation, which is consistent with the research structure of Li et al., (2007) and Cai et al., (2021). This is caused by the characteristics of the forest itself. The C. glauca forest is a pure forest, and the existing stock is the largest among the four forests. The reason is that its litter composition is more complex than the other three forests. It consists of branches, leaves and fruits, and the fruits have the most difficult-to-decompose husk. The other three mixed forests are litters with a small number of branches. As an evergreen tree of the Fagaceae family, C. glauca has a relatively hard structure and strong drought resistance, which is difficult to decompose, this led to a pattern of large differences in the litter stock of different forest types in the same area under the same water and heat conditions. The type of litter has a greater impact on the decomposition rate than the diversity of the litter. Moreover, species diversity promotes the diversity of soil organisms, thereby affecting the decomposition process of the litter (Liu et al., 2018c).

The quality of the decomposed layers of the 4 forest litters indicates that the undecomposed layer is more than the semi-decomposed layer, which may be related to the litter sampling season. Plant phenological activities and climate disturbances have different effects on plant biomass and the variability in the formation time of plant litter composition (Zhang *et al.*, 2015; Kurihara *et al.*,

2018). In different phenological periods, there are significant differences in the ratio of branches, leaves and fruits of litter. In forests where the multiple phenological periods of different canopy plants overlap, the contribution rate of litter may have a seasonal peak (Pang *et al.*, 2017). There is a significant difference in the litter stock of the deciduous mixed and evergreen mixed forests. The existing stock of deciduous mixed forests in autumn and winter will be more than that of the evergreen mixed forests (Liu *et al.*, 2016; Zheng *et al.*, 2020).

However, from the perspective of the quality changes of the semi-decomposed and undecomposed layer, the decomposed layer is basically the same as the overall existing amount. This indicates that under the same climatic and geographical conditions, the decomposition and return of litter of different tree species are greatly influenced by their own biological characteristics. The decomposition rate and turnover of litter increase with the increase in forest tree species diversity. The decomposition rate of litter is affected by its content, for example, the chemical composition and physical structure of litter (Zhang et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015). Plant diversity also influences the richness and distribution of microbial communities. By changing the litter composition, litters of different substrate qualities are mixed together, thus providing a diverse habitat for microorganisms, enriching microbial groups, and accelerating litter decomposition (Li et al., 2016; Leloup et al., 2018). Therefore, the secondary forest has a large amount of litter, high decomposition rate, short turnover period, stable community structure, better nutrient return, and good ability to maintain soil fertility (Liu et al., 2016).

The effect of limestone forest type on the litter hydrological effect: Litter is as an important component and functional level of the vertical result of forest ecosystems, litter has good water permeability and waterholding capacity (Li et al., 2017; Cai et al., 2021). Moreover, it can not only buffer the impact of precipitation but also retain water (Lin et al, 2004; Zhang et al. 2014), maintain soil erosion, reduce surface runoff, and enhance soil water infiltration (Xuan et al., 2018; Du et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019). The water-holding process and water absorption rate of forest litter can be divided into three stages. The first is the rapid water absorption stage, which is within 0-2 h at the initial stage of soaking. The second is the slow water absorption stage, which is 2-8 h at the middle stage of immersion. The third is the stagnation and water absorption stage, which is 8-24 h is consistent with the results of others (Chen et al., 2017; Pang et al., 2017; Du et al., 2019). By analyzing the relationship between the water-holding process and soaking time of 4 forest litters, we found that the water-holding capacity of the litter and the immersion time had a logarithmic function relationship ( $R^2 > 0.95$ , p = 0.00) and that the water absorption rate of the litter and the immersion time had a power function relationship  $(R^2 > 0.9994, p = 0.00)$ , which was consistent with the research results of others (Li et al., 2015; Xuan et al., 2018; Tu et al., 2019; Zheng et al., 2020).

The maximum water-holding rate and maximum retention rate of the semi-decomposed layer of the 4 forest litters in the limestone area of Guilin was greater than the undecomposed layer, and the water absorption rate of the undecomposed layer was greater than that of the semi-decomposed layer in the late soaking stage, which was consistent with the results of Fu et al., (2019). The results showed that the water-holding capacity of the semi-decomposed layer of litter reached the saturation point earlier than that of the semi-decomposed layer. For a short-lasting and high-intensity precipitation, the semidecomposed layer had a stronger water-holding capacity, whereas the undecomposed layer had a longer duration of precipitation. The undecomposed layer could maximize water-holding capacity only under long-term its precipitation. The water conservation capacity of forest litter of the semi-decomposed layer was better than the undecomposed layer, and the composition of forest trees had a great influence on the decomposition rate of litter. The higher the decomposition degree of litter, the stronger will be its water-holding capacity, which is consistent with the findings by Pang et al., (2017), Wang et al., (2019) and Zheng et al., (2020). The water-holding capacity of litter is closely related to the tree species composition, thickness of the litter, and degree of litter decomposition (Lu et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2020). The degree of litter decomposition directly determines the water-holding capacity of the litter (Zhang et al., 2014).

The water absorption rate, water-holding capacity, and interception capacity of litter are reflected in its absorption capacity, water retention capacity, and interception capacity (Tu et al., 2019). The maximum water-holding capacity of the 4 forest litters in the limestone area is in the order of Cyclobalanopsis glauca forest > deciduous mixed forest > evergreen mixed forest > Platycarya strobilacea forest, and the effective holding capacity is in the order of Cyclobalanopsis glauca forest > deciduous mixed forest > Platycarya strobilacea forest > evergreen mixed forest. We found that the litter of the Cyclobalanopsis glauca forest, a pure forest, in the limestone area had the strongest water conservation capacity, the deciduous mixed forest was stronger than the Platycarya strobilacea forest, and the evergreen mixed forest had the weakest interception and soil and water conservation abilities. This was completely contrary to our initial guess. It is not that the more complex the forest combination, the stronger the water conservation capacity of the litter layer. In this study, data on soil hydrological function and forest canopy interception precipitation are lacking. Thus, the results of our research have limitations, but the differences in the hydrological functions of the 4 forest litters in the limestone area of Guilin are found to provide important reference for future research.

## Conclusions

Through field investigation and immersion experiments, the hydrological characteristics of the 4 forest litters in the limestone area were analyzed. Combining the changing laws of the litter stock and retention capacity of the four vegetation types, the hydrological function of litter was influenced by the stock, decomposition rate, and biological characteristics of the vegetation itself. It was found that the *C. glauca* forest litter had the largest existing stock, the largest water-holding capacity, and the largest rainfall, indicating that it had a relatively strong hydrological function. Therefore, in areas with similar climates, the *C. glauca* forest can be developed with strong adaptability, excellent materials, and strong water and soil conservation and interception capabilities. The research results provide an important reference for the evaluation of the impact of forest protection and restoration on the soil and water conservation function of the forest ecosystem in the limestone area of Guilin.

#### Acknowledgments

This study was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31960233) and Open Foundation of the State Key Laboratory of Urban and Regional Ecology of China (SKLURE2017-2-4).

#### References

- Arnim, M., D.J. Eldridge, S.K. Travers, J. Val and N. Blaum. 2019. Large shrubs partly compensate negative effects of grazing on hydrological function in a semi-arid savanna. *Basic & Appl. Ecol.*, 38: 58-68.
- Bart, M. and L. Noël. 1992. Inventory of the earthworm communities and the state of litter decomposition in the forests of flanders, belgium, and its implications for forest management. *Pergamon*, 24: 1677-1681.
- Bohara, M., K. Acharya, S. Perveen, K. Manevski, C.S. Hu, R.K.P. Yadav, K. Shrestha and X.X. Li. 2020. In situ litter decomposition and nutrient release from forest trees along an elevation gradient in Central Himalaya. *Catena*, 194: 104698.
- Cai, A.D., G.P. Liang, W. Yang, J. Zhu, T.F. Han, W.J. Zhang and M.G. Xu. 2021. Patterns and driving factors of litter decomposition across Chinese terrestrial ecosystems. J. *Clean. Prod.*, 278: 123964.
- Chen, J.D., C.L. Zhou and H.L. Li. 2017. Hydrological effects of litter and soil in nine forest types in Jieba region. *Res. Soil & Water Conser.*, 24: 216-221.
- Du, J., J.Z. Niu, Z.L. Gao, X.G. Chen, L. Zhang, X. Li, N.S. Doorn, Z.T. Luo and Z.J. Zhu. 2019. Effects of rainfall intensity and slope on interception and precipitation partitioning by forest litter layer. *Catena*, 172: 711-718.
- Fu, L., Y. Xu, Z.H. Xu, B.F. Wu and D. Zhao. 2019. Tree wateruse efficiency and growth dynamics in response to climatic and environmental changes in a temperate forest in Beijing, China. *Environ. Int.*, 134: 105209.
- Hou, D.J., X.G Qiao, C.G. Gao, H.W. Zhao, L.Q. Zhao and K. Gou. 2018. Hydro-ecological effects of litter in typical steppe of Inner Mongolia. J. Grassland, 26: 559-565.
- Huang, Y.M., X. Yang, D.J. Zhang and J. Zhang. 2020. The effects of gap size and litter species on colonization of soil fauna during litter decomposition in Pinus massoniana plantations. *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, 155: 103611.
- Jiang, P.P., Y. Cao, Y.M. Chen and F. Wang. 2016. Variation of C, N, and P stoichiometry in plant tissue, litter, and soil during stand development in Pinus tabulaeformis plantation. Acta Ecologica Sinica, 36: 6188-6197.
- Kurihara, M., Y. Onda, H. Suzuki, Y. Iwasaki and T. Yasutaka. 2018. Spatial and temporal variation in vertical migration of dissolved 137 Cs passed through the litter layer in Fukushima forests. J. Environ. Radioact., 192: 1-9.

- Leloup, J., M. Baude, N. Nunan, J. Meriguet, I. Dajoz, X.L. Roux and X. Raynaud. 2018. Unravelling the effects of plant species diversity and aboveground litter input on soil bacterial communities. *Geoderma*, 317: 1-7.
- Li, B., T.G. Sun, C.N. Fan, Z.L. Guo, Y. Zhang and H.F. Wang. 2017. Research on forest community litter accumulation in Changbai Mountain. *Forest. & Environ. Sci.*, 33: 48-52.
- Li, M.J., L.F. Yu, H.B. XiA, C.J. Nie, Z.S. Huang, M.F. Du, J. Zou and J.H. Shi. 2018. The prediction of forest carbon sequestration dynamics in guizhou province and relevant influencing factors. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 50(3): 1157-1170.
- Li, Q.L., L.Y. Li and Q.Y. Li. 2007. A study on the difference of water storage function of several common forest type litter layers. J. Heilongjiang Vocational College of Ecol. Eng., 05: 36-37.
- Li, S.S., Z.W. Wang and J.J. Yang. 2016. Changes in soil microbial communities during litter decomposition. *Biodiv. Sci.*, 24: 195-204.
- Li, T.Y., F.F. Kang, H.R. Han, J. Gao, X.S. Song, S. Yu, J.L. Zhao and X.W. Yu. 2015. Responses of soil microbial carbon metabolism to the leaf litter composition in Liaohe River Nature Reserve of northern Hebei Province, China. *Chinese J. Appl. Ecol.*, 26: 715-722.
- Lin, B., Q. Liu, Y. Wu and H. He. 2004. Advances in the studies of forest litter. *Chinese J. Ecol.*, 23: 60-64.
- Liu, C.C., Y.G. Liu, K Guo, H.W Zhao, X.G. Qiao, S.J. Wang, L Zhang and X.L. Cai. 2016.Mixing litter from deciduous and evergreen trees enhances decomposition in a subtropical karst forest in southwestern China[J]. Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 2016, 101: 44-54.
- Liu, J.K., Z.M. Zhang and M.X. Zhang. 2018. Impacts of forest structure on precipitation interception and run-off generation in a semiarid region in northern China. *Hydrol. Proc.*, 32: 2362-2376.
- Liu, K., K.N. He and X.B. Wang. 2018. Hydrological effects of litter of Betula platyphylla forest with different densities in alpine region, Qinghai of northwestern China. J. Beijing Forest. Uni., 40: 89-97.
- Liu, L., C.M. Zhao, W.T. Xu, G.Z. Shen and Z.Q. Xie. 2018. Litter dynamics of evergreen deciduous broad-leaved mixed forests and its influential factors in Shennongjia, China. *Chinese J. Plant Ecol.*, 42: 619-628.
- Liu, Y., Z. Cui, Z. Huang, H.T. Miao and G.L. Wu. 2019. The influence of litter crusts on soil properties and hydrological processes in a sandy ecosystem. *Hydrol. & Earth Sys. Sci.*, 23: 2481-2490.
- Lu, X., X.Y. Song, N. Fu, S.Y. Cui, L.J. Li, H.Y. Li and Y.L. Li. 2019. Effects of forest litter cover on hydrological response of hillslopes in the Loess Plateau of China. *Catena*, 181: 104076.
- Moazzam, N.S., Y.P. Zhang and L.Q. Shu. 2018. Dynamics of root and leaf decomposition in chronosequence of rubber plantation (hevea brasilensis) in sw china. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 50(2): 791-799.
- Pan, K.W., J. He and N. Wu. 2004. Effects of forest litter on microenvironment conditions of forestland. *Chinese J. Appl. Ecol.*, 15: 153-158.
- Pang, M.L., C.G. Zhu, B.C. Zhai and Y. Qu. 2017. Waiterholding capacity of litter and soil in three kinds of soil and Water conservation forests in Taihang Mountains of Hebei Province. *Bull. Soil & Water Conser.*, 37: 51-56.
- Sun, J.M., X.X. Yu, H.N. Wang, G.D. Jia, Y. Zhao, Z.H. Tu, W.P. Deng, J.B. Jia and J.G. Chen. 2018. Effects of forest

structure on hydrological processes in China. J. Hydrol., 561: 187-199.

- Tu, Z.H., Z.P. Fan, X.K. Sun, W.Y. Gong, X.Q. Zhang, X.L. Zheng, Q. Wang, S.X. Wang and Y.T. Qin. 2019. Litter and soil layer hydrological effects of different vegetation types in the Dahuofang Reservoir watershed. J. Soil & Water Conser., 33: 127-133.
- Walter, J., C. Buchmann and F. Schurr. 2020. Shifts in plant functional community composition under hydrological stress strongly decelerate litter decomposition. *Ecol. & Evol.*, 10: 5712-5724.
- Wang, J.F., B. Wang, Z.Y. Wang, Z.S. Li and J. Xiao. 2018. Slope distribution and water holding characteristics of typical vegetation litter on the Loess Plateau. J. Soil & Water Conser., 32: 139-144.
- Wang, L.F., R.I. He, L. Yang, Y.M. Chen, Y. Liu and J. Zhang. 2015. Litter decomposition of Rhododendron lapponicum in alpine timberline ecotone. *Acta Ecologica Sinica*, 35: 1769-1778.
- Wang, L.J., G.H. Zhang, P.Z. Zhu and X. Wang. 2020. Comparison of the effects of litter covering and incorporation on infiltration and soil erosion under simulated rainfall. *Hydrol. Proc.*, 34: 2911-2922.
- Wang, L.J., G.H. Zhang, X. Wang and X.Y. Li. 2019. Hydraulics of overland flow influenced by litter incorporation under extreme rainfall. *Hydrol. Proc.*, 33: 737-747.
- Wei, X.C., Q.W. Zhou, X.F. Cui, L.S. Ma and L. Dai. 2017. Hydrological effect of coniferous forest litter layer in karst region. *Ecol. Sci.*, 36: 120-127.
- Xu, J., Yu X.X. and C.Y. Xi. 2009. Hydrological effects of forest litters and soil in Ming Tombs Forest Farm. J. Soil & Water Conser., 23: 189-193.
- Xuan, L.H., F. Kang, J.C. Gu and D.M. Huang. 2018. Hydrological effects of litter and soil layers in typical stands of northern Hebei. *Res. Soil & Water Conser.*, 25: 86-91.
- Yang, Y., J.F. Wang, X.Y. Zhang, D.D. Li, H.M. Wang, F.H Chen, X.M. Sun and X.F. Wen. 2016. Mechanism of litter and understory vegetation effects on soil carbon and nitrogen hydrolase activities in Chinese fir forests. *Acta Ecologica Sinica*, 36: 8102-8110.
- Zeng, L.X., W. He, M.J. Teng, X. Luo, Z.G. Yan, Z.L. Huang, Z.X. Zhou, P.C. Wang and W.F. Xiao. 2018. Effects of mixed leaf litter from predominant afforestation tree species on decomposition rates in the Three Gorges Reservoir, China. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 639: 679-689.
- Zhang, H.Y., Y. Yang and Y. Li. 2015. Discussion on ecosystem degradation and restoration in Karst rocky desertification region of Southwest China. *Ecol. Sci.*, 34: 169-174.
- Zhang, J.L., H. Wu, L.F. Yu, C.Y. Zhou, L.B. Yan and G.J. Cai. 2014. Research on leaf litter decomposition and hydrological characteristics of dominant tree species in the Caohai wetland watershed. J. Soil & Water Conser., 28: 98-103.
- Zheng, M. 2020. Litter and soil hydro-ecological effects of different stand types in the Rocky Mountain area of North China. Sci. Soil & Water Conser., 18: 84-91.
- Zhou, Q.Z., X. Zhou, Y.L. and M.Y. Cai. 2018. The effects of litter layer and topsoil on surface runoff during simulated rainfall in Guizhou Province, China: a plot scale case study. *Water*, 10: 915.
- Zhu, H.L., G.Q. Wang, Y.L. A and T.X. Liu. 2020. Ecohydrological effects of litter cover on the hillslope-scale infiltration-runoff patterns for layered soil in forest ecosystem. *Ecol. Eng.*, 155: 105930.

(Received for publication 5 September 2021)