CHANGES IN AGRICULTURAL AND TECHNOLOGIC CHARACTERISTICS OF SOME BASIL (OCIMUM BASILICUM L.) GENOTYPES AT DIFFERENT PLANT GROWTH STAGES AND HARVEST PERIODS

OYA KACAR^{1*}, PERIHAN CEREN OZER¹ AND YUSUF SARI²

¹Department of Field Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Bursa Uludağ University, 16059, Bursa, Turkey ²Atatürk Horticultural Central Research Institute, 77100, Yalova, Turkey ^{*}Corresponding author's email: okacar@uludag.edu.tr

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to assess the changes in yield, essential oil content, and composition of some basil genotypes during different growth stages. The research was conducted at Bursa Uludag University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Field Crops, in Turkey. Three basil genotypes (Largesweet, Midnight, and Malatya), three growth phases (the beginning of flowering, the 50% flowering, the end of flowering), and harvest numbers (1st harvest and 2nd harvest) were examined as variables in this study. A field trial was conducted using a three-level factorial randomized complete block design with three replications. For each growth stage, two harvests were made throughout the vegetation season. As a result of the study, the Malatya genotype showed to have greater values in terms of plant height, totally fresh, dry herb, and dry leaf yields (53.85 cm, 2224.03 kg da⁻¹, 334.75 kg da⁻¹, 157.01 kg da⁻¹, respectively) than other genotypes, whereas the Largesweet genotype had higher values in terms of essential oil content (0.53%). Higher values of agricultural characteristics were achieved in general at 50% flowering condition. The essential oil ratio was increased towards the end of flowering period. In all aspects, the 1st harvest outperformed the 2nd harvest. In terms of essential oil composition, Largesweet and Midnight cultivars were found to be of the linalool chemotype, while the Malatya genotype was of the linalool/methyl cinnamate Z chemotype.

Key words: Ontogenetic variability, Yield, Essential oil content, Essential oil composition.

Introduction

The Genus Ocimum, belonging to the Lamiaceae family, has 65 species, distributed throughout the world (Singh *et al.*, 2004). The plant *Ocimum basilicum* L. is known as "basil." Annual and perennial species of the Ocimum genus show a natural distribution in Asia, Africa, and Central America (Simon *et al.*, 1999). Basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) is an annual herbaceous plant that grows in hot and dry circumstances and is sensitive to cold (Ekmekci, 2013). Only cultural forms of basil are cultivated; none have spread naturally in Turkey.

Many basil varieties differ both morphologically (plant size, habitus, leaf shape, leaf, and inflorescence color) (Nurzyńska-Wierdak, 2007) and according to the chemical composition of the essential oil (Sifola & Barbieri, 2006; Dzida, 2010; Nurzyńska-Wierdak, 2013 a). Studies have shown that there are quite different chemotypes in basil in terms of essential oil composition within the Ocimum basilicum L., defining basil as four distinct groups, namely linalool, methyl cinnamate, methyl chavicol, and eugenol, and also numerous subtypes (Simon et al., 1999; Lee et al., 2005). The different chemotypes of Ocimum species, in recent years, are used in numerous fields such as food, spice, perfumery, cosmetics, aromatherapy, and medicine due to its antioxidant and antibacterial properties. Basil's aromatic components have insecticidal, antifungal, and antibacterial effects (Telci et al., 2006; Moghaddam, 2010). Among the Ocimum species, O. basilicum L. has the most economic importance (Dudai & Belanger, 2016) because its leaves are used to produce essential oil and are consumed as food. The essential oil content in the plant varies between 0.5-1.0% (Arabaci & Bayram, 2004; Baydar, 2016). The presence

and composition of essential oils create the special aroma of plants. Although the compounds' composition changes with varieties, agricultural practices and environmental conditions affect it as well.

The purpose of producing medicinal and aromatic plants is to achieve both high yield and active substance content per unit area. To develop more suitable varieties for this purpose, it is necessary to determine appropriate climatic conditions and cultivation techniques for future breeding programs of basil. In agronomic practices, it is also important to define the appropriate harvest time concerning the development period of the plant. In this paper the changes in yield, essential oil ratio and composition of three basil genotypes, by harvest time in three plant growth stages were examined.

Material and Methods

Site description: The study was conducted in the experimental field of Bursa Uludag University, Faculty of Agriculture, Bursa (40° 11' N, 29° 04' E), in the South Marmara region of Turkey, in 2018.

Climate characteristics and soil properties: The climate of Bursa, where the field trial was conducted, shows a transitional nature between Mediterranean and Black Sea climates. Bursa's climate conditions during the long-term and experimental periods are presented in Table 1 (Anon., 2018). The soil texture of the dedicated experimental area (0-30 cm) was composed of clay and calcareous soil, unsalted (0.77 dS/m) and slightly alkaline (pH: 7.88), low in organic matter (1.2%), low in available phosphorus (4.98 kg da⁻¹), with exchangeable potassium (263.10 kg da⁻¹) and sufficient levels of iron, copper, and zinc.

Montha	Lo	ng term period (1928	8-2008)		2018	
wiontins	Temp. (°C)	Precipitation (mm)	Humidity (%)	Temp. (°C)	Precipitation (mm)	Humidity (%)
May	17.4	43.4	64.2	19.9	89.8	76.5
June	22.4	33.6	58.7	23.5	59.2	70.1
July	24.6	18.9	57.5	26.1	9.6	63.5
August	24.3	13.8	60.2	26.4	1.8	59.6
Total	-	109.7	-	-	160.4	-
Mean	22.2	-	60.2	24	-	67.4

 Table 1. Climatic data recorded in Bursa Province.

Temp: Temperature

Tab	ole 2. Morpholo	gical-characteristics of	f basil (<i>O. basili</i>	<i>cum</i> L.) genotyj	pes used in the s	tudy.
Genotype	Leaf color	Inflorescence color	Stem color	Leaf size	Leaf surface	Leaf edge
Largesweet	Green	White	Green	MedLarge	Wavy	Few Toothed
Midnight	Dark Purple	Purplish-Pink	Purple	Medium	Slight wavy	Few Toothed
Malatya	Dark Purple	Purplish-Pink	Purple	Large	Wavy	Toothed
The plant growth	stages examined	in the study are defined as	given below:			

		Table	3. Harvest dates i	n 2018.		
			Plant grov	wth stages		
Genotypes		Ι	I	Ι	-	III
	1 st Harvest	2 nd Harvest	1 st Harvest	2 nd Harvest	1 st Harvest	2 nd Harvest
Largesweet	11 June	16 July	21 June	27 July	5 July	14 August
Midnight	16 June	03 August	25 June	10 August	9 July	31 August
Malatya	16 June	03 August	02 July	23 August	13 July	07 September
I TTI D ' '	CEI : 11 (EI	500/ El : 1		•		

I: The Beginning of Flowering, II: The 50% Flowering, III: The End of Flowering

Plant material and growing process: Different Basil genotypes and plant growth phases (the beginning of flowering, the 50% flowering, the end of flowering) were evaluated as factors. Data on the characteristics of the genotypes used are given in Table 2. Commercial seeds of varieties (Largesweet and Midnight) were purchased from a private company (Vilmorin-Anadolu) in Turkey. Malatya population seeds were provided from Gazi Osmanpasa University, Agriculture Faculty, Field Crops Department.

The beginning of flowering: It is the period when plants begin to flower (10%), and budding is more intense (Fig. 1A, B, C). **The 50% Flowering:** 50% of the number of flowers on the plant is in bloom. Flowers and buds are observed together on the plant (Fig. 2A, B, C). **The End of Flowering:** Almost all the flowers on the plant are in bloom during this stage. It is the period when the flower petals on the plant begin to fall, and the lower flowers begin to set seeds (Fig. 3A, B, C).

Experimental design and treatment: The research was planned according to the Factorial Randomized Complete Block design and was conducted with three replications. Each plot (4,8 m²) consists of four rows. Basil seeds were sown in the pots with germination peat in the greenhouse on 16.03.2018 and the emergence was observed on 20.03.2018. Seedlings (approximately 3-4 cm) were transferred to viols on 11.04.2018. The seedlings were regularly watered throughout the growing process. When seedlings reached a height of about 10 cm, they were transferred to the field on 04.05.2018 at 40 cm \times 30 cm distances. In the experiment, fertilizer was added in the amount of 5 kg N, and 5 kg P₂O₅ were added per decare.

Half of the nitrogen fertilizer (15-15-15) was applied during planting, and the other half (Ammonium Sulfate-21% N) was given after the 1st harvest. All the phosphorus (TSP-44% P₂O₅) was applied together with the planting seedlings. Plots were irrigated as needed during the vegetative period. Harvest was done manually by cutting the plants 10 cm above the soil surface. Basil genotypes were harvested twice during the growing season. There are generally 4-5 weeks between two harvest season and 10-15 days between plant growth periods (Table 3). Harvested plants were dried in the shade at room temperature, and leaf, inflorescence, and stem separation were done on dried plants.

Essential oil isolation and GC analysis: The content of the essential oil from 30 g of dry leaves was measured by the hydro distillation method using a modified Clevenger-type apparatus and the distillation duration was recorded as "two hours". The essential oil content was determined by the volumetric method (v w⁻¹) and expressed as a percentage (Wichtl, 1971). The essential oil was stored in dark glass bottles at 4°C. The determination of essential oil components was identified by the Agilent 5975 C Capillary Column Gas Chromatography device in Atatürk Horticultural Central Research Ins. in Yalova. Working conditions of the device: Column used: HP-INNOWAX Capillary Column, Column length: 60 m, Oven Temperature (Programmed operation): 50°C, 50°C: 1 min., 50-175°C: 25° min⁻¹, 175°C-230°C: 4 min., 230°C: 6 min., Detector temperature: 280°C, Injector Temperature: 250°C, Carrier Gas: Helium, Flow Rate of Gas: 1,45 ml min⁻¹.



Fig. 1. The beginning of flowering (A. Largesweet, B. Midnight cultivars and C. Malatya population).



Fig. 2. The % 50 flowering (A. Largesweet, B. Midnight cultivars and C. Malatya population).



Fig. 3. The end of flowering (A. Largesweet, B. Midnight cultivars and C. Malatya population).

Statistical analyses

A field trial was arranged using a three-level factorial randomized complete block design with three replications. All data were subjected to an analysis of variance for each character using the JMP (version 7) package program. F test was applied to specify the 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels. The LSD test was used to determine the distinct groups.

Results and Discussion

Agricultural characteristics

Plant height: The differences in terms of genotype, growth stage, harvest, and genotype \times growth stage \times harvest interaction in basil were found to be statistically significant at the 1% probability level (Table 4). Plant height varied between 39.52 (Midnight)-53.85 cm (Malatya) according to the basil genotypes. In terms of genetic structure, Malatya stands taller than other genotypes. Considering the growth stage means, the end of flowering period had the highest plant height of 51.21 cm, and the lowest plant height value of 38.35 cm at the beginning of flowering. The plant height increased with the progression of vegetation and the elongation of flower spikes.

In studies which the field trials carried out with different basil genotypes and ecologies, plant height values were determined as 19.40-76.87 cm at the beginning of flowering and 17.16-95 cm at the flowering period (Kacar et al., 2009; Aslan, 2014; Karik et al., 2014; Ozcan, 2014; Ozkan, 2014; Naldan, 2017; Acikbas, 2018; Sonmez et al., 2019) and 49.29-78.64 cm at the end of flowering period (Aslan, 2014; Sonmez et al., 2019). In general, plant height values for each period in this study were within the range of the researchers' limit values. Plant height changes with growth, depending on genetic structure and the ecological parameters of the environment in which the plant grows. The discrepancies in the studies of the basil genotypes used are related to agronomic techniques, and ecological differences in the vegetation periods in the locations. In ecologies, daynight temperatures and day lengths affect the plant height and the development of basil (Putievsky, 1983).

When the means of harvest numbers were examined, the 1st harvest had a higher plant height of 46.65 cm than the 2nd harvest (45.34 cm). One explanation for/ Explanation of the higher plant height was that the total amount of precipitation (149 mm) received from the vegetative period until the 1st harvest (May-June) of the plants was more than 11.4 mm received during the 2nd harvest period (July-August) (Table 1).

In the 1st harvest (63.58 cm and 43.21 cm) generally taller plants heigher than the 2nd harvest (54.23 cm and 41.01 cm) were observed (Aslan 2014; Ozcan 2014). When the genotype × growth stage × harvest number interaction was examined, the highest values were recorded in Malatya (60 cm) and Largesweet (59.37 cm) genotypes at the end of flowering period, while the lowest values were recorded in the Midnight genotype (28.78 cm) at the beginning of flowering. The fact that the interaction was significant revealing that the effects of the growth stage and the number of harvests on plant height differed between genotypes. The results obtained in the interaction supported the findings of the main factors.

Fresh and dry herb yield: According to the results of fresh and dry herb yield in basil, the differences determined in the main factors (genotype, growth stage and harvest) and G x GS x H interactions at harvest numbers and G x H interactions at total values were found to be statistically significant at the 1% probability level (Tables 5, 6). The highest values in terms of fresh and dry herb yield were obtained from Malatya genotype in both harvest means (816.04-1112.02 kg da⁻¹ and 89.30-167.38 kg da⁻¹, respectively) and total yields values (1406.61-2224.03 kg da⁻¹ and 178.59-334.75 kg da⁻¹, respectively). This genotype was followed by Largesweet and Midnight cultivars, respectively. One of the explanations for the increased fresh herb yield is the Malatya genotype's higher plant height. In general, genotypes with high fresh herb yields have more biomass than short plant height genotypes due to their larger plant height and well-developed stem and broadleaf blades (Gunay & Telci, 2017).

Table 4. The effects of	f genotype, o	different plant	growth stages	and har	vests on th	e mean of
1	plant height	(cm) of basil (Ocimum basili	cum L.)		

	piant I	leight (Chi) 0		um busiicum	<i>i</i> L.)			
		1 st Harvest			2 nd Harvest			
Genotype (G)			Plant grov	wth stages			Mean of	
	Ι	II	III	Ι	П	III	genotype	
Largesweet	34.97 1	46.40 d	59.37 a	37.37 hı	42.80 f	46.77d	44.61 B	
Midnight	28.78 j	43.38 ef	45.65 de	37.37 hı	42.50 f	39.43 gh	39.52 C	
Malatya	41.61 fg	59.70 a	60.00 a	50.00 c	55.73 b	56.07 b	53.85 A	
Mean of growth stage (GS)	38.35 C	48.42 B	51.21 A					
Mean of harvest (H)		46.65 A			45.34 B			
LSD (0.05)		G	: 1.05. GS: 1.	05. H: 0.86. (G×GS×H: 2.5	58		
<i>p</i> <0.05		G: '	** GS: **	* H: **	G×GS×H	**		

There is no statistical difference between numbers containing the same letter or letters.

** Statistically significant at 1% probability level. I: The Beginning of Flowering, II: The 50% Flowering, III: The End of Flowering

Table 5	. The effects	of genotyp	e, different p	lant growth	stage and harv	est on mean	of fresh her	rb yield (kg	da ⁻¹) of bas	I (Ocimum bas	ilicum L.).		
Constans (C)		1 st H	arvest			2nd Harvest		Mean of		Tota	-	~	Aean of
Cellocy pe (G)	Ι	Π		Ш	Ι	II	III	genotype	I	Π	Π	1 00	enotype
Large sweet	486.72 h	1018.	06 d	1428.32 a	435.13 h	759.13 ef	768.89 ef	816.04 B	921.8	85 e 1777.	12 d 2197.	22 b 16	632.06 B
Midnight	485.12 h	1172.3	38 bc	576.92 gh	638.85 fg	779.89 ef	566.67 gh	703.31 C	1123.	98 e 1952.2	.7 cd 1143.	59 e 14	406.61 C
Malatya	801.14 c	1237.	93 b	1005.34 d	1225.43 bc	1313.47 ab	1088.78 cd	1112.02	A 2026.	58 bc 2551.	40 a 2094.1	12 bc 22	224.03 A
Mean of growth stage (GS)	678.73 C	1046.3	81 A	905.82 B				Mean of (S 1357.	47 C 2093.0	60 A 1811.	64 B	
Mean of Harvest (H)		912	.44 A			841.81 B							
LSD (0.05)			G:60.1	6. GS:60.16. 1	H:49.12. G×GS	×H:147.37				G:131.63. G	S:131.63. G×C	iS:227.99	
p<0,05			G: **	GS: **	H: ** G>	GS×H: **				G: **,	GS: **, G×GS	* *	
There is no statistical differenc **Statistically significant at 19 Table	e between nur 6 probability l 6. The effects	mbers conta evel. I: Th of genotyl	ining the san e Beginning (be, different	ne letter or let of Flowering, plant growth	ters. II: The 50% Fl stage and har	owering, III: vest on mea	The End of I n of dry herl	Flowering. b yield (kg c	[a ⁻¹) of basi	(Ocimum basi	licum L.).		
		1 stHarve	st		2 nd Har	vest	M	ean of		Total		M	ean of
Genotype (G)	I	П	Ξ	I	Π	I	ge	notype	I	п	Π	gel	notype
Large sweet	69.061jk	143.74 de	241.91	a 62.11	jk 105.07	gh 114.0	1 fg 12	2.65 B	131.16 e	248.81 cd	355.92 al	24	5.30 B
Midnight	56.87 k	133.88 ef	71.82 ıj	k 87.50	hı 108.05	g 77.6	5 ij 89	9.30 C	144.37 e	241.93 d	149.47 e	17	8.59 C
Malatya	98.51 gh	177.85 c	162.24 6	cd 180.10	5 c 207.28	b 178.2	22 c 16	7.38 A	278.67 c	385.13 a	340.45 b	33	4.75 A
Mean of growth stage (GS)	92.37 B	145.98 A	140.98	A			Mea	an of GS	184.73 B	291.96 A	281.95 A		
Mean of harvest (H)		128.43			124.4	2							
LSD (0,05)			G: 8.33.	GS: 8.33. H:4	9.12. G×GS×H	: 20.41				G:18.17. GS:	18.17. G×GS:	\$1.47	
p < 0,05			G: **	GS: ** I	I: ** G×G	S×H: **				G: **, GS	: **, G×GS: *	*	
There is no statistical different III: The End of Flowering	e between nu	mbers cont	aining the sa	ne letter or le	tters. ** Statis	tically signif	icant at 1% p	probability le	vel. I: The	Beginning of F	lowering, II: 7	The 50% F	lowering,
Tanic		s of genory	pe, unierent	plant growu	I stage and na	vest on mea	III 01 ULV JEAL	i yieiu (kg u	113DA 10 (- B	Ocimum bush	tcum L.).		
Genatyne (G)	-	stHarvest			2 nd Harvest	-	Mea	n of		Total	-	Ŭ	ean of
	Ι	Π	Ш	I	п	Π	genot	type	Ι	Π	Π	gei	notype
Large sweet	49.26 de	76.52 b	96.84 a	38.73 efg	57.50 cd	58.55 c	1 62.9	0 B	80.99 e	134.02 d	155.39 bo	12	5.80 B
Midnight	41.35 ef	76.73 b	27.95 g	56.08 cd	66.52 bc	32.25 fg	50.1	5 C	97.43 e	143.27 cd	60.20 f	10	0.30 C
Malatya	65.33 bc	67.40 bc	49.80 de	104.01 a	108.34 a	76.15 b	78.5	0 A 1	69.34 ab	175.74 a	125.95 d	15	7.01 A
Mean of growth stage (GS)	59.13 B	75.50 A	56.92 B				Mean	of GS 1	18.25 B	151.01 A	113.84 B		
Mean of harvest (H)		61.24 B			66.46 A								
LSD (0,05)		G: 4.9	99. GS: 4.99.	H: 4.08. G×C	S×B: 12.24				G:11.64	GS:11.64. G×	GS:20.16		
p < 0,05		G: **	GS: **	H: **	G×GS×H: *				G: *	*, GS: **, G×G	S: **		

Total fresh herb yields were determined in the range of 921.85-2026.58 kg da⁻¹ at the beginning of flowering, 1777.12-2551.40 kg da-1 at the 50% flowering, and 1143.59-2197.22 kg da⁻¹ at the end of flowering period. While the lowest fresh herb yield values were observed at the beginning of flowering, the highest fresh herb yield was obtained at the 50% flowering. A similar situation was observed in the 1st and 2nd harvests. Fresh herb yield tended to decrease since the plant height was shorter at the beginning of flowering and the older leaves began to turn yellow and fell off at the end of flowering period. In general, increases and decreases in dry herb yield values of harvests and development periods showed parallelism with green herb yield values (Table 6). It was observed that the values of total dry herb yield varied between 184.73-281.95 kg da⁻¹ according to the growth stages. The highest values were determined at the 50% flowering (241.93-385.13 kg da⁻¹) and the end of flowering (149.47-355.92 kg da⁻¹) periods, and the lowest values were determined at the beginning of flowering (131.16-278.67 kg da⁻¹).

Higher fresh herb yield was recorded in the 1st harvest at 912.44 kg da⁻¹ compared to the 2nd harvest (841.81 kg da⁻¹). As a result of the onset of downy mildew damage caused by the fungus Peronospora belbahrii before the 2nd harvest, the lesions on the leaves negatively affected the photosynthesis mechanism and the development of the plants; thus, sufficient development could not be achieved. This situation caused a decrease in the fresh herb yields in the 2nd harvest. The susceptibility to the disease in genotypes differed. The Malatya and Largesweet genotypes, which were generally high in terms of plant height and had good mass development, were more resistant to the disease than the shorter Midnight genotype. In a study conducted by Gunay & Telci, (2017) in Isparta conditions, an analogous situation was experienced due to powdery mildew disease. When the average number of harvests was examined in terms of dry herb yield, no statistical difference was found between the 1st harvest (128.43 kg da⁻¹) and the 2^{nd} harvest (124.45 kg da⁻¹), and similar values were obtained.

In studies conducted in different ecological conditions, similar results were obtained in terms of increases or decreases in fresh and dry herb yields determined according to harvest and development periods (Ersahin, 2006; Kacar et al., 2009; Aslan, 2014; Ozcan, 2014; Cabar, 2016; Naldan, 2017; Acikbas, 2018). The ecological conditions of the region including the length of the vegetation period, and the number of harvests affected the fresh herb yield. Generally, higher fresh herb yields were obtained in a warm climate and extended periods (Karik et al., 2014; Sonmez et al., 2009; Aslan, 2014). In the present study similar varieties were used in the same ecological condition, more than two harvests could not be obtained due to mildew; thus, there were differences in yield values. In general, the values in our study were found to be lower than the studies conducted in different ecologies. The factors affecting the fresh herb yield also affected the dry herb yield.

Dry leaf yield: The differences observed in terms of genotype, growth stage, and harvests in dry leaf yield in

basil were found to be statistically significant at the 1% probability level while the differences determined in terms of genotype × growth stage × harvest number interaction were found to be statistically significant at the 5% probability level. The differences determined in the factors and interactions in total dry leaf yield values were found to be statistically significant at the 1% probability level (Table 7). Considering the genotypes in reliance on the main factors, it is seen that the highest dry leaf yield value was obtained in the Malatya genotype. On the other hand, the lowest dry leaf yield was obtained in the Midnight genotype in terms of both harvest periods' averages (50.15-78.50 kg da⁻¹) and total values (100.30-157.01 kg da⁻¹).

The total mass was measured as 80.99-169.34 kg da-¹ at the beginning of flowering, 134.02-175.74 kg da⁻¹ at the 50% flowering, and 60.20-155.39 kg da⁻¹ at the end of flowering period. While the highest dry leaf yield values were observed at the 50% flowering period, the lowest values were determined at the beginning of flowering and the end of flowering periods. Inflorescences were not included in the dry leaf yield since our aim was to assess the changes in the leaves based on the growth stages. When the mean number of the harvests was examined, it was seen that the 2nd harvest reached a higher dry leaf yield with 66.46 kg da⁻¹ compared to the 1st harvest (61.24 kg da⁻¹). Dry leaf yields are related to leaf ratios and herb yields. Hence, when these properties have a high value, dry leaf yields increased accordingly and this was caused by the difference in leaf ratios of genotypes. When the genotype \times growth stage \times harvest number interaction was examined, the dry leaf yield variation range was 27.95-108.34 kg da⁻¹. The highest dry leaf yield values were obtained at the 50% flowering and the beginning of flowering periods of the Malatya genotype in the 2nd harvest and at the end of flowering of the Largesweet variety in the 1st harvest. The lowest value was measured at the end of flowering period of the Midnight variety in the 1st harvest. Therefore, the investigated genotypes reacted differently to the changing climatic conditions during the vegetation periods. It is seen that the total dry leaf yield values had a varied range of 60.20-175.74 kg da⁻¹ based on the genotype \times growth period interaction. In parallel with the fresh and dry herb yield, the highest values were determined in the Malatya genotype at the 50% flowering period (175.74 kg da⁻¹). This value was followed by the beginning of flowering (169.34 kg da⁻¹) of the Malatya genotype, which was in the same statistical group. The lowest value was recorded at the postflowering of the Midnight variety (60.20 kg da⁻¹). In previous works, it was shown that dry leaf yield was increased from the beginning of flowering to flowering and then tended to decrease (Ersahin, 2006; Aslan, 2014; Cabar, 2016; Naldan, 2017; Acikbas, 2018).

Technologic characteristics

Essential oil content: The differences in terms of genotype and plant growth in the essential oil content in dry leaves were found to be statistically significant at the 1% probability level, while harvest and genotype \times growth stage \times harvest number interaction were found to be statistically insignificant (Table 8). When we consider the essential oil content in the leaf, it is seen that the values vary between 0.31 (Midnight)-0.53% (Largesweet) according to basil genotypes. Considering the plant growth stages, the essential oil contents were measured between 0.23-0.34% at the beginning of flowering, 0.25-0.53% at the 50% flowering, and 0.34-0.82% at the end of flowering period. At the end of flowering period highest essential oil content with a mean of 0.53% was recorded, while at the beginning of flowering period the lowest with 0.29% was found. As the growth stages progressed, an increase was observed in the essential oil content which was in parallel with the rise in the average temperature (Table 1).

Secondary metabolites and biosynthetic activities in medicinal and aromatic plants are genetically controlled (Padalia et al., 2017a). On the other hand, these are strongly affected by many factors such as climatic conditions, geographical location, agricultural conditions, applied agronomic processes, growth period, harvest time, methods and post-harvest processes, and chemical and biological differences arise depending on these factors (Telci, 2006; Msaada et al., 2007; Ebrahimi et al., 2008; Sellami et al., 2009; Nurzynska-Wierdak et al., 2012; Lee & Ding, 2016; Padalia et al., 2017a). Especially the plant growth stage is considered one of the most crucial factors affecting the amount and composition of essential oil (Sellami et al., 2009; Bagdonaite, et al., 2010; Saeb & Gholamrezaee, 2012; Verma, et al., 2012; Toncer et al., 2017).

According to the study by Toncer et al., (2017), it was noted that the essential oil ratio in dry herbs are increased with the progression of the growth stages, 1.2% at the preflowering, 1.4% at the flowering, and 1.6% at the postflowering period. In a study, conducted in Brazil, the authors found that the highest essential oil ratio was obtained during the flowering period in the analyses made at different growth stages, including pre-flowering, flowering, and post-flowering periods. They stated that the flowering period is suitable for capturing the appropriate temperature, light intensity, and radiation rate for essential oil synthesis. They also emphasized that the presence of pollinating insects during the flowering period may have contributed to the synthesis of secondary metabolites (Paulus et al., 2019). The highest essential oil contents in both dry (Nurzyńska-Wierdak et al., 2012 NurzyńskaWierdak, 2013 b) and fresh herb (Verma *et al.*, 2012) and dry leaves (Karik *et al.*, 2014; Yasmin *et al.*, 2018; Sonmez *et al.*, 2019) were found during the flowering period in the research carried out in different development periods.

When the average number of harvests was examined, no statistical difference was observed between the first (0.42%) and second harvests (0.39%). In studies conducted in different ecologies, the 1st harvest had higher essential oil content than the 2nd (Aslan, 2014), and both harvests had similar rates of 0.65% and 0.69% (Ozcan, 2014). It was determined that the 2nd harvests were higher than the 1st harvests (Ozkan, 2014). In their study conducted with two green and purple genotypes, Yaldiz et al. (2015) reported that the essential oil ratio was increased from the 1st to the 2nd harvest in both genotypes and decreased in the third harvest. Climatic conditions such as temperature play a vital role in essential oil synthesis in the plants of Labiatae family. The fact that the average temperature was similar in both harvest periods reduced the difference between the determined essential oil content. When the genotype \times growth stage × harvest number interaction was examined, the change range of the essential oil ratio was 0.23-0.82%. The identification of ontogenetic and diurnal variability in the culture of medicinal and aromatic plants and increasing the production of essential oils are important due to the fluctuations in climatic conditions, especially temperature and light, during the day (Brant et al., 2009).

Essential oil components: Overall, more than 97% of the essential oil components were identified in all samples in both 1^{st} and 2^{nd} harvest results. Most of the members of the family Labiatae have a very strong aroma due to the rich essential oils of monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes and phenylproponoids. In the 1st and 2nd harvests, respectively Monoterpenoids (72.00-80.52 and 42.94-73.45 %), represented by linalool (upto 73.32 and 86.05%), sesquiterpenoids and (2.82-18.48 2.69-21.58%), represented by β -Elemene (upto 13.99 and 15.32%), and phenylpropanoids (5.43-16.82 and 6.54-51.36 %), represented by (Z)-methyl cinnamate (upto 3.83 and 45.30%), constitute the main fraction of the composition (Tables 9, 10). The presence of essential oils with distinct compositions determines the specific aroma and flavour of the Ocimum taxa (Padalia et al., 2017b).

	011 0011							
		1 st Harves	st		2 nd Harvest		Moon of	
Genotype (G)			Plant gro	wth stages			Mean of	
	Ι	II	III	Ι	II	III	genotype	
Largesweet	0.30	0.52	0.82	0.23	0.53	0.76	0.53 A	
Midnight	0.29	0.25	0.43	0.28	0.29	0.34	0.31 C	
Malatya	0.29	0.43	0.48	0.34	0.41	0.35	0.38 B	
Mean of growth stage (GS)	0.29 C	0,41 B			0.53 A			
Mean of harvest (H)		0.42			0.39			
LSD (0.05)			(G: 1.10. GP:	0.042			
<i>p</i> <0.05		(G: ** GF	P: ** H:	ns G×GP	×H: ns		

 Table 8. The effects of genotype, different plant growth stage and harvests on mean of essential oil content (%) of basil (Ocimum basilicum L.).

There is no statistical difference between numbers containing the same letter or letters.

**: Statistically significant at 1% probability level. ns: non-significant, I: The Beginning of Flowering, II: The 50% Flowering, III: The End of Flowering



Fig. 4. Some important components in essential oils obtained from the 1^{st} harvest of basil plant.

Fig. 5. Some important components in essential oils obtained from the 2^{nd} harvest of basil plant.

I: The Beginning of Flowering, II: The 50% Flowering, III: The End of Flowering

					Gen	otypes a	nd plant	growth st	tages		
No	RT	Compounds	L	argeswe	et		Midnigh	t		Malatya	
		_	Ι	Π	III	Ι	Π	III	Ι	Π	III
1.	14.176	1.8 Cineole	3.66	9.74	7.14	2.24	1.85	2.12	5.94	6,60	8,60
2.	16.091	γ-Terpinene	0.15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3.	17.310	<i>p</i> -Cymene	0.17	0.14	0.60	-	-	-	-	0,19	0,39
4.	28.050	Linalool	66.33	62.43	64.45	70.36	72.84	72.55	72.28	73,32	69,22
5.	29.278	cis-a-Bergamotene	0.98	0.97	1.10	-	-	-	-	-	-
6.	29.478	β -Elemene	11.41	10.84	9.74	13.96	13.99	10.79	-	-	-
7.	31.939	α-Humulene	0.53	0.55	0.43	0.36	-	0.33	-	-	-
8.	32.700	δ -Terpineol	1.69	1.70	1.25	2.57	1.68	1.60	0.93	-	-
9.	33.099	Germacrene D	0.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,74	0,97
10.	33.272	δ -Guaiene	1.38	1.19	1.05	0.53	-	1.01	1.11	0,41	0,53
11.	33.817	Bicyclogermacrene	-	0.69	0.60	-	-	0.46	-	0,40	0,42
12.	34.577	γ-Cadinene	2.45	2.35	2.15	1.80	1.71	1.43	2.45	0,83	0,90
13.	39.990	Methyl cinnamate E	-	-	-	-	-	0.34	7.35	5,42	6,83
14.	42.455	Cubenol	0.68	0.62	0.75	0.75	0.72	0.61	-	-	-
15.	43.110	Methyl cinnamate Z	1.32	0.30	1.53	-	-	1.53	1.68	3,83	3,20
16.	43.981	Spathulenol	0.23	0.21	0.25	-	-	0.35	-	-	-
17.	45.100	Eugenol	5.99	5.61	7.43	5.77	5.43	5.13	7.30	5,79	6,79
18.	46.073	Carvacrol	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0,41	0,68
19.	46.478	β -Eudesmol	0.32	0.27	0.23	0.34	-	0.55	-	-	-
Class	composi	tions									
Mond	terpenoid	ls	72.00	74.01	73.44	75.17	76.37	76.27	79.15	80.52	78.89
Sesqu	iterpenoi	ds	18.48	17.69	16.30	17.74	16.42	15.53	3.56	3.38	2.82
Pheny	/lpropano	ids	7.31	5.91	8.96	5.77	5.43	7.00	16.33	15.04	16.82
Ident	ified		97.79	97.61	98.70	98.68	98.22	98.8 0	99.04	98.94	98.53
Non-i	dentified	l	2.21	2.39	1.30	1.32	1.78	1.20	0.96	1.06	1.47
Total			100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

Table 9. Essential oil components (%) in dry leaves at the 1st harvest.

I: The Beginning of Flowering, II: The 50% Flowering, III: The End of Flowering

Table 10. Es	sential oil compo	nents (%) i	in dry	v leaves at	the 2 nd	harvest.
		~			~	

			Genotypes and Plant Growth Stages								
No	RT	Compounds]	Largeswe	et		Midnigh	t		Malatya	ı
			Ι	II	III	Ι	II	III	Ι	II	III
1.	14.176	1.8 Cineole	5.16	8.51	5.25	2.45	2.38	2.97	2.04		1.36
2.	16.091	γ-Terpinene	0.29	0.24	0.29	0.18	-	1.98	-	-	-
3.	17.310	<i>p</i> -Cymene	0.42	0.29	0.41	0.20	-	3.14	0.15	-	-
4.	28.050	Linalool	61.25	57.24	56.80	64.52	86.05	63.27	40.12	63.40	52.23
5.	29.278	cis-a-Bergamotene	1.05	0.87	0.95	0.24	-	-	-	-	-
6.	29.478	β -Elemene	8.84	10.62	12.37	15.32	2.69	12.33	1.91	-	3.41
7.	31.939	α-Humulene	0.54	0.56	0.59	0.43	-	1.48	0.16	-	-
8.	32.700	δ -Terpineol	1.76	1.84	1.87	1.86	2.34	2.09	0.63	1.42	0.97
9.	33.099	Germacrene D	0.46	0.55	0.51	-	-	-	-	-	-
10.	33.272	δ -Guaiene	1.27	1.46	1.62	1.11	-	-	1.02	-	0.79
11.	33.817	Bicyclogermacrene	0.78	0.71	0.99	-	-	-	-	-	-
12.	34.577	γ-Cadinene	1.92	2.31	2.89	2.22	-	2.15	1.08	-	1.05
13.	39.990	Methyl cinnamate E	0.34	-	-	0.17	-	-	3.44	-	1.14
14.	42.455	Cubenol	0.74	0.75	0.95	0.84	-	-	0.34	-	-
15.	43.110	Methyl cinnamate Z	2.32	-	-	-	-	-	45.30	28.89	36.41
16.	43.981	Spathulenol	0.25	0.18	0.26	0.40	-	-	-	-	-
17.	45.100	Eugenol	9.87	12.16	11.96	6.74	6.54	9.22	2.62	6.30	2.64
18.	46.073	Carvacrol	-	0.20	0.11	-	-	-	-	-	-
19.	46.478	β -Eudesmol	0.36	0.32	0.45	0.59	-	-	0.21	-	-
Class	compositi	ions									
Mono	terpenoids		68.88	68.32	64.73	69.21	90.77	73.45	42.94	64.82	54.56
Sesqu	iterpenoid	S	16.21	18.33	21.58	21.15	2.69	15.96	4.72	-	5.25
Pheny	lpropanoio	ds	12.53	12.16	11.96	6.91	6.54	9.22	51.36	35.18	40.19
Identi	fied		97.61	98.81	98.27	97.27	100	98.63	99.02	100	100
Non-i	dentified		2.40	1.19	1.73	2.73	-	1.37	0.98	-	-
Total			100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

I: The Beginning of Flowering, II: The 50% Flowering, III: The End of Flowering

More than one harvest can be made in a development period for basil and similar spices. In this case, the essential oil content and compositions may change depending on the harvesting season. In the 1st harvest, linalool stood out as the main component in all three genotypes. Linalool content varied between 62.43-64.45% in the Largesweet variety, 70.34-72.84% in the Midnight variety, and 69.22-73.32% in the Malatya variety at the growth stages. The main component, linalool, was followed by β -elemene, eugenol, and 1.8 cineole in cultivars. The amounts of these components were found in the ranges of 9.74-11.41%, 5.61-7.43%, and 3.66-9.74% in the Largesweet variety, and 10.79-13.99%, 5.13-5.77% and 1.85-2.24% in the Midnight variety, respectively. In the Malatya genotype, the following components were methyl cinnamate E (5.42-7.35%), eugenol (5.79-7.30%), 1.8 cineole (5.94-8.60%), and methyl cinnamate Z (1.68-3.83%). β -elemene, which was the highest in amount after the linalool, was not determined in the Malatya genotype in the 1st harvest. Also, methyl cinnamate E was not measured in the Largesweet and Midnight cultivars (Table 9, Fig. 4).

In the 2^{nd} harvest, the main component was linalool, and it was in the range of 56.80-61.25% in the Largesweet variety, 63.27-86.05% in the Midnight variety, and 40.12-63.40% in the Malatya genotype according to the growth stages. In general, the amount of linalool was decreased in the 2^{nd} harvest of all genotypes. However, only at the 50% flowering period of the Midnight genotype, the amount of linalool raised to the highest value of both harvests (86.05%) and tended to decrease again at the following period. It was determined that linalool in this cultivar was 33.37% and 36% higher, respectively, compared to other growth stages (Table 10, Fig. 5).

It has been reported in numerous studies that plants increase secondary metabolite production depending on density in stressful growth environments (Bernstein *et al.*, 2010; Bekhradi *et al.*, 2015; Kaya & Inan, 2017). At the 2nd harvest period, mildew damage, which increased in intensity towards the flowering period, was more prevalent than other genotypes in this cultivar, and accordingly, the reaction of the cultivar might have changed in various secondary metabolites. This situation changed the balance of linalool and β -elemene in particular. As the amount of linalool was increased, its ratio to β -elemene decreased. The amount of β -elemene, which was 15.32% and 12.33% at the beginning and during post-flowering, decreased to 2.69% during the 50% flowering.

The β -elemene component, which was not found in Malatya genotype in the 1st harvest, was detected at the preflowering (1.91%) and at the post-flowering periods (3.41%) in the 2nd harvest, but not found at the 50% flowering period. In the Malatya genotype, methyl cinnamate Z was the component with the highest ratio following the linalool component in the 2nd harvest and its ratio changed between 28.89-45.30%. As the linalool ratio was decreased in this genotype, the methyl cinnamate Z ratio increased. Other components following the main component in the Largesweet variety were eugenol (9.87-12.16%), β -elemene (8.84-12.37%), and 1.8 cineole (5.16-8.51%). Most especially, as the linalool ratio was decreased and the amounts of eugenol and β -elemene were increased. In terms of these values, great differences between the growth periods were not observed. Methyl cinnamate Z was the other key component following the main component linalool in the Malatya genotype. As the

linalool ratio decreased, the amount of this component increased. In the Malatya genotype, methyl cinnamate Z was 45.30% higher than the linalool (40.12%) during the pre-flowering period. In other periods, this component was decreased due to the increase in linalool (Table 10, Fig. 5).

In our study, the linalool contents of the genotypes were found close to each other in the 1st harvest compared to the growth stages, while in the 2nd harvest, it was found to be 50% higher during the flowering period. The important biochemical changes that occur during plant growth stages ensures that the harvest times and postharvest processes are adjusted to obtain quality products. The seasonal variation of linalool was not high in most of the samples except for the 2nd harvest of Midnight. This situation showed that the compound was not affected much by the climate changes during the harvest periods, and the genetic control of linalool synthesis was greater (Telci et al., 2015). In a study Toncer et al. (2017) demonstrated that the main components of the essential oil were linalool at the flowering and the post-flowering periods, and eugenol at the pre-flowering period. It was noted that the linalool was increased proportionally from the pre-flowering period to the flowering period. In a study conducted by Padalia et al., (2017b) it was reported that the content of linalool was higher at the 50% of the flowering stage (43.8%) compared to seed setting (42.8%), vegetative stages (42.2%), and full flowering stage (39.1%). In the same study, the content of (E)-methyl cinnamate was maximal at the full flowering stage (42.8%) followed by 40.4% at the vegetative stage, 39.8% at the 50% flowering stage, and a minimal 36.6% at the seed setting stage. On the other hand, the content of (Z)methyl cinnamate was found to be maximal at the full flowering stage (5.9%) and minimal at the vegetative stage (5.4%) (Padalia et al., 2017b).

Based on essential oil composition of O. basilicum, four major chemotypes (methyl chavicol, linalool, methyl eugenol, and methyl cinnamate type) and numerous subtypes with mixed proportion of these constituents were identified in O. basilicum (Lawrence, 1988). However, a wide variety of chemotypes, the main components are camphor, citral, ocimene, methyl cinnamate, methyl eugenol, trans-βocimene, β -caryophyllene, and β -bisabolene, have been identified in germplasms around the world (Baydar, 2016). In this study conducted with domestic and foreign basil genotypes in Turkey, according to essential oil components, twelve chemotypes were identified; these ones are Linalool, Linalool/Methyl chavicol, Linalool/Methyl eugenol, Linalool/Eucalyptol, Linalool/Eugenol, Methyl chavicol, Methyl eugenol, Methyl cinnamate/Linalool, Citral, Guaiene, Bisabolene/Estragole and Caryophyllene (Telci et al., 2015). In the present study, it was determined that Largesweet and Midnight cultivars were of the linalool chemotype, while the Malatya genotype was of the linalool/methyl cinnamate Z chemotype. Especially in the Malatya genotype, the increase in methyl cinnamate Z ratio in the 2^{nd} harvest was remarkable. Linalool is a more common compound as the main component in basil samples. Besides, most of the basil population and genotypes are classified as linalool-rich chemotypes (Telci et al., 2006). Linalool is a strong aromatic compound in the monoterpene group, while methyl cinnamate is a strong aromatic compound in the phenylpropanoid group, which is the methyl ester of cinnamic acid. Linalool, methyl cinnamate and their mixture are preferable for cultivation in pharmaceutical, cosmetic and food industries (Letizia et al.,

2003; Telci, et al., 2006; Bhatia et al., 2007) and some authors have reported their repellent, insecticidal and larvicidal activities (Peterson et al., 2000; Nour et al., 2009; Dekker et al., 2011). Linalool and Methyl cinnamate is an important ingredient used in many fragrance compounds. It may be found in fragrances used in decorative cosmetics, fine fragrances, shampoos, toilet soaps and other toiletries as well as in non-cosmetic products such as household cleaners and detergents. Linalool's worldwide use is in the region of >1000 metric tons and Methyl cinnamate's worldwide use is in the region of 10-100 metric tonnes per annum (Letizia et al., 2003; Bhatia et al., 2007). Effective substances in medicinal and aromatic plants vary according to the genetic structure of the plant, the ecological conditions in which it grows, and agronomic processes (Sangwan et al., 2001; Telci et al., 2010; Telci et al., 2011). Differences determined in essential oil components have been shaped by different growth periods and changing climatic conditions, especially by the genotypic structure of the plants.

Conclusion

As a result of this study, it has been demonstrated that basil cultivation can be performed successfully in Bursa. When the genotypes of the factors discussed in the study were evaluated, it was found that the Malatya genotype stood out in terms of agronomic characteristics, followed by the Largesweet and Midnight varieties. It was also noted that the 50% flowering period was in the 1st place in terms of agricultural characteristics. In terms of the essential oil ratio, higher values were obtained at the end of flowering period. It was concluded that it would be appropriate to harvest at the 50% flowering period for a higher dry leaf yield, and towards the end of flowering for a higher essential oil ratio. In general, the 1st harvest achieved higher data than the 2nd harvest. In terms of essential oil composition, it was determined that Largesweet and Midnight cultivars were of the linalool chemotype, while the Malatya genotype was of the linalool/methyl cinnamate Z chemotype. In addition, knowing the important biochemical changes that occur during plant development periods ensures that the harvest times and postharvest processes are adjusted to obtain quality products. This knowledge of genotype in terms of agricultural and technological characteristics can be exploited in the planning and execution of future breeding programs in basil.

Acknowledgement

Special thanks to Prof. Dr. Isa Telci (Isparta University of Applied Science Faculty of Agricultural), for providing the seeds of the Malatya genotype.

References

- Acikbas, Y. 2018. Comparison of yield and essential oil composition in basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) chemotypes with citral and estragole. *M.Sc. thesis (unpublished)*, Dept. of Field Crops, Süleyman Demirel Univ. (in Turkish).
- Anonymous. 2018. Bursa Region Climate Data. Turkish State Meteorological Service (Unpublished Records).
- Arabaci, O. and E. Bayram. 2004. The effect of nitrogen fertilization and different plant densities on some agronomic and technologic characteristic of *Ocimum basilicum* L. (Basil). J. Agron., 3(4): 255-262.
- Aslan, D.F. 2014. Determination of the ontogenetic and morphogenetic variability in different basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) genotypes.

- Bagdonaitė, E., P. Mártonfi, M. Repčák and J. Labokas. 2010. Variation in the contents of pseudohypericin and hypericin in *Hypericum perforatum* from Lithuania. J. Biochem. Sys. Ecol., 38(4): 634-640.
- Baydar, H. 2016. *Tibbi ve Aromatik Bitkiler Bilimi ve Teknolojisi*. Vol: 51. (Expanded 5. Ed.). Suleyman Demirel Univ Ziraat Fakültesi Yayınları Turkey, pp. 220-222.
- Bekhradi, F., M. Delshad, A. Marín, M.C. Luna, Y. Garrido, A. Kashi, M. Babalar and M. Gil. 2015. Effects of salt stress on physiological and postharvest quality characteristics of different Iranian genotypes of basil. J. Hort. Environ. Biotech., 56: 777-785.
- Bernstein, N., M. Kravchik and N. Dudai. 2010. Salinity-induced changes in essential oil, pigments and salts accumulation in sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum*) in relation to alterations of morphological development. *Ann. App. Biol.*, 156: 167-177.
- Bhatia, S.P., G.A. Wellington, J. Cocchiara, J. Lalko, C.S. Letizia and A.M. Api. 2007. A Fragrance material review on methyl cinnamate. *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 45(1): 113-119.
- Brant, R.S., J.E.B.P. Pinto, L.F. Rosa, C.J.B. Albuquerque, P.H. Ferri and R.M. Corrêa. 2009. Growth, content and composition of melissa essential oil grown under photoconverting mesh. *Ciência Rural.*, 39(5): 1401-1407.
- Cabar, B.S. 2016. Determination of some yield and quality components of sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) lines from different origins in Thrace regin. *M.Sc. thesis (unpublished)*. Dept. of Field Crops, Namık Kemal Univ., (in Turkish).
- Dekker, T., R. Ignell, M. Ghebru, R. Glinwood and R. Hopkins. 2011. Identification of mosquito repellent odours from *Ocimum forskolei. Parasit.*, 4(1): 1-7.
- Dudai, N. and F.C. Belanger. 2016. Aroma as a factor in the breeding process of fresh herbs – the case of basil. In: Havkin-Frankel D, Dudai N (eds) Biotechnology in flavor production. *John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.*, pp. 32-61.
- Dzida, K. 2010. Biological value and essential oil content in sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) depending on calcium fertilization and cultivar. *Acta Sci. Pol. Hort. Cultus*, 9: 153-161.
- Ebrahimi, S.N., J. Hadian, M.H. Mirjalil, A. Sonboli and M. Yousefzadi. 2008. Essential oil composition and antibacterial activity of *Thymus caramanicus* at different phenological stages. *J. Food Chem.*, 110(4): 927-931.
- Ekmekci, H. 2013. Tissue culture studies in basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.). *M.Sc. thesis (unpublished)*. Dept. of Biology, Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey Univ., (in Turkish).
- Ersahin, L. 2006. Quality and agronomic properties of sweet basil (Ocimum basilicum L.) grown in Diyarbakır ecological conditions. M Sc thesis (unpuplished). Department of Field Crops Institute of Natural and Applied Sciences University of Cukurova. (in Turkish).
- Gunay, E. and I. Telci. 2017. Determination of yield and quality characters of some basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) genotypes in Isparta ecological conditions. *Suleyman Demirel Univ. J. Faculty of Agri.*, 12(2): 100-109.
- Kacar, O., E. Goksu and N. Azkan. 2009. Agronomic properties and essential oil composition of basil varieties of landraces (*Ocimum* basilicum L.) in Turkey. Asian J. Chem., 21(4): 3151-3160.
- Karik, U., F. Cicek, E. Ogur, O. Cinar and D. Birol. 2014. Determination of some morpholocigal, yield and quality characteristics of basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) cultivars and landraces in Menemen ecological conditions. J. AARI., 24(2): 10-20.
- Kaya, A. and M. Inan. 2017. Effect of salicylic acid on some morphological, physiological and biochemical parameters of basil plant (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) which was subjected to salt (NaCl) stress. *Harran J. Agri. Food Sci.*, 21(3): 332-342.
- Lawrence, B.M. 1988. A further examination of the variation of Ocimum basilicum L. In: Flavors and Fragrances. (Eds.):
 B.M. Lawrence, B.D. Mookerjee and B.J. Willis. Proceedings of the 10th International Congress of Essential Oils, Fragrances, and Flavors: A World Perspective, Washington, DC, USA, 16–20 November 1986. Elsevier; Amsterdam, The Netherlands: 1988. pp. 161-170.

- Lee, S.J., K. Umano, T. Shibamoto and K.G. Lee. 2005. Identification of volatile components in basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) and thyme leaves (*Thymus vulgaris* L.) and their antioxidant properties. J. Food Chem., 91(1): 131-137.
- Lee, Y.L. and P. Ding. 2016. Production of essential oil in plants: ontogeny, secretory structures and seasonal variations. *Pertanika J. Sch. Res. Rev.*, 2(1): 1-10.
- Letizia, C.S., J. Cocchiara, J. Lalko and A.M. Api. 2003. Fragrance material review on linalool. *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 41(7): 943-964.
- M.Sc. thesis (unpublished), Dept. of Field Crops, Adnan Menderes Univ., (in Turkish).
- Marotti, M., R. Piccaglia and E. Giovenelli. 1996. Differences in essential oil composition of basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) Italian cultivars related to morphological characteristics. J. Agric. Food Chem., 44(12): 3926-3929.
- Moghaddam, D.A.M. 2010. Effects of different plant densities and nitrogen doses on yield, yield components, essential oil and composition of basil (*Ocimum basilicum L.*). *Ph. D. Thesis* (*unpublished*). Dept. of Field Crops, Ankara Univ. (in Turkish).
- Msaada, K., K. Hosni, M.B. Taarit, T. Chahed, M.E. Kchouk and B. Marzouk. 2007. Changes in essential oil composition of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) fruits during three stages of maturity. J. Food Chem., 102(4): 1131-1134.
- Naldan, H. 2017. Effects of different harvesting times on yield and yield components of basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) genotypes. *M.Sc. thesis (unpublished)*. Dept. of Field Crops, Atatürk Univ. (in Turkish).
- Nour, A.H., S.A. Elhussein, A.O. Nour and M.M. Yusoff. 2009. A study of the essential oils of four Sudanese accessions of basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) against *Anopheles mosquito* larvae. *Amer. J. Appl. Sci.*, 6(7): 1359-1363.
- Nurzyńska-Wierdak, R. 2007. Comparing the growth and flowering of selected basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) varieties. *Acta Agrobot.*, 60: 127-131.
- Nurzynska-Wierdak, R. 2013a. Morphological variability and essential oil composition of four *Ocimum basilicum* L. cultivars. *Mod. Phytomorphol.*, 3:115-118.
- Nurzyńska-Wierdak, R. 2013b. Does mineral fertilization modify essential oil content and chemical composition in medicinal plants. Acta Sci. Polonorum Hort. Cultus., 12(5): 3-16.
- Nurzyńska-Wierdak, R., A. Bogucka-Kocka, R. Kowalski and B. Borowski. 2012. Changes in the chemical composition of the essential oil of sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) depending on the plant growth stage. J. Chemija, 23(3): 216-222.
- Ozcan, M.M. 2014. Determination of certain yield traits and volatile oil contents of selected basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) genotypes according to cutting seasons. *M.Sc. thesis (unpublished).* Dept. of Field Crops, Ordu Univ. (in Turkish).
- Ozkan, E. 2014. Determination of yield and quality characters in basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) populations grown Samsun Tekkeköy ecological conditions. *M.Sc. thesis (unpublished)*. Dept. of Field Crops, Gaziosmanpaşa Univ. (in Turkish).
- Padalia, R.C., R.S. Verma and A. Chauhan. 2017a. Diurnal variations in aroma profile of *Ocimum basilicum L., O.* gratissimum L., O. americanum L., and O. kilimandscharicum Guerke. JEOR., 29(3): 248-261.
- Padalia, R.C., R.S. Verma, A. Chauhan, P. Goswami, V.R. Singh, S.K. Verma, M.P. Darokar, N. Singh, D. Shaikia and C.S. Chanotiya. 2017b. Essential oil composition and antimicrobial activity of methyl cinnamate-linalool chemovariant of *Ocimum basilicum* L. from India. *Rec. Nat. Prod.*, 11(2): 193-204.
- Paulus, D., R. Valmorbida and C.E. Ramos. 2019. Productivity and chemical composition of the essential oil of *Ocimum x citriodorum* Vis. according to ontogenetic and diurnal variation. J. App. Res. Med. Arom. Plants, 12: 59-65.
- Peterson, C.J., R. Tsao, A.L. Eggler and J.R. Coats. 2000. Insecticidal activity of cyanohydrin and monoterpenoid compounds. *Molecules*, 5(4): 648-654.
- Putievsky, E. 1983. Temperature and daylength influences on the growth and germination of sweet basil and oregano. *J. Hort. Sci.*, 58(4): 583-587.

- Saeb, K. and S. Gholamerzaee. 2012. Variation of essential oil composition of *Melissa officinalis* L. leaves during different stages of plant growth. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Biomed.*, 2(2): 547-549.
- Sangwan, N.S., A.H.A. Farooqi, F. Shabih and R.S. Sangwan. 2001. Regulation of essential oil production in plants. *Plant Growth Reg.*, 34(1): 3-21.
- Sellami, I.H., E. Maamouri, T. Chahed, W.A. Wannes, M.E. Kchouk and B. Marzouk. 2009. Effect of growth stages on the content and composition of the essential oil and phenolic fraction of sweet marjoram (Origanum marjorana L.). Ind. Crop Prod., 30(3): 395-402.
- Sifola, M.I. and G. Barbieri. 2006. Growth, yield and essential oil content of three cultivars of basil grown under different levels of nitrogen in the field. *Sci. Hort.*, 108: 408-413.
- Simon, J.E., M.R. Morales, W.B. Phippen, R.F. Vieira and Z. Hao. 1999. Basil: A source of aroma compounds and a popular culinary and ornamental herb. In: Perspectives on new crops and new uses. (Ed.): J. Janick. ASHS Press, Alexandria, VA. pp. 499-505.
- Singh, A.P., S. Dwivedi, S. Bharti, A. Srivastava, V. Singh and S.P.S. Khanuja. 2004. Phylogenetic relationships as in *Ocimum* revealed by RAPD markers. *Euphytica*, 136: 11-20.
- Sonmez, C., A.O.S. Soysal, A. Yıldırım, F. Berberoglu and E. Bayram. 2019. The effect of different cutting interval on some yield and quality characteristics of green and purple basils (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) types. J. AARI., 29(1): 39-49.
 Telci, İ., E. Bayram, G. Yılmaz and A.B. Avci. 2005.
- Telci, I., E. Bayram, G. Yılmaz and A.B. Avcı. 2005. Characterization of morphological, agronomic and technological characteristics of local basil (*Ocimum* spp.) populations cultivated in Turkey and selection of superior plants. *Tubitak-Togtag-3102 Project Final Report* (in Turkish).
- Telci, I., E. Bayram, G. Yilmaz and B. Avci. 2006. Variability in essential oil composition of Turkish basils (Ocimum basilicum L). Biochem. Syst. Ecol., 34(6): 489-497.
- Telci, I., I. Demirtas, E. Bayram, O. Arabaci and O. Kacar. 2010. Environmental variation on aroma components of pulegone/piperitone rich spearmint (*Mentha spicata* L.) J. Ind. Crops Prod., 32(3): 588-592.
- Telci, I., M. Elmastas, I. Demirtas, O. Kacar, Z. Aytac, E. Yılmaz and E. Bayram. 2015. Determination of different chemotypes by investigating the flavonoid and phenolic acid compositions of basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) cultivated in Turkey, variation of important compounds according to ecology and comparison of antioxidant potentials. *TUBITAK-TOVAG-*1110677 Project Final Report (in Turkish).
- Telci, I., O. Kacar, E. Bayram, O. Arabaci, I. Demirtas, G. Yılmaz, I. Ozcan, C. Sonmez and E. Goksu. 2011. The effect of ecological conditions on yield and quality traits of selected peppermint (*Mentha piperita* L.) clones. *Ind. Crops Prod.*, 34(1): 1193-1197.
- Toncer, O., S. Karaman, E. Diraz and S. Tansi. 2017. Essential oil composition of Ocimum basilicum L. at different phenological stages in semi-arid environmental conditions. *Fresenius Environ. Bull.*, 26(8): 5441-5446.
- Verma, R.S., R.C. Padaliaband and A. Chauha. 2012. Variation in the volatile terpenoids of two industrially important basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) cultivars during plant ontogenetic two different cropping seasons from India. J. Sci. Food Agri., 92(3): 626-631.
- Wichtl, M. 1971. Die pharmakogostich-chemisehe Untersuchung und Wertbestimmung von Drogen und galenischen Präparaten, Methoden der Analyse in der Chemie Band 12. Frankfurt and Main.
- Yaldiz, G., F. Gul and M. Kulak. 2015. Herb yield and chemical composition of basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) essential oil in relation to the different harvest period and cultivation conditions. *AJTCAM*, 12(6): 71-76.
- Yasmin, A., A. Aburigal, E.Y. Elmogtaba, M.E.S. Mirghani, A.A.M. Siribel, N.B. Hamza and I.H. Hussein. 2018. Effect of plant ontogeny on yield and chemical constituents of essential oil in sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) grown in Sudan. Proceedings of the International Conference Biotechnology Engineering, *ICBioE*, 18 September 19-20, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, pp. 149-151.

(Received for publication 12 May 2023)