

## BIOCHAR IN CONJUNCTION WITH REDUCED DOSES OF MINERAL FERTILIZERS INCREASED YIELD ATTRIBUTES AND YIELD OF RICE (CV. BRRI DHAN29)

MD. RAYHANUL HOQUE<sup>1</sup>, S.M. RAHMAN<sup>1</sup>, S.A. RUBA<sup>2</sup>, J. FERDOUS<sup>1</sup>, KAMRUNAHAR K.V<sup>1</sup>,  
MD. RAFIQU L ISLAM<sup>3</sup>, ZEKI ERDEN<sup>4</sup>, MUHAMMAD AAMIR IQBAL<sup>5</sup>, WALID SOUFAN<sup>6</sup>,  
ÇAĞDAŞ CAN TOPRAK<sup>4</sup> AND MOHAMMAD SOHIDUL ISLAM<sup>7\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Soil Science, Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University, Dinajpur-5200, Bangladesh

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Director, Bangladesh Agricultural Development Corporation (BADC), Thakurgaon, Bangladesh

<sup>3</sup>Department of Agronomy, Regional Agricultural Research Station (Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute),  
Ishurdi, Pabna, Bangladesh

<sup>4</sup>Department of field crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Siirt University, Turkey

<sup>5</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, LA 71272, USA

<sup>6</sup>Department of Plant Production, College of Food and Agriculture Sciences, King Saud University,  
P.O. Box 2460, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia

<sup>7</sup>Department of Agronomy, Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University, Dinajpur-5200, Bangladesh

\*Corresponding author's: [ag.islam.agn@tch.hstu.ac.bd](mailto:ag.islam.agn@tch.hstu.ac.bd)

### Abstract

Recently, biochar (BC) applied in optimized quantities has emerged as an effective organic amendment for improving the physico-chemical features of the soil along with boosting the yield attributes of cereals. In the research field of Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University (HSTU), Dinajpur a field experiment was directed to assess the impact of BC implication united with reduced doses of recommended chemical fertilizers (RDF including N, P, K and S) on the growth and yield of rice (cv. BRRI dhan29). It was comprised of five treatments viz. T<sub>1</sub>= Recommended doses of RDF, T<sub>2</sub> = BC 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>3</sub> = BC 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + half RDF, T<sub>4</sub>= Biochar 7.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + half RDF and T<sub>5</sub> = BC 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + half RDF. The experimental design was the regular arrangement of Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) along with four replications. The T<sub>1</sub> treatment recorded the maximum plant height (103.00 cm) and the number of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> (26.10) which remained statistically identical to T<sub>3</sub>. Likewise, T<sub>3</sub> out performed rest of regimes by recording the highest values of panicle length (24.89 cm), grains number panicle<sup>-1</sup> (195.40), filled grains number panicles<sup>-1</sup> (191.10), and 1000-grain weight (25.53 g). Moreover, the same treatment recorded for grain yield (7.82 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and straw yield (8.76 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was statistically at par to T<sub>1</sub> (7.46 and 8.72 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). Furthermore, T<sub>3</sub> also remained superior as for as biological yield (16.58 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) of rice was concerned. The outcomes of this trial reveal that BC (10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) application have potential to reduce CF dose up to 50% for improving the yield attributes and grain output of rice. (cv. BRRI dhan29).

**Key words:** Biochar, Pyrolysis, Synergistic effect, Integrated nutrient management.

### Introduction

In mid-November 2022, there were 8 billion people on the planet, compared to only 2.5 billion in 1950 and is predicted to increase by roughly 9.7 billion by 2050 (Anon., 2022). Food insecurity has been aggravated due to the COVID-19 pandemic from 2020 and ongoing Russia-Ukraine war. Nevertheless, to guarantee food and nutritional security, it appears inevitable to boost crop productivity per unit of land area.

Universally, rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the most significant cereal that are grown for human consumption (Islam *et al.*, 2021a, 2022; Alim *et al.*, 2023; Alam *et al.*, 2024), and contributing as a paramount food for the people of Southeast Asia accounting for over 76% of the calorific intake (Zhao *et al.*, 2020). Asian contributes more than 90% of the global rice production, and rice accounts for more than a quarter of the world caloric intake. Therefore, increasing rice production in a sustainable way can improve global food security. Bangladesh, which ranks third among the world's rice producers after China and India, produced 38.3 million tons of rice in 2022-2023 (Anon., 2023). The national average for Bangladesh is 3.25 t/ha, which is marginally greater than the 3.18 t ha<sup>-1</sup> average to far considerably less than Japan (5.00 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and China (4.74 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Anon., 2022). A considerable gap exists

between the current yield and the genetic potential of existing rice genotypes, necessitating the development of contemporary farming practices.

Chemical fertilizers (CF) are crucial commodities that can boost rice yields, specifically in Bangladesh, but their utilization efficacy is alarmingly inadequate. In contrast, fertilizer utilization efficiency is negatively affected by excessive fertilizers consumption (Zhang *et al.*, 2008) and degrades the soil quality (Zhu *et al.*, 2005). It is almost established that neither inorganic fertilizers nor sole organic input can contribute higher yield (Jobe, 2003). Due to our climatic conditions and higher cropping intensity, the organic matter reserve in soil declines rapidly. Therefore, to ensure higher yield along with maintaining sustainable soil productivity, joint application of inorganic and organic fertilizers would be alternative approach (Mahajan *et al.*, 2008). Efficient use of crop residues, farm wastes, and another one strategy to regulate soil fertility and health is to add nutrients and possibly soil amendments (DeLuca *et al.*, 2006). In this setting, the coordinated application of CF and BC has emerged as a biologically viable strategy to boost rice yield. The BC is a solid byproduct that is produced when organic matter is thermally oxidized in an oxygen-limited milieu, serves as a medium with fertilizing properties, contributing to increased rice production (Anon., 2014). This beneficial

relationship between inorganic fertilizers and carbon black has been deemed as a "synergistic impact" (Steiner *et al.*, 2007). Even though BC materials do not have plenty of nutrients, it could hold five times as much water as they weigh. During the field trials crops performed well when BC was applied conjointly with recommended fertilizers. Extensive investigation has been done by researchers regarding the use of BC as an amendment to enhance the fertility of paddy soil (Ly *et al.*, 2015; Si *et al.*, 2018; Kumputa *et al.*, 2019). To achieve optimal rice crop yields, a strategic approach involves combining BC with fertilizers. This combination aims to optimize rice production by minimizing the overall reliance on chemical fertilizers for plant development. Rice is being cultivated extensively with more inorganic fertilizers that are expensive too. When integrated into the soil, BC enhances fundamental chemical, biological, and physical attributes. This simultaneous improvement contributes to increased yield including crop biomass (Kookana *et al.*, 2011; Latawiec *et al.*, 2017). The BC, also referred to as black carbon, is a byproduct of pyrolysis with versatile agricultural benefits. Its application can enhance crop yields, decrease the need for fertilizers, and improve water and nutrient retention in the topsoil over an extended period. This is achieved through the minimization of nutrient leaching from the root zones of crops. The integration of BC into agricultural practices holds the promise of improving crop yields while concurrently mitigating negative environmental impacts (Spokas *et al.*, 2012). Ippolito *et al.*, (2012) showed that adding BC in conjunction with inorganic fertilizers to extremely worn and unfertile soils significantly improved crop development and yield. BC 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> increases the rice yield at about 57% (Zhang & Zaitun, 2012). The addition of BC into the soil has been shown to enhance various aspects of plant roots, including increased root biomass, improved root morphology, elevated concentrations of nutrients in roots, and the promotion of beneficial root-associated microbes (Vanek & Lehmann, 2015; Xiang *et al.*, 2017). Besides, BC effect on rice grain yield has remained inconsistent probably owing to varying quantities of application and raw material used for its preparation, consequently there has been limited adoption of BC as an organic amendment for rice cultivation.

Nonetheless, there is a scarcity of research regarding the influence of BC on soil health, plant growth, yield, and fertilizer use efficiency specifically for boro rice. Aiming to fill this knowledge vacuum, the current study examined how BC affected the characteristics of the soil attribute, yield and the effectiveness of fertilizer application in boro rice (cv. BRRI dhan29).

## Material and Method

**Location and duration:** In the year 2017 the trial was conveyed at the Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University research field in Dinajpur, Bangladesh (25°38" N latitude and 88°41" E longitude). The Old Himalayan Piedmont Plain (AEZ-1) is the region's Agro-ecological Zone, according to Anon., (2018), and the trial site is 37.5 meters above sea level.

**Weather condition:** During the crop growing phase,

average values of climatic data for the study site, including temperature, precipitation (mm), and relative humidity (RH %), are illustrated in (Fig. 1; Table 1). The mean monthly maximum temperatures ranged from 27.6 to 34.3°C, with an average of 31.10°C, while the mean monthly minimum temperatures varied between 8.0 and 22.3°C, averaging 15.18°C. Relative humidity ranged from 69% to 79%, and total precipitation was 474 mm averaging 94.8 mm during the normal growth period.




Fig. 1. Shows the effect of BC and inorganic fertilizers on unfilled grain panicle<sup>-1</sup> vs treatment.

**Soil properties:** The experimental plot was positioned on medium-high ground with sandy loam texture and a pH of 5.87. The soil composition included 0.91% organic matter, 0.09% total nitrogen (N), 14.60 µg g<sup>-1</sup> available phosphorus (P), 0.15 meq 100g<sup>-1</sup> available potassium (K), and 8.60 ppm available sulfur (S) based on the pre-sowing soil tests conducted at 0-15 cm depth. Table 2 gives a thorough summary of the examined soil's characteristics.

## Materials used

**Plant material:** The test crop utilized in this study was BRRI dhan29, selected for its role as the planting material. In 1994, Bangladesh Rice Research Institute (BRRI) developed it specifically for the boro rice season, BRRI dhan29 is recognized as an essential high-yielding variety. With a height ranging from 90 to 100 cm, a robust stem that minimizes lodging, and tolerance to leaf and sheath blight diseases, this variety has demonstrated a grain yield of around 8 t ha<sup>-1</sup> is considered well-adapted to local pedo-climatic conditions.

**BC:** BC, prepared from rice straw, was collected from commercial farm. BC contains a wide range of materials generally used as soil physical conditioner for improving air flow or dropping the bulk-density of heavy soils. The physio-chemical properties of BC were determined and are existed in (Table 3).

**Table 1. Weather data of the experimental location from January to May, 2017.**

| Months   | ** Temperature (°C) |         |         | *Precipitation (mm) | **Relative humidity (%) |
|----------|---------------------|---------|---------|---------------------|-------------------------|
|          | Minimum             | Maximum | Average |                     |                         |
| January  | 8.0                 | 27.6    | 17.80   | 5                   | 69.0                    |
| February | 11.2                | 30.0    | 20.60   | 0                   | 71.0                    |
| March    | 14.0                | 31.4    | 22.70   | 102                 | 72.0                    |
| April    | 20.4                | 32.2    | 26.30   | 192                 | 77.0                    |
| May      | 22.3                | 34.3    | 28.30   | 175                 | 79.0                    |

\* = Monthly total, \*\* = Monthly average, Source: Meteorological Station, HSTU, Dinajpur-5200

**Table 2. Physico-chemical properties of pre-sown soil of the experimental field.**

| Physical attributes                 |                  |   |   |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|---|---|
| Properties                          | Value (%)        |   | Extraction method   |
| Sand                                | 60.0             |   | -   |
| Silt                                | 27.0             |   | -   |
| Clay                                | 13.0             |   | -   |
| Textural class                      | Sandy loam       | Hydrometer method was employed and textural class was determined by following Marshall's Triangular coordinates as described in the USDA system |   |
| Chemical traits                     |                  |   |   |
| Properties                          | Analytical value | Critical value  | Extraction methods  |
| pH (1:1.25, Soil: H <sub>2</sub> O) | 5.87             | -   | Glass electrode type pH meter method. (soil-water ratio = 1:1.25)   |
| Organic matter (%)                  | 0.91             | -   | The method of wet oxidation was used and there after Van Bemmelen factor of 1.73 was employed for final calculations. |
| Total N (%)                         | 0.09             | 0.08  | By employing Micro-Kjeldahl apparatus   |
| Available P (ppm)                   | 14.60            | 10.00   | Olsen method  |
| Exchangeable K (me/100g soil)       | 0.15             | 0.12  | By using Flame photometer   |
| Available S (ppm)                   | 8.60             | 10.00   | Turbidity method involving the use of BaCl <sub>2</sub>   |

**Table 3. Composition of employing BC as a research tool.**

| Properties                             | Value       | Extraction method                        |
|--|-------------|--|
| pH (1:1.25, Soil: H <sub>2</sub> O)    | 9.87 (1:20) | 4500-H <sup>+</sup> . B                  |
| Organic carbon (%)                     | 22.5        | Wet oxidation method.                    |
| Total nitrogen (%)                     | 0.028       | Micro-Kjeldahl method                    |
| Available phosphorous (ppm)            | 32.48       | Olsen method                             |
| Exchangeable potassium (meq/100g soil) | 11.85       | Determined by Flame photometer           |
| Available sulfur (ppm)                 | 168.89      | Turbidity method using BaCl <sub>2</sub> |
| Ash content (%)                        | 15.2        | In-house                                 |
| Water holding capacity (%)             | 277         | Percolation Method                       |

**Experimental treatments and design:** The experiment encompassed five distinct treatments, namely i) T<sub>1</sub>= Recommended doses of fertilizers (RDF) (N, P, K and S), ii) T<sub>2</sub> = BC 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, iii) T<sub>3</sub> = BC 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + 50% RDF, iv) T<sub>4</sub>= BC 7.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + 50% RDF, and v) T<sub>5</sub> = BC 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + 50% RDF. The Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) was used in the experimental setup, with four replications. Each plot had a unit size of 2.5m x 2.0m, totaling 5 m<sup>2</sup>, and an irrigation channel, 50 cm in width, was constructed around each individual plot.

**Experimentation:** The BRRI dhan29 seeds were acquired from the Bangladesh Agricultural Development Corporation (BADCO) located in Dinajpur, Bangladesh. The selection of viable seeds was meticulously carried out using the specific gravity method, involving immersion in water for 24 hrs. Subsequently, the seeds were extracted

and densely packed in a gunny bag. After soaking in water and maintaining a moist condition for 48 hrs to stimulate sprouting. The soil puddling was performed for ensuring the removal of weeds and stubble, and proper leveling of the land. The sprouted seeds were sown using the broadcast method on the wet bed on December 2, 2016. Considerable concern was seized to foster healthy seedlings in the seedbed, involving consistent weeding and irrigation maintenance. The main field plots within each block underwent thorough preparation through plowing, cross plowing with a power tiller, and spading, followed by leveling just before the scheduled transplanting on January 10, 2017. For land preparation, fertilizers such as urea, triple super phosphate (TSP), muriate of potash (MOP), and gypsum were employed at rates of 250, 100, 200, and 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The full doses of TSP, MOP, gypsum, and finely ground BC were incorporated during

final land preparation. Urea application was divided into three equal splits, with the first portion was administered at 7 days after transplantation (DAT), the second as top dressing at 30 DAT, and the last doses at 60 DAT during the panicle initiation stage. Carefully uprooted 40-days-old seedlings were then transplanted on January 10, 2017 in such a way that there were three seedlings per hill. Stringent measures were implemented for weeding, water management, and insect and pest control throughout the growth period to ensure optimal crop development. The fully ripened rice was harvested on May 26, 2017.

### Data collection

**Plant parameters:** The data was recorded during the growing period and after harvesting i.e., plant height (cm), number of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>, panicle length (cm), number of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>, number of filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>, number of unfilled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>, weight of 1000 grains (g), grain yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>), straw yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and biological yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>), and harvest index (%) (Fageria *et al.*, 2009).

**Sterility (%):** Separate counting of both sterile and filled spikelets was done by using 10 randomly selected panicles, whereas spikelet sterility was acknowledged by following the equation stated by Islam *et al.*, (2021).

$$\text{Spikelet sterility (\%)} = \frac{\text{Sterile spikelets panicle}^{-1}}{\text{Total spikelets panicle}^{-1}} \times 100$$

**Agronomic Efficiency (AE) of fertilizers:** The AE was determined by following the equation suggested by Shah *et al.*, (2001).

$$\text{AE of N} = \frac{\text{GYNA} - \text{GYN0}}{\text{NR}}$$

Where,

GYNA = Grain yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) after fertilizer addition,

GYN0 = Grain yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) without fertilizer,

NR = Rate of fertilizer addition (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

**Soil parameters:** At the Department of Soil Science, HSTU, Dinajpur, an in-depth analysis of soil samples extracted from the field both before harvesting and after transplanting was conducted. Various methods were employed to investigate the chemical characteristics of the soil, encompassing the use of the glass electrode pH meter, wet oxidation, Semi micro-kjeldahl, Olsen, ammonium acetate extraction, and CaCl<sub>2</sub> extraction. These methods enabled the examination of crucial soil chemical properties, such as pH, organic matter, total nitrogen, available phosphorus, exchangeable potassium, and available sulfur.

### Statistical analysis

The data collected underwent analysis of variance (ANOVA) utilizing the RCBD with the assistance of the computer package programs MSTAT-C and SPSS. To assess the differences among the treatment means, the Fisher's Test (FT) was applied (Fisher, 2012), following the methodology outlined by Gomez & Gomez (1984).

## Results and Discussion

### Plant characteristic

**Plant height (cm):** The plant height of BRR1 dhan29 exhibited variations because of the various treatments applied in the study. The maximum plant height, recorded at 103.0 cm, was noted in treatment T<sub>1</sub>. This height was statistically comparable to the plant height in treatment T<sub>3</sub>, which exhibited a value of 99.93 cm (Table 4) and the most dwarf (90.80 cm) plants were distinctly observed in treatment T<sub>5</sub>. Plant heights was similar with application of BC and half RDF in the treatment T<sub>3</sub> because BC helped to uptake higher amount of nutrients from fertilizers by favoring higher organic matter content in soil (Table 6) which might have prevented leaching losses of N resulting increased vegetative growth and increased plant height. The incorporation of BC into the soil, coupled with a reduction in inorganic fertilizers, resulted in increased levels of soil contains N, P, K, and S (refer to Table 6) that enhanced plant growth and increased plant height. The outcomes correspond with Kim *et al.*, (2013), Khan *et al.*, (2013, 2018) who reported that BC significantly increased the plant height.

### Yield contributing characteristics

**Number of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>:** In terms of number of tillers per hill (NT), treatment T<sub>1</sub> exhibited the highest TN (26.10) that was statistically equivalent to treatment T<sub>3</sub> (25.17) (Table 4). Additionally, T<sub>2</sub> resulted in the lowest NT, (18.43). The studies demonstrated that adding BC to soil reduced the fertilizer requirement by 50% while achieving the same number of tillers (NT) as the control treatment using the full recommended dosage (100% RDF) without BC. Specifically, treatment T<sub>3</sub>, which combined 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of BC with 50% RDF, resulted in an 18.17% increase in NT compared to T<sub>5</sub>, which used 100% RDF and 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of BC. The BC applied had notable physio-chemical properties and a high pH, enhancing soil organic matter and nutrient availability, thus likely increasing NT. The effect of BC on NT varied with different BC and fertilizer doses, impacting plant growth and tiller numbers. Consistent findings in various field assessments (Zheng *et al.*, 2012; Khan *et al.*, 2013; Paiman & Effendy, 2020; Chen *et al.*, 2021) indicated that BC conserved more water and reduced nutrient leaching, significantly increasing NT in rice.

**Panicle length (cm):** The BC greatly lengthened the panicle both with and without inorganic fertilizers of BRR1 dhan29. However, the longest PL (24.89 cm) was recorded for T<sub>3</sub> involving BC (10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 50% RDF which was comparable to 100% RDF while only BC (10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) without inorganic fertilizers showed the shortest PL (19.46 cm). Liang *et al.*, (2016) invent that BC ameliorate PL and increased the number of grains. BC's ability to reduce soil nitrate-nitrogen leaching (Cao *et al.*, 2019), boost soil nutrient levels (Amin, 2018; Cong *et al.*, 2023), and promote higher plant biomass production (Liu *et al.*, 2021) suggests that using BC with RDF improves PL in rice. Liu *et al.*, (2016) showed a 10.53% increase in PL with BC application compared to no BC (Kamara *et al.*, 2015).

**Number of total grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>:** The use of both organic and inorganic fertilizers had a substantial impact on the total number of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (TG) (Table 4). The BC and RDF applied jointly showed higher TG compared to sole BC. The maximum TG (195.40) was found when plants grown with BC (10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) along with half of the RDF (T<sub>3</sub>), which was statistically equivalent while using the only 100% RDF (T<sub>1</sub>). On the other hand, the lowest TG (160.00) was found in the treatment of T<sub>2</sub>. The results indicated that addition of BC without chemical fertilizers do not perform better, while BC with chemical fertilizers not only perform better but also reduced the requirement of RDF. Liang *et al.*, (2016) additionally documented that BC led to higher TG-induced PL.

**Number of filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>:** When BC and inorganic fertilizers were applied together, there was a noticeable difference in the quantity of filled grains per panicle (FG) (Table 4). However, the treatment T<sub>3</sub> recorded the highest count of FG (191.1), and this was statistically comparable to the count observed in the treatment T<sub>1</sub> (183.30). Adding BC with 50% conventional fertilization increased FG by 4.26% compared to conventional fertilization alone. The grain setting rate significantly improved with the coupled use of BC and chemical fertilizers (CF1), compared to using only CF or BC alone. The high carbon content in BC enhanced the number of grains and grain yield (Liu *et al.*, 2016; Gu *et al.*, 2022). Organic carbon, crucial for nutrient and water retention in soil (Wiesmeier *et al.*, 2019), in BC (Table 3) likely boosted the grain filling rate by ensuring nutrient and water availability during this stage.

**3.2.5 1000-grain weight (g):** The 1000-grain weight (TGW) of rice treated with BC and RDF significantly increased compared with the sole BC treated plants. Nevertheless, the treatment T<sub>3</sub>, involving BC at 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and 50% RDF, demonstrated the maximum TGW (25.53 g). This TGW was statistically similar (24.17 g) to the conventional fertilization treatment T<sub>1</sub>. Conversely, the minimum TGW (21.17 g) was observed in treatment T<sub>2</sub>, which incorporated BC at 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The order of TGW for rice followed the sequence T<sub>3</sub> > T<sub>1</sub> > T<sub>4</sub> > T<sub>5</sub> > T<sub>2</sub> among the treatments. Soil organic carbon content affects the soil's capacity to hold on to water and nutrients (Wiesmeier *et al.*, 2019), and the availability of water and nutrients during the rice grain filling stage increased the rice grain size, which in turn raised the weight of the 1000 grains. Unlike BC, the combination of organic matter (OM) and inorganic fertilizers remarkably enhanced the weight of 1000-grain of rice (Khatun *et al.*, 2018; Islam *et al.*, 2021).

**Sterility (%):** The combination of BC-treated blocks with inorganic fertilizers resulted in a noticeable alteration in the number of sterile grains per panicle. The FG of rice increased when BC was used in conjunction with a lesser amount of inorganic fertilizers. The emptiest grains per panicle were found in Treatment T<sub>2</sub> (19.15), whereas treatment T<sub>3</sub> exhibited the lowest value (3.57). Our results are consistent with Bahera *et al.*, (2020), who observed a

42.86% reduction in non-filled grains in rice with the addition of BC. Similarly, Islam *et al.*, (2021) reported a significant decrease in rice sterility percentage when cow dung was used as an amendment. Combining organic manure with inorganic fertilizer increased nutrient accumulation (Table 6), leading to improved plant growth and higher numbers of filled grains, consequently reducing sterility percentage.

### Crop harvests

**Grain yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>):** A substantial variance in grain yield is highlighted in Table 5. The maximum yield of grain was achieved by treatment T<sub>3</sub>, reaching 7.82 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, which, in terms of statistics, was analogous to the results from treatment T<sub>1</sub> at 7.46 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. But in contrast, T<sub>2</sub> yielded the minimum grain yield at 4.22 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, a statistic similarity with T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>5</sub>, which had yields of 6.31 and 5.97 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Zhang & Zaitun (2012) observed a 57% increase in rice yield when utilizing BC at a rate of 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Using BC to enhance low-quality soil has significantly increased rice yield, typically by 16% to 35% (Haefele *et al.*, 2011). BC application improves soil physical and chemical properties, fostering an optimal growth environment for rice (Shafie *et al.*, 2012). This improvement includes enhancing soil pH, cation exchange capacity (CEC), and organic carbon levels (Lehman *et al.*, 2003; Liang *et al.*, 2006), thereby ensuring better nutrient availability (Table 6) and leading to improved growth characteristics and higher grain yields (Table 3).

**Straw yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>):** The maximum straw production was achieved in treatment T<sub>2</sub>, which consisted of 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of BC mixed with 50% of the authorized amount of fertilizer at 8.76 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, a statistically similar result to treatment T<sub>1</sub> at 8.72 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The lowest straw yield of 6.21 t ha<sup>-1</sup> was observed in treatment T<sub>2</sub> (Table 5). Adding BC to rice significantly impacted the harvest index, particularly increasing straw yield, as shown by yield component analysis (Liu *et al.*, 2016). Augmenting biomass production is suggested as a viable approach to understand compensatory interactions among grain yield components, especially in rice (Huang *et al.*, 2013). BC use increased straw yield by 14% (Koyama & Hayashi, 2017) and 13% (Mac Carthy *et al.*, 2020).

**Biological yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>):** The biological yield (BY) was greatly affected by the application of BC, whether it was combined with inorganic fertilizers or applied alone. Statistically, treatments T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> were found to be identical, with T<sub>3</sub> having the highest BY at 16.58 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Treatment T<sub>2</sub>, as per Table 5, exhibited the least BY, measuring 10.43 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The use of BC in rice was shown to have the greatest effect on BY, according to yield component analysis (Liu *et al.*, 2016). The accession of BC to the soil resulted in the rise in soil permeability, soil water availability, organic carbon, soil pH, available phosphorus, exchangeable potassium, and calcium cation exchange capacity (CEC) ensuring a favorable environment for rice growth and may contribute to increased biomass weight (Masulili *et al.*, 2014).

**Table 4. Effects of BC and inorganic fertilizers on the yield and yield contributing traits of BRRI dhan29. Values are means of three independent replicates  $\pm$  standard error.**

| Treatments     | Plant height (cm)  | Tillers hill <sup>-1</sup> (no) | Panicle length (cm) | Number of grains panicle <sup>-1</sup> | Filled grains panicle <sup>-1</sup> | 1000-grain weight (g) |
|----------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> | 103.00a $\pm$ 0.03 | 26.10a $\pm$ 0.60               | 24.75a $\pm$ 0.19   | 192.50a $\pm$ 0.60                     | 183.30a $\pm$ 0.52                  | 24.17a $\pm$ 0.23     |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 92.33b $\pm$ 0.84  | 18.43c $\pm$ 0.30               | 19.46c $\pm$ 0.49   | 160.00c $\pm$ 0.35                     | 141.50c $\pm$ 0.35                  | 21.17c $\pm$ 0.47     |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 99.93a $\pm$ 0.57  | 25.17a $\pm$ 0.72               | 24.89a $\pm$ 0.26   | 195.40a $\pm$ 0.41                     | 191.10a $\pm$ 0.38                  | 25.53a $\pm$ 0.49     |
| T <sub>4</sub> | 92.63b $\pm$ 0.67  | 22.07b $\pm$ 0.60               | 22.22b $\pm$ 0.40   | 167.90b $\pm$ 0.97                     | 153.20b $\pm$ 0.53                  | 23.83ab $\pm$ 0.50    |
| T <sub>5</sub> | 90.80b $\pm$ 0.42  | 21.30b $\pm$ 0.26               | 23.43ab $\pm$ 0.34  | 162.10b $\pm$ 0.49                     | 157.50b $\pm$ 0.29                  | 22.00bc $\pm$ 0.08    |
| CV (%)         | 3.13               | 3.10                            | 4.02                | 7.72                                   | 2.67                                | 3.10                  |

Different letters indicate significant differences among treatments ( $P < 0.05$  Fisher's test); T<sub>1</sub>= RD of N, P, K and S, T<sub>2</sub> = BC 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>3</sub> = BC 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + 50% RD of N, P, K and S, T<sub>4</sub> = BC 7.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + 50% RD of N, P, K and S and T<sub>5</sub> = BC 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + 50% RD of N, P, K and S; CV= Co-efficient of variance; Values are means of three independent replicates  $\pm$  standard error

**Table 5. Effects of BC and inorganic fertilizers about the output of BRRI dhan29.**

| Treatments     | Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Straw yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Biological yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Harvest index (%) |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> | 7.46a $\pm$ 0.02                  | 8.72a $\pm$ 0.02                  | 16.18ab $\pm$ 0.03                     | 46.11a $\pm$ 0.03 |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 4.22c $\pm$ 0.02                  | 6.21d $\pm$ 0.02                  | 10.43e $\pm$ 0.02                      | 40.46c $\pm$ 0.03 |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 7.82a $\pm$ 0.02                  | 8.76a $\pm$ 0.03                  | 16.58a $\pm$ 0.02                      | 47.17a $\pm$ 0.02 |
| T <sub>4</sub> | 6.31b $\pm$ 0.02                  | 7.93b $\pm$ 0.02                  | 14.24c $\pm$ 0.02                      | 44.31b $\pm$ 0.02 |
| T <sub>5</sub> | 5.97b $\pm$ 0.03                  | 7.61c $\pm$ 0.08                  | 13.58d $\pm$ 0.02                      | 43.96b $\pm$ 0.03 |
| CV (%)         | 6.47                              | 0.68                              | 1.18                                   | 0.11              |

Different letters indicate significant differences among treatments ( $P < 0.05$  Fisher's test); T<sub>1</sub>= RD of N, P, K and S, T<sub>2</sub> = BC 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>3</sub> = BC 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + 50% RD of N, P, K and S, T<sub>4</sub> = BC 7.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + 50% RD of N, P, K and S and T<sub>5</sub> = BC 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + 50% RD of N, P, K and S; CV= Co-efficient of variance; Values are means of three independent replicates  $\pm$  standard error

**Harvest index (%):** The impact of BC and inorganic fertilizers recorded statistically pronounced harvest index (HI). Nevertheless, the treatment T<sub>3</sub> recorded the highest percentage of HI at 47.17%, and the second-highest HI (46.11%) was observed in treatment T<sub>2</sub>, with their difference being negligible. Treatment T<sub>2</sub> had the lowest HI of 40.46%. Biochar (BC) application alone has been observed to decrease the HI of rice, as documented by Asai *et al.*, (2009) and Karer *et al.*, (2013). Combining BC with inorganic fertilizers in rice cultivation significantly impacted the HI, correlating with BY, as evidenced by yield component analysis (Liu *et al.*, 2016).

#### Effects of BC and fertilizers on soil properties

**Organic matter (%):** Soil characteristics were examined after harvest to determine the effect of BC and inorganic fertilizers (Table 6). The organic matter content in the control treatment T<sub>1</sub> was at its minimum (0.79%), while treatment T<sub>3</sub> exhibited the highest organic matter level (1.69%), representing a substantial 2.14-fold increase. Conversely, treatments T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>5</sub> showed a lowering in organic matter percentage due to the decreased doses of BC. The utilization of BC can lead to an increase in soil organic matter levels, that's mostly made up of insoluble C compounds (Reed *et al.*, 2017). Initially, introducing BC in low organic matter soils may increase native carbon losses, but over time, the priming effect of BC's labile carbon diminishes which stabilize through organo-mineral interactions with BC (Singh & Cowie, 2014). Pandian *et al.*, (2016) also reported that the addition of BC resulted in an increase in soil organic matter because of the carbon components that BC delivered, organic matter degradation by microorganisms and root exudates.

**Total nitrogen (%):** The results of the tests showed significant swings in percent total nitrogen (N). However, the highest percentage of total N 0.19 was found on

treatment T<sub>3</sub> which was 1.9-fold higher than the control treatment T<sub>1</sub> (0.10%) and treatment T<sub>2</sub> exhibited the lowest value of 0.05%. The incorporation of BC improves the availability of mineralizable N, namely ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) (Gao *et al.*, 2016). According to numerous studies (Jeffery *et al.*, 2011; Jones *et al.*, 2012; Abbruzzini *et al.*, 2019), soil N availability is improved by adding BC, N intake, and crop nitrogen use efficiency. Furthermore, Edwards *et al.*, (2018) found that higher quantities of BC promoted nitrification to avail N content.

**Available phosphorus (ppm):** The variation in soil phosphorus (P) availability was notably distinct among rice fields fertilized with organic and inorganic fertilizers, both individually and in combination. Treatment T<sub>3</sub> exhibited the highest P availability at 18.39 ppm, whereas treatment T<sub>2</sub> recorded the lowest at 11.71 ppm. Baquy *et al.*, (2020) observed that an increase in the density of negatively charged surfaces with the incorporation of BC contributed to greater P availability, facilitated by the electrostatic repulsion between soil colloids and various P species (H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, HPO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, and PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>). Additionally, BC increases P availability by preventing its leaching (Madiba *et al.*, 2016) and by mineralizing organic P via improved microbial growth (Dume *et al.*, 2017). The appliance of BC at a rate of 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted in improved soil P availability and absorption, especially in acidic and heavily textured soils characterized by low P content (Tesfaye *et al.*, 2021).

**Exchangeable potassium (me 100<sup>-1</sup> g soil):** Table 6 indicates a significant influence of integrated organic and inorganic fertilizer treatments on soil exchangeable potassium (K) concentration compared to their individual applications. Among the treatments, T<sub>3</sub>, which was implemented with reduced inorganic fertilizer application,

exhibited the highest exchangeable K concentration at 0.42 me 100<sup>-1</sup> g of soil, while T<sub>2</sub>, which did not include inorganic fertilizer, showed the lowest K at 0.21 me 100<sup>-1</sup> g of soil. Interestingly, the control group displayed no alteration in outcomes for T<sub>4</sub> or T<sub>5</sub> treatments, despite the use of less BC. Biochar stimulates soil microbes and plant life, influencing soil K availability for exchange (Limwikran *et al.*, 2018). Oram *et al.*, (2014) and Singh *et al.*, (2019) exhibited that BC added to inorganic K fertilizers increases soil K availability and stimulates bacterial growth in alfisols and entisols.

**Available sulphur (ppm):** Soil organic and inorganic amendments were shown to significantly alter the available sulfur (S) content. However, the most notable amount of available S was seen in treatment T<sub>3</sub> at 13.61 ppm, whereas the lowest concentration was found in treatment T<sub>2</sub> at 10.02 ppm. Soil containing BC increases the concentration of multiple trace elements, which are at relatively low amounts in BC (Rondon *et al.*, 2007), but are especially important for these autotrophic species which enhances photosynthesis efficiency and leads to a rise in yield (Suman *et al.*, 2018). Based on the findings of Liang *et al.*, (2016), who cited previous research by Glaser *et al.*, (2002), Lehmann & Rondon (2006), Yamato *et al.*, (2006), and Khan *et al.*, (2014), it was found that BC with finer particle sizes had an increased S content, which improved soil enzyme activity, chemical properties of soil (including the presence and holding of nutrients), soil physical properties, and biological properties (such as S reducing bacteria).

**Agronomic efficiency:** Agronomic efficiency (AE) is an important metric that evaluates the impact of each input relative to the output produced. The increase in yield relative to the control group for each unit of input, in this case fertilizers, is used to determine this yield-dependent

metric. The maximum amount of grain yield was found on treatment T<sub>3</sub> (28.80 kg, 72.00 kg, 36.00 kg and 72.00 kg, respectively (Table 7) with the application of per kg urea, TSP, MOP and gypsum which is 2.2, and 1.1-fold superior than the control treatment T<sub>1</sub> (12.96 kg, 32.40 kg, 16.20 kg and 64.80 kg grain per kg urea TSP, MOP and gypsum, respectively). The BC application effectively increased the N use efficiency by rising the nitrate carrying capacity of the soil, whereas it suppressed the nitrate reductase activity along with denitrification fluxes and leaching (Cao *et al.*, 2019; Liu *et al.*, 2021; Cong *et al.*, 2023).

**Correlation analysis among the studied traits:** Positive strong and weak association was found among the studied traits (Fig. 2). However, the PH showed significant positive (p=0.05) correlation only with NGPP, and rest of the characters exhibited positive non-significant relationship from each other's. The NGPP showed significant positive relationship with the FGPP, TPH, TGW, GY, BY, and non-significant relationship with the SY, HI and PL. The FGPP characteristic was positively correlated with almost all of the measures (p=0.05), with the exception of the TGW. Except PH, the TPH showed significantly positive association with rest of the parameters. There was a like pattern seen with GY and BY. The SY found significant positive association with majority of the traits except PH and NGPP. Same result was also recorded for HI. All of the analyzed features, with the exception of PH, NGPP, and TGW, showed a strong positive association with the PL. Positive association of two traits indicated that there was no threat to decreasing certain level of one trait when increased another trait, and elimination for one trait will automatically be well enough for the other (Islam *et al.*, 2019; Islam *et al.*, 2021; Islam *et al.*, 2021b; Islam *et al.*, 2023; Sayed *et al.*, 2024).

**Table 6. Effects of BC and inorganic fertilizers on soil properties.**

| Treatments     | Organic matter content (%) | Total nitrogen (%) | Available phosphorous (ppm) | Exchangeable potassium (me 100 <sup>-1</sup> g soil) | Available Sulfur (ppm) |
|----------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|--|------------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> | 0.79e ± 0.02               | 0.10b ± 0.02       | 14.48c ± 0.09               | 0.31c ± 0.02   | 13.35b ± 0.002         |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 0.93d ± 0.02               | 0.05c ± 0.01       | 11.71e ± 0.01               | 0.21d ± 0.00   | 10.02e ± 0.001         |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 1.69a ± 0.01               | 0.19a ± 0.02       | 18.39a ± 0.01               | 0.42a ± 0.01   | 13.61a ± 0.002         |
| T <sub>4</sub> | 1.23b ± 0.03               | 0.10b ± 0.02       | 14.8b ± 0.02                | 0.33bc ± 0.01  | 12.88c ± 0.002         |
| T <sub>5</sub> | 1.05c ± 0.02               | 0.10b ± 0.02       | 13.71d ± 0.02               | 0.33bc ± 0.02  | 12.33d ± 0.001         |
| <b>CV (%)</b>  | 3.36                       | 23.65              | 0.43                        | 5.29   | 0.03                   |

Different letters indicate significant differences among treatments (P < 0.05 Fisher's test); T<sub>1</sub>= RD of N, P, K and S, T<sub>2</sub> = BC 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>3</sub> = BC 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + 50% RD of N, P, K and S, T<sub>4</sub> = BC 7.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + 50% RD of N, P, K and S and T<sub>5</sub> = BC 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + 50% RD of N, P, K and S; CV= Co-efficient of variance; Values are means of three independent replicates ± standard error

**Table 7. Agronomic efficiency/nutrient use efficiency of NPKS fertilizers under BC amendent rice.**

| Treatments | GYNA (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | GYNO (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | NR (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |     |     |        | AE of fertilizer (Kg grain per kg applied fertilizers) |             |             |             |
|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----|-----|--------|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|            |                             |                             | Urea                      | TSP | MOP | Gypsum | Urea   | TSP         | MOP         | Gypsum      |
| T1         | 7460                        |                             | 250                       | 100 | 200 | 50     | 12.96d   | 32.40d      | 16.20d      | 64.80 b     |
| T2         | 4220                        | 4220                        | 0                         | 0   | 0   | 0      | 0.0e   | 0.0e        | 0.0e        | 0.0e        |
| T3         | 7820                        |                             | 125                       | 50  | 100 | 50     | 28.80a   | 72.00a      | 36.00a      | 72.00 a     |
| T4         | 6310                        |                             | 125                       | 50  | 100 | 50     | 16.72b   | 41.80b      | 20.90b      | 41.80 c     |
| T5         | 5970                        |                             | 125                       | 50  | 100 | 50     | 14.00c   | 35.00c      | 17.50c      | 35.00 d     |
| <b>CV%</b> |                             |                             |                           |     |     |        | <b>1.47</b>  | <b>1.52</b> | <b>1.56</b> | <b>0.85</b> |

GYNA= Grain yield with the addition of fertilizer, GYNO= Grain yield without fertilizer, NR= rate of fertilizer addition (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)




Fig. 2. Correlation co-efficient of yield components traits with grain yield of rice induced BC (PH= Plant height; TPH= Tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>; PL= Panicle length; NGPP= Number of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>; FGPP= Filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>; TGW=1000-grain weight; SY= Straw yield; BY= Biological yield ; HI= Harvest index; GY= Grain yield; \*= Significant at p=0.05; \*\*= Significant at p=0.01; \*\*\*= Significant at p=0.001).

## Conclusion

The findings of trial remained in line with the research hypothesis as different doses of biochar applied solely and in conjunction with reduced doses of fertilizers had varying effects on yield characteristics and yield of rice. These findings confirm that addition of biochar could be developed as a biologically viable strategy to diminish the use of chemical fertilizers and that too with reduction in the grain outcome of rice. From the recorded findings, we can recommend that rice growers in the region could utilize 10 tha<sup>-1</sup> biochar + half of recommended doses of N, P, K and S to attain the similar yield as that of conventional system. Moreover, this strategy had not only the efficiency to minimize the use of synthetic fertilizers but also can be developed as a potent farming practice to restrict the use of greenhouse gases emission from paddy fields.

## Acknowledgments

All the authors are thankful to Researchers Supporting Project number (RSP2025R390), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. This research was financed by National Science and Technology (NST), Ministry of Science and Technology University, Bangladesh and also thankful to Christian Commission for Development in Bangladesh (CCDB) for supplying Biochar to conduct the experiment smoothly. The authors thank Ayman ELSABAGH for his contributions and for the improvement of the paper.

## References

Abbruzzini, T.F., C.A. Davies, F.H. Toledo and C.E.P.C. Cerri. 2019. Dynamic biochar effects on nitrogen use efficiency, crop yield and soil nitrous oxide emissions during a tropical wheat-growing season. *J. Environ. Manag.*, 252: 109638. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.109638

Alam, M.M., A.M. Hossain, A. Hakim, M.R. Islam, W. Soufan, A. El Sabagh, M. Adnan and M.S. Islam. 2024. Application of vermicompost to boro rice (BRRI dhan28) can save phosphate fertilizer with sustaining productivity and soil fertility. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 56(1): DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/>

10.30848/PJB2024-1(18)

Alim, M.A., S.I. Hossain, A. Ditta, M.K. Hasan, M.R. Islam, A.S.M. Golam Hafeez, M.A.H. Khan, M.K. Chowdhury, M.H. Pramanik, I. Al-Ashkar, A. El Sabagh and M.S. Islam. 2023. Comparative efficacy of foliar plus soil application of urea versus conventional application methods for enhanced growth, yield, agronomic efficiency, and economic benefits in rice. *ACS Omega*. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.3c03483>

Amin, A.E.A.Z. 2018. Phosphorus dynamics and corn growth under applications of corn stalks biochar in a clay soil. *Arab. J. Geosci.*, 11: 379. doi: 10.1007/s12517-018-3719-8.

Anonymous. 2014. Climate Change. 2014. Mitigation of climate change. Contribution of working group III to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK; New York, USA.

Anonymous. 2018. (Fertilizer Recommendation Guide). Agroecological Regions of Bangladesh. In: Fertilizer Recommendation Guide 2018. Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council, Farm Gate, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh.

Anonymous. 2022. FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization). Statal YearBook. World Food and Agriculture 2022. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Rome, Italy. <https://doi.org/10.4060/cc2211en>.

Anonymous. 2022. Population. Peace, dignity and equality on a healthy planet. <https://www.un.org/en/global-issues/population>.

Anonymous. 2023. FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization). FAO Report 2023. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Rome, Italy.

Asai, H., B.K. Samson, H.M. Stephan, K. Songyikhangsuthor, K. Homma, Y. Kiyono, Y. Inoue, T. Shiraiwa and T. Horie. 2009. Biochar amendment techniques for upland rice production in Northern Laos 1 soil physical properties, leaf SPAD and grain yield. *Field Crops Res.*, 111(2): 81-84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2008.10.008>

Baqy, M.A.A., J. Jiang and R. Xu. 2020. Biochars derived from crop straws increased the availability of applied phosphorus fertilizer for maize in Ultisol and Oxisol. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 27(5): 5511-5522. doi:10.1007/s11356-019-06695-6.

Behera, J.K., P.K. Sharma, T. Behera, R.K. Koka and Arvind. 2020. Remediation of chromium toxicity by biochar, poultry manure and sewage sludge in rice (*Oryza sativa*) crop. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 9(3): 2294-2306.

Cao, H., L. Ning, M. Xun, F. Feng, P. Li and S. Yue. 2019. Biochar can increase nitrogen use efficiency of *Malus hupehensis* by modulating nitrate reduction of soil and root. *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, 135: 25-32. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2018.11.002

Chen, X., S. Yang, J. Ding, Z. Jiang and X. Sun. 2021. Effects of biochar addition on rice growth and yield under water-saving irrigation. *Water*, 13: 209. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w13020209>

Cong, M., Y. Hu, X. Sun, H. Yan, G. Yu, G. Tang, S. Chen, W. Xu and H. Jia. 2023. Long-term effects of biochar application on the growth and physiological characteristics of maize. *Front. Plant Sci.*, 14: 1172425. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1172425

DeLuca, T.H., M.D. MacKenzie, M.J. Gundale and W.E. Holben. 2006. Wild fire produced charcoal directly influences nitrogen cycling in forest ecosystems. *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J.*, 70: 448-453.

Dume, B., D. Ayele, A. Regassa and G. Berecha. 2017. Improving available phosphorus in acidic soil using biochar. *J. Soil Sci. Environ. Manage.*, 8: 87-94. doi:10.5897/JSEM2015.0540

Edwards, J.D., C.M. Pittelkow, A.D. Kent and W.H. Yang. 2018. Dynamic biochar effects on soil nitrous oxide emissions and underlying microbial processes during the maize growing season. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 122: 81-90. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.04.008



- Fageria, N.K., M.B., Filho, A. Moreira and C.M. Guimarães. 2009. Foliar fertilization of crop plants. *J. Plant Nutr.*, 32(6): 1044-1064.
- Fisher, W.D. 2012. On grouping for maximum homogeneity. *J. Amer. Stat. Assoc.*, 53(284): 789-798. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1958.10501479>
- Gao, J.H., X.J. Liu, Y. Zhang, J.L. Shen, W.X. Han, W.F. Zhang, P. Christie, K.W.T. Goulding, P.M. Vitousek and F.S. Zhang. 2016. Significant acidification in major Chinese crop lands. *Sci.*, 327: 1008-1010.
- Glaser, B., J. Lehmann and W. Zech. 2002. Ameliorating physical and chemical properties of highly weathered soils in the tropics with charcoal: A review. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 35: 219-230.
- Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez. 1984. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. John Wiley & Sons. NY, USA.
- Gu, W., Y. Wang, Z. Feng, D. Wu, H. Zhang, H. Yuan, Y. Sun, L. Xiu, W. Chen and W. Zhang. 2022. Long-term effects of biochar application with reduced chemical fertilizer on paddy soil properties and Japonica rice production system. *Front. Environ. Sci.*, 10: 902752. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2022.902752.
- Haefele, S., Y. Konboon, W. Wongboon, S. Amarante, A. Maarifat, E. Pfeiffer and C.J.F.C.R. Knoblauch. 2011. Effects and fate of biochar from rice residues in rice-based system. *Field Crops Res.*, 121(3): 430-40.
- Ippolito, J.A. and D.A. Laird. 2012. Environmental benefits of biochar. Biochar in agriculture - prospects and related implications. *Curr. Sci.*, 99: 1218-1225.
- Islam, M.R., A. Hossain, J. Hossain, M.A. Alam, M.M. Akhter, A.E. Sabagh, A.J. Aonti and M.S. Islam. 2023. Assessing the productivity and water use efficiency of two summer mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) genotypes grown under drought stress condition. *Gesunde Pflanzen*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10343-023-00960-y>
- Islam, M.R., M.A. Alam, M.M. Kamal, R. Zaman, A. Hossain, H. Alharby, A. Bamagoos, M. Farooq, J. Hossain, C. Barutcular, F. Çig and A. EL Sabagh. 2019. Assessing impact of thermal units on growth and development of mustard varieties grown under optimum sown conditions. *J. Agrometeorol.*, 21(3): 270-281.
- Islam, M.R., M.M. Kamal, M.F. Hossain, J. Hossain, M.G. Azam and M.S. Islam. 2021. Productivity and profitability of Turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) and Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) intercropping system for marginal farmers in North-Western Part of Bangladesh. *Philipp. Agric. Sci.*, 104(2): 114-123.
- Islam, M.S., I. Muhyidiyn, M.R. Islam, M.K. Hasan, A.S.M. Golam Hafeez, M.M. Hosen, H. Saneoka, A. Ueda, L. Liu, M. Naz, C. Barutcular, J. Lone, M.A. Raza, M.K. Chowdhury, A. El Sabagh and M. Erman. 2022. Soybean and sustainable agriculture for food security. Soybean-Recent Advances in Research and Applications. IntechOpen, pp. 1-26. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.104129>
- Islam, M.S., M.K. Hasan, B. Islam, N.A. Renu, M.A. Hakim, M.R. Islam, M.K. Chowdhury, A. Ueda, H. Saneoka, M. Ali Raza, S. Fahad, C. Barutcular, F. Çig, M. Erman and A. El Sabagh. 2021b. Responses of water and pigments status, dry matter partitioning, seed production, and traits of yield and quality to foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.). *Front. Agron.*, 2: 596850. doi: 10.3389/fagro.2020.596850
- Islam, M.S., M.K. Khatun, A.S.M. Golam Hafeez, M.K. Chowdhury, Ö. Konaşkan, F. Çiğ and A. El Sabagh. 2021a. The effect of zinc fertilization and cow dung on sterility and quantitative traits of rice. *J. Arid land Agri.*, 7: 60-67. doi: 10.25081/jaa. 2021.v7.6486
- Jeffery, S., F.G.A. Verheijen, M. Van Der Velde and A.C. Bastos. 2011. A quantitative review of the effects of biochar application to soils on crop productivity using meta-analysis. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 144: 175-187. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2011.08.015
- Jobe. 2003. Integrated nutrient management for increased rice production in the Inland Alleys of the Gambia. *Rice Res. Rev.*, WARDA Proc: 35-41.
- Jones, D.L., J. Rousk, G. Edwards-Jones, T.H. DeLuca and D.V. Murphy. 2012. Biochar-mediated changes in soil quality and plant growth in a three year field trial. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 45: 113-124. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.10.012
- Kamara, A., H.S. Kamara and M.S. Kamara. 2015. Effect of rice straw biochar on soil quality and the early growth and biomass yield of two rice varieties. *Agri. Sci.*, 6: 798-806.
- Karer, J., W. Barnhard, Z. Franz, K. Stefanie and S. Gerhard. 2013. Biochar application to temperate soils: Effects on nutrient uptake and crop yield under field conditions. *Agri. Food Sci.*, 22: 390-403.
- Khan, M.A., S. Khan, X. Ding, A. Khan and M. Alam. 2018. The effects of biochar and rice husk on adsorption and desorption of cadmium on to soils with different water conditions (upland and saturated). *Chemosphere*, 193: 1120-1126.
- Khan, S., C. Chao, M. Waqas, H.P.H. Arp and Y.G. Zhu. 2013. Sewage sludge biochar influence upon rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) yield, metal bioaccumulation and greenhouse gas emissions from acidic paddy soil. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 47: 8624-8632.
- Khan, T.F., M.M. Ahmed and S.M. Imamul Huq. 2014. Effects of biochar on the abundance of three agriculturally important soil bacteria. *J. Agri. Chem. Env.*, 3: 31-39.
- Khatun, M.K., M.K. Hasan, M.S. Rumi, A. EL Sabagh. and M.S. Islam. 2018. Response of growth and yield attributes of aromatic rice to cow dung and zinc fertilization. *Azarian J. Agric.*, 5(5): 151-159.
- Kim, P., A.M. Johnson, M.E. Essington, M. Radosevich, W.T. Kwon, S.H. Lee, T.G. Rials and N. Labbe. 2013. Effect of pH on surface characteristics of switchgrass-derived biochars produced by fast pyrolysis. *Chemosphere*, 90: 2623-2630.
- Kookana, R.S., A.K. Sarmah and L. ZwieterVan. 2011. Biochar application to soil: agronomic and environmental benefits and unintended consequences. *Adv. Agron.*, 112: 103-143.
- Koyama, S. and H. Hayashi. 2017. Rice yield and soil carbon dynamics over three years of applying rice husk charcoal to an Andosol paddy field. *Plant Prod. Sci.*, 20: 176-182.
- Kumputa, S., P., Vityakon, P. Saenjan and P. Lawongsa. 2019. Carbonaceous greenhouse gases and microbial abundance in paddy soil under combined biochar and rice straw amendment. *Agron.*, 9: 228.
- Latawiec, A.E., J.B. Królczyk, M. Kuboń, K. Szwedziak, A. Drosik, E. Polańczyk, K. Grotkiewicz and B.B.N. Strassburg. 2017. Willingness to adopt biochar in agriculture: The producer's perspective. *Sustainability*, 9: 655.
- Lehmann, J. and M. Rondon. 2006. Bio-char soil management on highly weathered soils in the tropics. In: Uphoff NT (Ed.), Biological approaches to sustainable soil systems. CRC Press, Boca Raton. pp. 517-530.
- Liang, C., G. Gasco, S. Fu, A. Méndez and J. Paz-Ferreiro. 2016. Biochar from pruning residues as a soil amendment: effects of pyrolysis temperature and particle size. *Soil Tillage Res.*, 164: 3-10.
- Limwikran, T., I. Kheoruenromne, A. Suddhiprakarn, N. Prakongkep and R.J. Gilkes. 2018. Dissolution of K, Ca, and P from biochar grains in tropical soils. *Geoderma*, 312: 139-150.
- Liu, X.X., D.T. Wu, W.F. Zhu, Y.B. Tao, J.J. Wang and Y.D. Chen. 2016. Effects of exogenous biochar addition on rice yield and soil properties. *J. Zhejiang Agric. Sci.*, 57: 1776-1779.
- Liu, Z., X. Wu, S. Li, W. Liu, R. Bian and X.J. Zhang. 2021. Quantitative assessment of the effects of biochar amendment on photosynthetic carbon assimilation and dynamics in a rice-soil system. *New Phytol.*, 232: 1250-1258. doi: 10.1111/nph.17651
- Ly, P., Q. Duong Vu, L.S. Jensen, A. Pandey and A. de Neergaard. 2015. Effects of rice straw, biochar and mineral fertiliser on methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O)

- emissions from rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) grown in a rain-fed lowland rice soil of Cambodia: A pot experiment. *Paddy Water Environ.*, 13: 465-475.
- MacCarthy D.S., E. Darko, E.K. Nartey, S.G.K. Adiku and A. Tettey. 2020. Integrating biochar and inorganic fertilizer improves productivity and profitability of irrigated rice in Ghana, West Africa. *Agronomy*, 10: 904; doi:10.3390/agronomy10060904
- Madiba, O.F., Z.M. Solaiman, J.K. Carson and D.V. Murphy. 2016. Biochar increases availability and uptake of phosphorus to wheat under leaching conditions. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 52: 439-446. doi:10.1007/s00374-016-1099-3
- Mahajan, A., R.M. Bhagar and R.D. Gupta. 2008. Integrated nutrient management in sustainable rice-wheat cropping system for food security in India. *J. Agric.*, 6: 29-32.
- Masulili, A., W. Utomo and M.S. Syechfani. 2014. Rice husk biochar for rice based cropping system in acid soil 1. The characteristics of rice husk biochar and its influence on the properties of acid sulfate soils and rice growth in West Kalimantan, Indonesia. *J. Agri. Sci.*, 2(1): 39-47.
- Olsen, S.R. 1954. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. 939. US Department of Agriculture, USA.
- Oram, N.J., T.F.J. van de Voorde, G.J. Ouweland, T.M. Bezemer, L. Mommer, S. Jeffery and J.W. Van Groenigen. 2014. Soil amendment with biochar increases the competitive ability of legumes via increased potassium availability. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 191: 92-98. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2014.03.031
- Paiman P and I. Effendy. 2020. The effect of soil water content and biochar on rice cultivation in polybag. *J. Open Agric.*, 5: 117-125. <https://doi.org/10.1515/opag-2020-0012>
- Pandian, K., P. Subramaniayan, P. Gnasekaran and S. Chitraputhirapillai. 2016. Effect of biochar amendment on soil physical, chemical and biological properties and groundnut yield in rainfed Alfisol of semi-arid tropics. *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.*, 62: 1293-1310. doi:10.1080/03650340.2016.1139086
- Reed, E.Y., D.R. Chadwick, P.W. Hill and D.L. Jones. 2017. Critical comparison of the impact of biochar and wood ash on soil organic matter cycling and grassland productivity. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 110: 134-142. doi:10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2017.03.012.
- Rondon, M., J. Lehmann, J. Ramirez and M. Hurtado. 2007. Biological nitrogen fixation by common beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) increases with biochar additions. *Biol. Fertil. Soil.*, 43: 699-708.
- Sayed, Z.I.M., M. Hosen, M.H. Rahman, M. Morium, M.R. Islam, M.K. Kubra, M.A. Hossain, M.S. Khatun, M.O. Shaddam, M.R. Islam, M.A. Iqbal, W. Soufan, A. El Sabagh and M.S. Islam. 2024. Effect of boron and zinc on growth, yield attributes, yield and nutrient bio-fortification of grass pea (*Lathyrus sativus* L.) in Old Himalayan Piedmont Plain. *Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res.*, 22(3): 2277-2305. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.15666/aecr/2203\\_22772305](http://dx.doi.org/10.15666/aecr/2203_22772305)
- Shafie, S.T., M.A. Salleh, L.L. Hang, M. Rahman and W.A.W.A.K. Ghani. 2013. Effect of pyrolysis temperature on the biochar nutrient and water retention capacity. *J. Purity Utility React. Environ.*, 1: 293-307.
- Shah, K.H., M.Y. Memon, S.H. Siddiqui and M. Aslam. 2001. Response of wheat to broadcast and fertigation technique or P application. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.*, 4: 543-545.
- Si, L., Y. Xie, Q. Ma and L. Wu. 2018. The short-term effects of rice straw biochar, nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer on rice yield and soil properties in a cold waterlogged paddy field. *Sustainability*, 10(2): 537. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10020537>
- Singh, A., A.P. Singh and T.J. Purakayastha. 2019. Characterization of biochar and their influence on microbial activities and potassium availability in an acid soil. *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.*, 65: 1302-1315. doi:10.1080/03650340.2018.1563291
- Singh, B.P. and A.L. Cowie. 2014. Long-term influence of biochar on native organic carbon mineralisation in a low-carbon clayey soil. *Sci. Rep.*, 4(1): 1-9. doi:10.1038/srep03687.
- Spokas, K.A., W.C. Koskinen, J.M. Baker and D.C. Reicosky. 2012. Impacts of woodchip additions on greenhouse gas production and sorption/degradation of two herbicides in a Minnesota soil. *Chemosphere*, 77: 574-581.
- Steiner, C., W.G. Teixeira, J. Lehmann, J.L.V. Macêdo, W.E.H. Blum and W. Zech. 2007. Long term effects of manure, charcoal and mineral fertilization on crop production and fertility on a highly weathered Central Amazonian upland soil. *Plant Soil*, 291: 275-290.
- Suman, J., B.S. Dwivedi, A.K. Dwivedi and S.K. Pandey. 2018. Interaction effect of phosphorus and sulphur on yield and quality of soybean in a vertisol. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 7(3): 152-158.
- Tesfaye, F., X. Liu, J. Zheng, K. Cheng, R. Bian and X. Zhang. 2021. Could biochar amendment be a tool to improve soil availability and plant uptake of phosphorus? A meta-analysis of published experiments. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 28: 34108-34120. doi:10.1007/s11356-021-14119-7
- Vanek, S.J. and J. Lehmann. 2015. Phosphorus availability to beans via interactions between mycorrhizas and biochar. *Plant Soil*, 395: 105-123.
- Walkley, A. and A. Black. 1934. Organic matter was determined by wet digestion: An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter, and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Sci.*, 37: 29-38.
- Wiesmeier, M., L. Urbanski, E. Hobbey, B. Lang, M. von Lützw, E. Marin-Spiotta, B. van Wesemael, E. Rabot, M. Ließ and N. Garcia-Franco. 2019. Soil organic carbon storage as a key function of soils-A review of drivers and indicators at various scales. *Geoderma*, 333: 149-162.
- Xiang, Y., Q. Deng, H. Duan and Y. Guo. 2017. Effects of biochar application on root traits: A meta-analysis. *GCB Bioener.*, 9(10): 1563-1572. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12449>
- Yamato, M., Y. Okimori, I.F. Wibowo, S. Anshori and M. Ogawa. 2006. Effects of the application of charred bark of *Acacia mangium* on the yield of maize, cowpea and peanut and soil chemical properties in South Sumatra, Indonesia. *Soil Sci. Plant. Nutr.*, 52: 489-495.
- Zhang, A., R. Bian, G. Pan, L. Cui, Q. Hussain, L. Li, J. Zheng, J. Zheng, X. Zhang, X. Han and X. Yu. 2012. Effect of biochar amendment on soil quality, crop yield and greenhouse gas emission in Chinese rice paddy: A field study of two consecutive rice growing cycles. *Field Crop Res.*, 127: 153-160. DOI: 10.1016/j.fcr.2011.11.020
- Zhang, F.S., J.Q. Wang, W.F. Zhang, Z.L. Cui, W.Q. Ma, X.P. Chen and R.F. Jiang. 2008. Nutrient use efficiencies of major cereal crops in China and measures for improvement. *Acta Pedol. Sin.*, 45: 915-924.
- Zhao, M., Y. Lin and H. Chen. 2020. Improving nutritional quality of rice for human health. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 133: 1397-1413
- Zheng, R.L., C. Cai, J.H. Liang, Q. Huang, Z. Chen, Y.Z. Huang, H.P.H. Arp and G.X. Sun. 2012. The effects of biochars from rice residue on the formation of iron plaque and the accumulation of Cd, Zn, Pb, As in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) seedlings. *Chemosphere*, 89: 856-862.
- Zhu, J.H., X.L. Li, P. Christie and J.L. Li. 2005. Environmental implications of low nitrogen use efficiency in excessively fertilized hot pepper (*Capsicum frutescens* L.) cropping systems. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 111: 70-80.