GENETIC ANALYSIS OF QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE TRAITS IN *BRASSICA NAPUS* **L. USING F2 PROGENIES OF DIALLEL CROSSES THROUGH HAYMAN'S APPROACH**

FAIZ UR REHMAN¹ , MUHAMMAD SALEEM KHAN² , MANSOOR ALI SHAH³ , SIKANDAR AZIZ⁴ , MUHAMMAD ALI SHAH⁵ AND SYED MAJID RASHEED³*

*Department of Agriculture, Bacha Khan University, Charsadda, Pakistan Department of Agricultural Sciences, University of Haripur, Haripur, Pakistan Department of Agriculture, Bacha Khan University, Charsadda, Pakistan Department of Soil and Environmental Sciences, The University of Agriculture, Peshawar, Pakistan Department of Agriculture, Bacha Khan University, Charsadda, Pakistan Department of Agriculture, Bacha Khan University, Charsadda, Pakistan *Corresponding author's e-mail: smrasheed@bkuc.edu.pk*

Abstract

This study was aimed at assessing a detailed genetic analysis of five parental populations of *Brassica napus* L. along with its F2 population using Hayman's approach. A significant contribution of F2 crosses was noted for important traits, including BKUC7 x BKUC8 for days to 50% flowering (67 days), BKUC3 x BKUC7 for maximum plant height (105.5 cm) and siliqua plant⁻¹ (86), BKUC3xBKUC8 for highest oil content (42.6%) and BKUC4 x BKUC8 for high protein content (27.4%). The results showed that self-combination of BKUC4 and BKUC8 had the least glucosinolate concentration (52.2%) while the highest level was of oleic acid (55.0%). Likewise, the lowest erucic acid concentration (41.7%) was recorded for BKUC2xBKUC4. A negative inbreeding depression for days to 50% flowering initiation (-2.48%) and glucosinolate (-17.79%) and linoleic acid (-43.13%) concentrations was recorded for P4, while that of erucic acid (-27.31%) was noted for P1. BKUC2 x BKUC3 has shown a desired negative heterosis of -14.86% and -19.43% for days to 50% flowering and erucic acid concentration, respectively. Similarly, BKUC2 x BKUC8 has shown a positive heterosis for oil content (13.18%). Protein content (4.83%) and oleic acid concentration (3.15%) were evident for BKUC4 x BKUC8, while BKUC2 x BKUC7 displayed a desired negative heterosis up to -21.14% for GSL. Statistical analysis has shown a significant role of GCA and SCA for all traits except siliqua plant⁻¹, which validates the active role of additive and non-additive genetics. Over-dominance and partial dominance gene action were mainly observed for all traits in this study. Overall, a high heritability and desirable positive and negative heterosis for most important traits, like oil content, erucic acid and glucosinolate concentrations, protein content, and days to 50% flowering were observed in our study. In conclusion, our findings provide new insights for future breeding studies on the F2 progenies of diallel crosses of *B. napus* L.

Key words: Combining ability, Heterosis study, Gene action, Heritability, Inbreeding depression.

Introduction

Brassica napus (L.; Brassicaceae) is cultivated globally and most renowned for its production of edible oil. The spontaneous hybridization of *B. rapa* L. and B. oleracea L., which have the genetic composition of diploid AA 20 and CC 18, respectively, produced an amphidiploid species *B. napus*, which has diploid AACC 38 genomes. (Iniguez-Luy & Federico, 2011). Because of its two main groups, mustard, and rapeseed, the importance of the *Brassica* genus has increased(Warwick *et al*., 2006). For many decades, *B. campestris*, *B. juncea*, and Taramira (*Eruca sativa*) have been grown in Pakistan for oil purposes (Turi *et al*., 2012). Overall, rapeseed has been ranked as the 3rd oil-producing crop and second to soybean worldwide. Likewise, the availability of protein rich rapeseed is an attractive and good source of animal feed containing 35 to 45% of protein and 0.35 % of phosphorus (Newkirk, 2009). Rapeseed oil is pivotal for manufacturing industries, being used in paints, inks, nylon making, highpressure grease, and coating (Aukema & Campbell, 2011).

Utilization of modern molecular breeding techniques are considered important to face the challenges of low yield and oil content in plants. There is much possibility that the genus Brassica can bridge this low yielding gap. Since 1980s, due to substantial efforts of plant breeding scientists, a little increase has been seen in quality oil production (Bacha *et al.*, 2015). To make rapeseed oil more

appealing, both the yield and quality are needed to be improved (Rameah *et al.*, 2003). The obvious solution to the overwhelming requirement of the time is to use heterosis breeding in conjunction with conventional breeding. Combining phenotypic analysis between parents and F1s with gene function studies allows for the ideal plant crossings to be utilized as future breeding material (Sabaghina *et al.*, 2010). Combining ability studies show yield associated parameters are strongly influenced by dominant gene activity, and it also aids in the selection of parents that will be good candidates for the next generation of breeding projects (Kang *et al.*, 2013).

To improve outcomes relating to quality and production, numerous researchers have studied heterosis and combining ability in Brassica. In *Brassica rapa*, positive heterosis (MP and BP) has been studied for yield and yield-related characteristics. Several researchers have examined and reported the same results in additional Brassica species(Sincik *et al.*, 2011). Understanding both additive and non-additive gene function types is essential for breeding populations. It aids in understanding the procedure for breeding that enhances the performance of desired features (Dudley & Moll, 1969). Once the variation is evident, the procedure for determining the heritable proportion of variability is critical to enhance the genetic gain. Thus, improvement in quantitative and quality parameters can be achieved by genetic diversity. Therefore, the focus of the current study was to evaluate the heritability and variability in F2 segregating populations in addition to parents and checks.

Keeping in view this scenario, an investigation was conducted to interrogate combining ability, heterosis, heterobeltiosis (MP and BP), inbreeding depression, and heritability among *B. napus* genotypes (F2 generation). At the same time, quality-related traits were also investigated to advance the breeding program for future variety development.

Material and Methods

Experimental site and genetic material: The present study was conducted at Bacha Khan Agriculture Research Farm (BARF) Charsadda, Pakistan (34.1369° N, 71.8382° E). Genetic material constituted a set of 5 (five) rapeseed genotypes viz., 2702, 2722, Dunkled, P-801 and P1-119.

Development of F¹ hybrids: At BARF, 5 distinct genotypes of adopted rapeseed gathered from the public sector were sown from 2017-18. A 5 x 5 diallel pattern was created using 10 direct crosses and 5 self's. For every cross, at least 5 flowers were pollinated randomly in selected plants in order to ensure an adequate number of seeds. The seeds were carefully separated and kept until the next year for planting.

Development of F² genotypes: Ten F1s, 5 self-genotypes, and 5 parental lines were sown at BARF in 2018–19 in order to assess inbreeding depression. Row length of two meter were kept for each entry. While 75 cm space between rows and plants were kept. Natural environment was provided throughout till harvest. Quantitative data was collected randomly, and 20-gram seed samples from each entry were analyzed at NIFA, Peshawar. The remaining seeds were preserved for sowing in the next growing season. In 2019–20, RCB (Randomized Complete Block) design was used to produce F2 populations of the aforementioned material at the same location alongside parental lines and three checks.

Data was recorded on the following agronomic (Days to 50% flowering, Number of Siliqua plant⁻¹, Plant height) and quality-related traits (oil content (%), protein content (%), glucosinolate concentration (%), oleic acid (%), linoleic acid (%) and erucic Acid (%)

Twenty grams (20) of seeds were packed and preserved with proper tags from each plot. The preserved seeds were subjected to qualitative traits analysis at NIFA (Peshawar) and quality traits were determined using Near Infra-Red Spectroscopy (NIRS). A list of the parental genotypes, checks, self's, and F2 population are given in Table 1.

Statistical analysis: The data were organized and presented for ANOVA (analysis of variance) using Statistix 8.1 software. Parameters that revealed significant F-value were further subjected to diallel analyses, using the DIALL98 software followed by model I method II as previously reported Model (Hayman, 1954). Inbreeding depression (ID) was calculated using the following formula:

ID% in F2 =
$$
[Sp-Si] \times 100 / Sp
$$

where, $Sp = Average$ mean of a parent. $Si = Average$ mean of self's.

Heterosis (Best parent and Mid parent) was elaborated using (Falconer, 1996) method.

Results

ANOVA of mean values of different traits: Mean sum of square of different parameters studies are presented in Table 2. The occurrence of variability in the examined material (F2 and parents with check) is shown in Table 3. The very first possible flowering and maturity gave the seed the best chance to develop into a larger, higher-quality seed at the right moment. When compared to checks, both parents and F2s (on average) have demonstrated earliness (Table 3). Checks for plant height performed better than those performed by parents. However, compared to checks, the majority of crosses had higher pH values. The number of siliqua plant⁻¹ increased, which in turn increased the rapeseed yield. With few exceptions, the checks' performance was significantly worse than that of the parents and F2, hence displayed relatively lowest values for the relevant parameter.

In comparison to checks, F2s and parents performed better in terms of oil content. The availability of a respectable genetic variation for protein content between parents and F2s was shown by analysis. In contrast, F2's performance was recorded as having a higher protein content than checks. GSL content was a determinant of rapeseed quality. Thus, a minimum GSL % was required. The checks performed better for GSL than the majority of the crosses and parents. Fewer crosses, however, showed noticeably better results. The concentration of oleic acid mostly determines the stability of oil. Except a few crosses that were found to perform better than checks, the average performance of checks for oleic acid was better than that of F2s and parents.

Lower levels of linoleic acid in oil were associated with better oil quality retention during cooking and storage. The examination of the parents and F2s with checks shows that, overall, they all responded similarly to the concentration of linoleic acid; nevertheless, a small number of crosses showed values that were lower or higher than what the checks performed. Because erucic acid causes fat to build in blood vessels and the heart, it is the most unwanted fatty acid in brassica oil and needs to be minimized. While the performance of a few crossings was closer to the values of the checks, the relative performance of checks was better than that of parents and F2s for EA. (see Table 3).

Combining ability analysis: Diallel crosses exhibited highly significant differences for both additive (a) and nonadditive (b) components, indicating the significance of both gene action types for days to 50% flowering. When comparing days to 50% flowering, a greater value for "a" (GCA) (18.97) than "b" (SCA) (3.98) suggested that additive effects are more advantageous. The frequency of dominant genes is shown by the non-significant value of b1 (1.25) and the significant values of b2 (122.95) $\&$ b3 (70.75) for siliqua plant⁻¹. The fact that b2 (3.50) has a greater value than b1 (1.76) and b3 (0.32) for protein content, indicates that dominant alleles play a role in regulating characteristic expression. The estimates of genetic factors showed that genotypes for glucosinolate, oleic acid, linoleic acid, erucic acid, plant height, siliqua plant⁻¹, oil content, and protein content were highly significant.

	Table 1. Elst of the parental generypes, encess, sell's and F2 population.											
S. No.	Parents	S.No	F₂ population	S.No.	F₂ population							
1.	BKUC2 (2702)	1.	BKUC2 x BKUC2 (self)	10.	BKUC4 x BKUC4 (self)							
2.	BKUC3 (2722)	2.	BKUC2 x BKUC3	11.	BKUC4 x BKUC7							
3.	BKUC4 (Dunkled)	3.	BKUC2 x BKUC4	12.	BKUC4 x BKUC8							
4.	BKUC7 (P-801)	4.	BKUC2 x BKUC7	13.	BKUC7xBKUC7 (self)							
5.	BKUC8 (P1-119)	5.	BKUC2 x BKUC8	14.	BKUC7 x BKUC8							
	Checks	6.	BKUC3 x BKUC3(self)	15.	BKUC8 x BKUC8 (self)							
	Check 1	7.	BKUC3 x BKUC4									
2.	Check 2	8.	BKUC3 x BKUC7									
3.	Check 3	9.	BKUC3 x BKUC8									

Table 1. List of the parental genotypes, checks, self's and F2 population.

Table 2. Mean sum of square for different quality-related characters and CV (%) in parental and F² diallel population of *Brassica napus* **L.**

	F2 dialier population of <i>brassica napus</i> L.											
SOV	Days to 50% flowering	Plant height (cm)	Siliqua plant ⁻¹	Oil%	Protein%	GSL	Oleic acid	Linoleic acid	Erucic acid			
Genotypes	$10.231**$	$169.031**$	$106.8**$	$8.71**$	$2.976**$	158.81**	$32.505**$	$3.417**$	57.687**			
$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}$. . 35	8.71	5.29	. .69	. .20	2.74	0.27	0.79	0.19			

Table 3. Mean performance of different genotypes, selfed lines, parental lines, and checks for days to 50% flowering, plant height (cm), Siliqua per plant, oil content (%), protein content (%), glucosinolate (GSL) content (%), oleic acid (%), linoleic acid (%), and erucic acid (%) in *B. napus*

Letters indicating the significant difference among all genotypes for different traits. Values are means ± standard deviation.

	protein content, GSL content, officially imolele acid, and erucic acid.							
SOV	Genotypes	GCA(a)	SCA(b)	b1	b2	b3	Error	
DF	24	4	10		4		48	
Days to 50% flowering	$10.53**$	$18.976**$	$3.981**$	0.653	$7.762**$	$1.622*$	0.768	
Plant height	$161.613**$	228.268*	$121.227*$	83.424	102.655	143.646	54.890	
Siliqua plant ⁻¹	131.373**	79.618	84.543**	1.254	122.595**	70.758*	17.535	
Oil content	$10.141**$	9.808**	$6.308**$	$0.740*$	$7.241**$	$6.676**$	0.110	
Protein content	$2.867**$	$2.435**$	$1.740**$	1.763	$3.508**$	$0.321*$	0.112	
Glucosinolate	151.434**	$208.675**$	159.648**	23.019	$150.560**$	194.244**	4.237	
Oleic acid	$36.587**$	$37.37**$	$36.38**$	73.30**	41.954**	24.47**	0.021	
Linoleic acid	$4.194**$	$1.461**$	$6.336**$	$16.240**$	$4.113**$	$6.135**$	0.005	
Erucic acid	$36.451**$	42.921**	$35.154**$	$21.600**$	73.089**	$7.516**$	0.006	

Table 4. Estimates of genetic parameters for days to 50% flowering, plant height (cm), siliqua plant-1 , oil content, protein content, GSL content, oleic acid, linoleic acid, and erucic acid.

Single * and double ** represent significant (p<0.05) and highly significant (p<0.01) results for combining ability, respectively. GCA (General combining ability), SCA (Specific combining ability), and b1 b2, and b3 are the various components of specific combining ability

Table 5. Inbreeding depression (%) for days to 50% flowering, plant height, siliqua plant-1 , oil content, protein content, GSL content, oleic acid, linoleic acid and erucic acid.

	Genotypes									
Parameters	P_1 (self)	P_2 (self)	P_3 (self)	P_4 (self)	P_5 (self)					
Days to 50% Flowering	0.473	θ	0.960	-2.488	-2.439					
Plant height	3.500	-2.809	-29.325	17.872	7.270					
Siliqua plant ⁻¹	-6.876	-7.130	-3.959	13.064	-0.733					
Oil content	-3.060	3.755	-1.409	-9.446	-1.217					
Protein content	2.326	3.266	8.375	4.533	5.480					
Glucosinolate	5.735	17.118	25.534	-17.79	-11.28					
Oleic acid	7.512	2.869	-0.667	7.206	-7.276					
Linoleic acid	10.602	-43.08	-7.788	-43.13	-6.013					
Erucic acid	-27.318	-8.522	8.939	-3.766	0.608					

P1, P2, P3, P4 and P5 represent BKUC2, BKUC3, BKUC4, BKUC7 and BKUC8 self's, respectively. Values represent positive and negative inbreeding depression percentages of different parameters for five parents

Except siliqua plant⁻¹, significant differences were seen for variables "a" and "b," indicating the significance of both types of genes for all the understudied features. Siliqua plant⁻¹ showed a relatively significant difference for SCA (85.54), suggesting the involvement of certain genes. The higher value of "a" compared to "b" indicated that an additive type of gene activity was more actively involved in the regulation of plant height, oil and protein content, glucosinolate, oleic acid, and erucic acid.

A variation in the levels of dominance components b1, b2, and b3 was observed for each attribute that was understudied. The difference in values between b3, b1 and b2, suggested that particular genes are involved in the expression of glucosinolate and plant height.

After a diallel cross analysis, hghly significant differences were found for all genetic parameters (a GCA), b (SCA), b2, and b3 (dominant components), except b1 for oil content which showed relatively significance. The b1 and b3 components were less than the b2, indicating that some genes are more active when the erucic acid parameter, oil content, protein content, and siliqua plant⁻¹ are expressed (Table 4).

Inbreeding depression: Regarding Brassica, we find that certain characteristics—such as days to 50% flowering, which confirm early maturity—benefit greatly from inbreeding depression, whereas erucic acid, which improves oil quality, is the most undesirable. The inbreeding values for P4 and P3 (Self's) varied from -2.48 to 0.96 for days to 50 % flowering (Table 5). Self-P4 had the highest positive

inbreeding value (17.87) for plant height. For siliqua plant-¹, positive inbreeding is preferred. P4 (self) demonstrated a positive inbreeding (13.06) for siliqua plant⁻¹ (Table 5). For oil content, negative inbreeding is undesirable. Positive inbreeding was seen in our study for P2 (self) for oil content (3.75%). (see Table 5)

Practically all oil-producing crops have a sufficient protein content, a positive inbreeding depression is essential. All of the self's showed positive inbreeding during the investigation; however, self (P3) had the highest value of 8.37%, followed by P5 (5.48). For this trait, negative inbreeding is required to improve the quality of Brassica oil (GSL). For self P4, the greatest negative value of -17.79% was clearly seen (Table 5).

For oleic acid, the inbreeding depression varied from 7.51 to -7.27. P1 (self) gave a positive response (7.51), and P4 (7.20) gave a positive response (Table 4). For self-P1 in the linoleic acid case, a positive result (10.60) was recorded. In this experiment, a significant negative inbreeding for undesired erucic acid was observed, with self P1 showing a range of (-27.31). (see Table 5).

Heterosis study: For days to 50% flowering, breeders are usually more interested in negative heterosis. In this study, a maximum MPH (mid parent heterosis) (-14.86) was disclosed by BKUC2xBKUC3, while maximum BPH (best parent heterosis or heterobeltiosis) (-2.40) was shown by BKUC2xBKUC4 (Table 6). For plant height, best parent (15.00) heterosis values were evident for BKUC3xBKUC4. Positive heterosis favored mankind for siliqua plant⁻¹

because it enhanced yield directly. Maximum best parent heterosis (6.18) was revealed for BKUC7xBKUC8. A combination of BKUC2xBKUC8 revealed the maximum BP heterosis for oil content (13.18%). For protein content, positive heterosis is essential because it enhances oil quality. The BKUC4xBKUC8 cross discloses BPH up to 4.83%. The presence of GSL content in *B. napus* oil can affect the oil's quality adversely. So, for GSL content breeders sift out negative heterosis. As evident from Table 6, the most negative BPH and MPH up to -21.05% and -21.14% were noted for BKUC2xBKUC7, respectively. The maximum value of heterosis for oleic acid (3.18) was reported for cross between BKUC3xBKUC8. The positive heterobeltiosis (68.75) for linoleic acid was confirmed for BKUC3xBKUC7. Negative heterosis for erucic acid is valuable to ensure the quality of oil. Negative heterobeltiosis (-19.43) was recorded for BKUC2xBKUC3 (Table 6).

Heritability estimates and Gene action: High h², was calculated for days to 50% flowering. Compared to narrow sense heritability (0.50), broad sense h2 (0.64) was higher. It represents the percentage of phenotypic variance in days that results from additive genes for 50% of the flowering trait. The variation between the two measurements implies that the attribute is also influenced by non-additive genetic

factors. The broad sense heritability was bigger than the narrow sense for these parameters, indicating that the nonadditive gene action was dominant in oil content, protein content, GSL percent, oleic acid, linoleic acid, and erucic acid. This verified that non-additive gene action contributes to these characteristics (Table 7).

Graphical representation for quantitative traits

Days to 50% flowering: The presence of over-dominant gene action for a given character was revealed by the interception that happened on the Wr (covariance) axis below the point of origin on the regression line (Fig. 1).

The regression line indicates that P2 includes the majority of dominant genes and is closer to the origin. In contrast, P4 has more recessive genes and is the farthest distant from the original. Additionally, H1's value is higher than D's, indicating over dominant gene action (Table 8). The over dominant gene activity for the expression of days to flowering initiation in *B. juncea* was also reported by [\(Khan and Khan 2005\)](#page-9-0). On the other hand [\(Chowdhury](#page-9-1) *et al.* [2004\)](#page-9-1) revealed that partial dominant gene action plays a major role in *B. rapa*. Overdominance is a genetic condition where the heterozygote phenotype lies outside the phenotypical range of both homozygous parents.

Table 6. Best parent heterosis (BPH (%)) and mid parent heterosis (MPH (%)) for days to 50% flowering, plant height, siliqua plant-1 , oil content, protein content, GSL content, oleic acid, linoleic acid and erucic acid in 5 x 5 F2 diallel direct crosses of *B. napus* **L.**

Crosses	Days to 50 $\%$ flowering				Plant height $\left $ Siliqua plant ⁻¹		Oil content		Protein content		GSL content		Oleic acid		Linoleic acid		Erucic acid	
	BPH	MPH	BPH	MPH	BPH	MPH		BPH MPH	BPH	MPH	BPH	MPH	BPH	MPH	BPH	MPH	BPH	MPH
BKUC2 BKUC3		-1.90 -14.86 -5.29		-2.96	-5.94	2.41	8.12	$-4.56 - 3.13$				-0.86 -13.79 -11.03 -1.37		-3.21		36.90 13.52 -2.13 -19.43		
BKUC2 BKUC4	-2.40	12.38	9.26	11.75	3.31	-16.54 -6.81 1.87			-2.79		$0.92 -7.03$	-4.81	0.46		-4.70 15.59	4.56		-3.10 14.03
BKUC2 BKUC7	0.49	-0.69	-1.97	4.71	1.08	3.33		$11.18 - 0.78 - 3.77$								0.26 -21.05 -21.14 -2.65 -5.11 12.18 -4.11 -1.94 -7.34		
BKUC ₂ BKUC8	-1.46	0.71		10.34 11.93 -2.92				7.59 13.18 -3.27 0.12			3.76 2.42 -2.61		0.19	-3.71		-3.70 -13.33 -2.88 -3.10		
BKUC3 BKUC4	2.88			-1.24 15.21 15.00	1.07	$-13.67 -8.39 -1.80 -6.72 -5.34$ 2.13						1.29	3.06			-0.32 18.34 27.40	2.39	6.30
BKUC3 BKUC7		10.44 21.64 6.49		11.14 1.75		3.98										6.09 -1.61 -3.15 -1.34 21.49 17.44 -28.44 -28.91 64.45 68.75		8.02 19.82
BKUC3 BKUC8	-0.97	9.10	-6.08 -7.04		3.65	-2.50	-2.87	1.75		-5.98 -4.77 8.36		-0.43	3.18	1.08		18.51 27.04 -2.16		11.02
BKUC4 BKUC7	2.48					11.15 -3.24 1.15 -2.50 -7.16 $\frac{10.97}{10.97}$ -2.95 -0.37 0 -5.69 -8.06 -1.83 0.74										$3.54 -1.69$	0.73	2.33
BKUC4 BKUC8	-0.97		2.27 10.89 9.96		-7.46 -4.55											10.76 -6.51 4.83 4.63 11.39 3.26 1.88 3.15 35.18 34.55 -1.69		-2.94
BKUC7 BKUC8	$0.00\,$			-6.99 -16.76 -12.27	6.18	8.03				10.64 4.61 -4.66 -4.11 14.69		$20.19 - 10.49$		-9.25	13.70	8.47	-0.98	-9.92

Table 7. Heritability estimates and gene action for days to 50% flowering, siliqua plant-1 , oil content, protein content, GSL content, oleic acid, linoleic acid and erucic acid.

	Table 8. Components of variance for different parameters.												
Parameters	Days to flower initiation	Plant height	Siliqua plant ¹	Oil content	content	Protein Glucosinolate	Oleic acid	Linoleic Erucic acid	acid				
H_1 value	2.209		35.29	5.36	1.57	125.53	32.57	5.03	38.04				
D value	1.07			1.03	0.65	13.08	4.28	0.97	14.28				

Table 8. Components of variance for different parameters.

Plant height: With additional dominant genes, P5's position on the regression line is close to the origin (Fig. 2). P4's extreme distance from the origin, however, suggests that P4 has more recessive genes related to plant height. Partial dominance is a situation in which a heterozygote shows a phenotype somewhere (but not exactly half-way) intermediate between the corresponding homozygote phenotypes. A partially dominant type of gene action was shown by the regression line, which intersected the Wr axis above the place of origin. Table 8 indicates that the environment had a higher impact on the plant height, as both H1 and D (components of variance) values were zero. [\(Rameeh 2014\)](#page-9-2) reported partial dominance in controlling plant height in rapeseed, while [\(Farshadfar](#page-9-3) *et al.* 2013) found over dominance type for plant height in *B. napus*.

Siliqua plant-1 : The Vr/Wr (variance/covariance) graph showed over dominance for siliqua plant⁻¹. With respect to the most prevalent genes, the parental lines P5 and P2 were close to the place of origin. P4, on the other hand, was farthest away from the source and had the greatest recessive genes. The dominant gene action type is revealed in Figure 3. Similarly, D's value was less than dominance variance (H1), supporting predominant gene action. [\(Chowdhury](#page-9-1) *et al.* [2004;](#page-9-1) [Rameeh 2014\)](#page-9-2) also reported over dominance gene activity for the aforementioned parameter in *B. rapa* and *B. napus*, respectively.

Oil content: Over dominant gene action governed the manifestation of the oil content parameter since the interception happened below the origin point (Fig. 4). [\(Ali](#page-8-0) *et al*[., 2014;](#page-8-0) Amiri *et al*[., 2009\)](#page-8-1) both reported identical gene activity for the aforementioned characteristic in rapeseed, further supporting the increased value of H1 (dominance variance) relative to D (additive variance) showing this occurrence. It was implied that P3 included the most dominant genes because P3 was closer to the origin on the regression line. However, P2 had the greatest recessive gene content since it was located farthest away from the origin on the regression line.

Protein content: According to Fig. 5, the P3 genotype, is located nearer the regression line's beginning, has the greatest number of dominant genes, whereas the P1 genotype, which is located further away, has the greatest number of recessive genes. The lower value of D in comparison to H1 indicates that over dominant gene action was recorded for the current parameter. However, (Singh, 2007) revealed that *B. napus*'s protein content was partially regulated by a partial dominant gene action.

GSL: Significant genetic variation among parents for GSL content was shown by the scattering of parental array points on the graph along the regression line (Fig. 6). P5, which was closer to the origin, had more dominant genes, and P4, which was farther away, had more recessive genes, according to the graphical distribution of array points on the regression line. There may be partial dominant gene activity present because the regression line crossed the Vr axis and above the site of origin. The partial dominant gene action was likewise driven by the positive intercept of the covariance (Wr) by variance (Vr) regression line. The dominance type of genetic regulation for GSL content was further verified by the higher "H1" component than the "D" component. Similarly, [\(Singh, 2007\)](#page-10-0) reported partial dominance gene action. However, over-dominance's function in controlling the GSL content of Indian mustard was also documented by [Thakral](#page-10-1) *et al*., (2000).

Oleic acid: A partial dominance type of gene action is indicated by the regression line's interception above the place of origin at the Wr axis (Fig. 7). P2 had more recessive genes than predominant genes since it was farther away from the regression line's origin, but P3, which was closer to the regression line's origin, had more predominant genes. The fact that H1 is bigger than D indicates that predominant gene action plays a role in the production of the oleic acid trait in *B. napus*. Partial dominant gene action for the present parameter in *B. napus* was verified by [\(Farshadfar](#page-9-3) *et al*., 2013).

The most prevalent genes were found in P1, which were located near the regression line's origin, according to a graphic analysis. With respect to the regression line's origin, parent 4 had the greatest number of recessive genes. Additionally, the greater value of H1 relative to D in Fig. 8 indicates the partly dominant gene action. Partial dominance genes for the production of the linoleic acid character in *B. napus* were also reported by [\(Singh,](#page-10-0) [2007\)](#page-10-0). However, [\(Thakral](#page-10-1) *et al*., 2000) demonstrated that over dominance genes have a role in the expression of linoleic acid traits.

Erucic acid: The majority of pre-dominant genes are found in parental line 4, which are close to the regression line's beginning, as shown in Fig. 9. Recessive genes are found in P1, which is away from the regression line's origin. The negative intercept of Wr/Vr showed that over dominance was present. For the current parameter, a partial dominant type of gene action was demonstrated by the bigger value of H1 than D, which was also validated over dominance (Ali *et al*[., 2014\)](#page-8-0).

Fig. 1. Vr/ Wr graph for days to 50 % flowers initiation in F2 generation. Fig. 2. Vr/ Wr graph for plant height in F2 generation.

Fig. 3. Vr/Wr graph for siliqua plant⁻¹ in F2 generation.

Fig. 4. Vr/ Wr graph for oil content in F2 generation.

Fig. 7. Vr/ Wr graph for oleic acid in F2 generation.

Fig. 8. Vr/ Wr graph for linoleic acid in F2 generation.

Fig. 9. Vr/ Wr graph for erucic acid in F2 generation.

Discussion

Combining ability: A widely used approach for examining the kind and extent of genetic variation in early generations is Hayman's (1954) additive dominance model. The total of squares is split into two parts in this method: "a" (additive) and "b" (dominance). Three more divisions exist inside the "b" component: b1, b2, and b3. The relevance of genes b1, b2, and b3 demonstrates, in turn, directional dominance, asymmetrical gene distribution, and distinct gene effects. If the (b) dominance component is determined to be significant, the graphic analysis is considered valid.

To ascertain the contribution of additive and non-additive types of gene activity to the transmission of particular traits, the variance attributable to general and specific combining capacity was assessed. For the majority of the features, the very significant differences were shown by the GCA and SCA variances. A higher GCA value compared to SCA indicated that prolonging days to 50% blooming is more advantageous in terms of cumulative effects. The present results for the previously indicated characteristics align with those of Iqbal *et al*., [\(2003\)](#page-9-4). The significance of additive gene action for these variations was demonstrated by the considerable GCA observed in plant height. Our study's findings don't line up with those of (Rao & [Gulati,](#page-9-5) [2001;](#page-9-5) [Yadav](#page-10-2) *et al*., 2009). In contrast to our results [\(Ali](#page-8-2) *et al*., [2010\)](#page-8-2) discovered a dominant form of gene activity for plant height. According to earlier research on *B. juncea*, populations of the plant exhibited a highly significant non-additive gene action for siliqua plant⁻¹ [\(Shah](#page-9-6) et al., 2021). Similar conclusions about the partial dominance of genes were reported by Ali *et al*., [\(2010\)](#page-8-2), however, [Anantharaju](#page-9-7) & [Muthiah](#page-9-7) (2008) emphasized the significance of additive genes for siliqua plant⁻¹.

The parents' effects on F1 hybrids increased with their level of combining ability. Parental influences on their F1s were greater for the traits, primarily controlled by additive gene actions than for the traits controlled by both additive and non-additive gene actions. This indicates that the attributes primarily controlled by additive gene actions are more closely associated with the total GCAs of female and male parents. Thus, when breeding hybrids, the combined GCA of the male and female parents should be taken into account rather than the individual GCAs of the parents, especially for features that are not greatly impacted or controlled by non-additive gene activity (Shen *et al*., 2005). The amount of seed oil in rape seed has a big impact on its economic value. Parents and hybrids with positive GCA and SCA effects are highly sought after in rapeseed breeding. Additives were discovered to have an impact on *B. napus*'s oil content (Cheema and Sadaqat 2004). The main determinants of oil content, according to Wang *et al*., [\(2010\)](#page-10-3) were dominant and additive effects, with the dominant effect being the most important component. According to both studies [\(Variath](#page-10-4) *et al*., 2009; [Meena](#page-9-8) *et al*., 2014) the main factor influencing oil content was the cytoplasmic genetic influence. There were also notable maternal impacts on the protein content. There were also substantial maternal impacts on the protein content [\(Nassimi](#page-9-9) *et al*., 2006; [Abideen](#page-8-3) *et al*., 2013) reported that maternal impact was a significant factor in the protein content of F1 hybrid seeds of rape seed (*B. napus* L.). GSL content has been linked to

hemorrhagic liver syndrome and goiter in animals and is thought to be an anti-nutritional component [\(Salunke](#page-9-10) *et al*., [1992\)](#page-9-10). Our results conflict with that of [Nasim](#page-9-11) *et al*., (2014) who reported non-additive genetic action in *B. rapa*. GSL and oleic acid are the two main components for which the predominance of additive gene activity was previously documented by [Rameah](#page-9-12) *et al*., (2018), [Vaghela](#page-10-5) *et al*., [\(2011\)](#page-10-5) and [Adnan](#page-8-4) (2013). Selection may be more successful in later segregating generations as a result of the trait being regulated by non-additive gene action, as indicated by the variance caused by GCA and SCA. The predominant gene action for linoleic acid was non-additive, as shown by a comparatively bigger SCA value than GCA value. This proved that cytoplasmic effects were mostly responsible for controlling the trait [\(Adnan,](#page-8-4) 2013). Our results refute the claim stated by Gupta *et al*., [\(2010\)](#page-9-13) that linoleic acid had additive genetic effects. The higher value of GCA mean squares when compared to SCA mean squares demonstrated the participation of additive gene effects. The study's findings are corroborated by earlier studies conducted by Turi *et al*., [\(2010\)](#page-10-6) and Shen *et al*., (2005).

Inbreeding depression: An estimate of the inbreeding depression in F2s over the corresponding parental lines, was calculated in terms of values. Positive numbers showed the least amount of inbreeding depression, whereas negative values indicated the greatest. Such self-showing lines may be used in future selection processes because they do not show inbreeding depression and because they demonstrate additive and additive x additive gene interactions. Our results were not in agreement with those of [\(Singh](#page-10-7) *et al*., [2012\)](#page-10-7) who reported negative inbreeding depression for days up to 50% blooming. [Cuthbert](#page-9-14) *et al*., (2011) and [Nasim](#page-9-15) *et al*., (2013) reported increased days to bloom start due to inbreeding depression. Early flowering allows seeds enough time to mature and may even improve yield. Like Brassica, where shorter plants and early maturity are desirable to minimize lodging and insect losses, respectively, and eventually to increase seed output [\(Sincik](#page-9-16) *et al*., 2011). Because taller plants (grown for seed yield) in Brassica are more likely to lodge, medium- or short-statured plants are recommended. Additionally, negative inbreeding depression in plant height was found by [\(Gumber](#page-9-17) *et al*., 2006). Since most parental lines displayed negative inbreeding depression whereas, Brandle, (1989) wanted positive inbreeding for siliqua plant⁻¹, in contrast to a previous study.

Heterosis: Numerous investigations have demonstrated that non-additive effects, such as dominating and epistatic effects are the primary causes of heterosis (Shen *et al*., [2005\)](#page-9-18). This study showed that both additive and non-additive gene influences were responsible for controlling yield traits. This could be the reason why there was more heterosis for yield than for other qualities. Positive heterosis was noted until the flowering stage. Positive heterosis favors mankind for siliqua plant⁻¹ since it boosts yield directly. According to earlier research, F1 hybrids developed through crossings between several types have low heterosis for rapeseed seed oil content and high heterosis for yield-related characteristics (Brandle & [McVetty,](#page-9-19) 1989). Significant levels of heterosis for qualitative features have been seen in rapeseed F2 hybrids, which is consistent with our findings [\(Qian](#page-9-20) *et al*.,

[2007;](#page-9-20) Tian *et al*., [2017\)](#page-10-8). Hybrid breeding may be one of the improvement techniques under these circumstances. For a successful heterosis breeding program, there must be evidence of the presence of significant heterotic effects in the hybrids which could be utilized for commercial hybrid seed production. The qualitative nature of rapeseed is directly influenced by different characteristics, including oil content, protein content, GSL, oleic acid, and linoleic acid (Ali *[et al](#page-8-5)*., [2015\)](#page-8-5). In order to develop rapeseed hybrids, favorable heterotic effects are crucial. Variable positive and negative MP and BP heterotic effects were seen in the hybrids for the contributing characteristics. For example, varying amounts of heterosis have been found by several researchers for rapeseed seed quality attributes (Brandle & [McVetty,](#page-9-19) 1989). Contrarily, [\(Rameeh,](#page-9-21) 2011; [Rameeh,](#page-9-22) 2012) has also observed a somewhat lower extent of heterosis than the values obtained in our study.

Heritability estimates: These main goal of any breeding and bioengineering program is to increase crop output. To derive meaningful conclusions from a given collection of observations, the measurement and evaluation of variability are crucial processes [\(Marwede](#page-9-23) *et al*., 2004). The genetic composition of the breeding materials under study affects the heritability estimates for many traits. Understanding the heritability estimates of the traits is of great interest to the breeders. High heritability estimates suggest that selection for quality traits will be more advantageous because environmental factors will have less impact over it. It has been discovered that heritability estimates help demonstrate the relative importance of selection based on the phenotypic expression of various traits. The presence of h ²B was also advised by [\(Singh,](#page-10-0) 2007) for oil content, while [Mahmood](#page-9-24) *et al*., [\(2003\)](#page-9-24) noted that h ²N played a major impact on this aspect of *B. napus*'s behavior. [Rameah](#page-9-12) *et al*., (2018) claimed that non-additive gene action played a significant role in the expression of the GSL phenotype in *Brassica*. Oleic acid levels in *Brassica* were reported to be high [\(Khan](#page-9-25) *et al*., [2008\)](#page-9-25). Similar results were obtained for linoleic acid and erucic acid and discovered the involvement of h²B in inheriting the characters [\(Iqbal](#page-9-26) *et al*., 2014).

In nutshell, our study helping us to determine the best genotypes based on combining ability and heterosis. This will help us to make further advancements in the departmental breeding program, ultimately leading us to variety development and registration.

References

- Abideen, S.N.U., F. Nadeem and S.A. Abideen. 2013. Genetic variability and correlation studies in *Brassica napus* L. genotypes. *Int. J. Innov. App. Stud.,* 2(4): 574-581.
- Adnan, N. 2013. Combining ability studies for biochemical traits in *Brassica rapa* (L.) ssp. dichotoma (Roxb.) Hanelt. *Pak. J. Bot*., 45(6): 2125-2130.
- Ali, N., N. Khan, S. Ali, S. Gul, M. Saeed and K. Naveed. 2015. Combining ability studies for quantitative traits in *Brassica juncea*. *J. Anim. Plant Sci*., 25(2): 494.
- Ali, Q., H.G. Abbas, J. Farooq, H.N. Tahir and S. Arshad. 2010. Genetic analysis of some morphological traits of *Brassica napus* (Canola). *Elect. J. Plant Breed*., 1(5): 1309-1319.
- Ali, R.M., I.A. Khalil, N.U. Khan, S.U. Khan, M.U. Rehman and G.G. Afridi. 2014. Genetic analysis of yield and yield related attributes in *Brassica napus*. *Pure App. Biol*., 3(4): 175.
- Amiri, O.H., M. Fotoukian, F. Javidfar and B. Alizadeh. 2009. Genetic analysis of grain yield, days to flowering and maturity in oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.) using diallel crosses. *Int. J. [Plant](https://www.sid.ir/journal/1649/en) Prod*., 3(2): 19-26.
- Anantharaju, P. and A. Muthiah. 2008. Studies on inbreeding depression, transgressive segregants and inheritance of photosensitive and photoinsensitiveness in pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Mill sp.]. *Plant Arch*., 8(1): 175-178.
- Aukema, H. and L. Campbell. 2011. *Oil nutrition and utilization*. In: Canola. *Elsevier.*, pp. 245-280.
- Bacha, Q.U., S.M.A. Shah, I.A. Khalil, A. Muhammad and S.M. Azam. 2015. Heritability, genetic advance, genotypic and phenotypic correlation studies for yield and yield related traits in F2 segregating populations of rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Eur. Acad. Res.,* 3(1): 1059-1078.
- Brandle, J. and P. McVetty. 1989. Heterosis and combining ability in hybrids derived from oilseed rape cultivars and inbred lines. *Crop Sci.,* 29(5): 1191-1194.
- Cheema, K. and H. Sadaqat. 2004. Potential and genetic basis of drought tolerance in canola (*Brassica napus*): I. Generation mean analysis for some phenological and yield components. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.,* 6(1): 74-81.
- Chowdhury, M., M. Mian, M. Akbar and M. Alam. 2004. Combining ability for seed yield and yield contributing characters in turnip rape (*Brassica rapa* L.). *Bangladesh. J. Plant Breed. Genet*., 17(1): 17-24.
- Cuthbert, R., G. Crow and P. McVetty. 2011. Assessment of seed quality performance and heterosis for seed quality traits in hybrid high erucic acid rapeseed (HEAR). *Canad. J. Pland Sci*., 91(5): 837-846.
- Dudley, J. and R. Moll. 1969. Interpretation and use of estimates of heritability and genetic variances in plant breeding . *Crop Sci.,* 9(3): 257-262.
- Falconer, D.S. and T.F.C. Mackay. 1996. Introduction to quantitative genetics. 4th Edition. Pearson Education India (This edition: Longman Group Limited). pp. 386.
- Farshadfar, E., Z. Kazemi and A. Yaghotipoor. 2013. Estimation of combining ability and gene action for agro-morphological characters of rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) using line× tester mating design. *Int. J. Adv. Biol. Biom. Res.,* 1(7): 711-717.
- Gumber, R., S. Singh, P. Rathore, K. Singh and P. Verma. 2006. Multivariate analysis over environments of multiple disease resistant lines of chickpea. *Legume Res.,* 29(1): 48-52.
- Gupta P, H. Chaudhary and S.K. Lal. 2010. Heterosis and combining ability analysis for yield and its components in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern & Coss). *Front. Agric. China*, 4: 299-307.
- Hayman, B. 1954. The theory and analysis of diallel crosses. *Genetics,* 39(6): 789
- Iniguez-Luy, F.L. and M.L. Federico. 2011. The genetics of *Brassica napus*. *Genetics and Genomics of the Brassicaceae*, 291-322.
- Iqbal, M.M., R. Din and S.J. Khan. 2003. Use of diallel analysis to examine the mode of inheritance of agronomic and quality parameters in F1 generation of brown mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern and Coss). *Asian J. Plant Sci.,* 2(14): 1040-1046.
- Iqbal, S., Farhatullah, S. Shah, M. Kanwal, L. Fayyaz and M. Afzal. 2014. Genetic variability and heritability studies in indigenous *Brassica rapa* accessions. *Pak. J. Bot.,* 46(2): 609-612.
- Kang, S.A., Saeed, F and M. Riaz. 2013. Breeding for improving the seed yield and yield contributing traits in *Brassica napus* L. by using line× tester analysis. *J. Plant Breed. Genet.,* 1(3): 111-116.
- Khan, F.A. and R. Khan. 2005. Inheritance pattern of quantitative characters in *Brassica napus*. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.,* 7: 420-423.
- Khan, S., R. Farhatullah, I. Khalil, M. Khan and N. Ali. 2008. Genetic variability, heritability and correlation for some quality traits in F3: 4 *Brassica* populations. *Sarhad J. Agric.,* 24(2): 223-231.
- Mahmood, T., M. Ali, S. Iqbal and M. Anwar. 2003. Genetic variability and heritability estimates in summer mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *Asian J. Plant Sci.,* 2(1): 77-79.
- Marwede, V., A. Schierholt, C. Möllers and H.C. Becker. 2004. Genotype× environment interactions and heritability of tocopherol contents in canola. *Crop Sci.,* 44(3): 728-731.
- Meena, H., B. Ram, A. Kumar, B. Singh, P. Meena, V. Singh and D. Singh. 2014. Heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis for seed yield and important traits in *Brassica juncea*. *J. Oilseed Brassica*, 5(2): 134-140.
- Nasim, A., A. Farhatullah, N.U. Khan, M. Afzal, S.M. Azam, Z. Nasim and N. Amin. 2014. Combining ability and heterosis for yield and yield contributing traits in *Brassica rapa* (L.) ssp. Dichotoma (Roxb.) Hanelt. *Pak. J. Bot.,* 46(6): 2135-2142.
- Nasim, A., S.I. Farhatullah, S. Shah and S.M. Azam. 2013. Genetic variability and correlation studies for morphophysiological traits in *Brassica napus* L. *Pak. J. Bot.,* 45(4): 1229-1234.
- Nassimi, A., A. Raziuddin, N. Sardar, S. Ali and J. Bakht. 2006. Analysis of combining ability in *Brassica napus* L. lines for yield associated traits. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.,* 9(12): 2333-2337.
- Newkirk, R. 2009. Canola meal. Feed industries guide, 4th ed Canadian International Grains Institute, Winnipeg, MB, Canada
- Qian, W., O. Sass, J. Meng, M. Li, M. Frauen and C. Jung. 2007. Heterotic patterns in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.): I. Crosses between spring and Chinese semi-winter lines. *Theo. Appl. Genet*., 115: 27-34.
- Rameah, V., A. Rezai and G. Saeidi. 2003. Estimation of genetic parameters for yield, yield components and glucosinolate in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). *J. Agric. Sci. Technol.,* 5: 143-151.
- Rameah, V., A. Rezai and G. Saeidi. 2018. Estimation of genetic parameters for yield, yield components and glucosinolate in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology. Digital Repository <http://hdl.handle.net/123456789/4115>
- Rameeh, V. 2011. Heritability and other genetic parameters assessment for flowering associated stress indices in oil seed rape varieties. *Int. J. Plant Breed. Genet.,* 5(3): 268-276.
- Rameeh, V. 2012. Combining ability and heritability estimates of main agronomic characters in rapeseed breeding lines using line× tester analysis. *J. Agric. Sci. Belgrade*., 57(3): 111-120.
- Rameeh, V. 2014. Combining ability of yield attributes traits, oil and protein contents in oil seed rape (*Brassica napus*) under normal and restricted nitrogen application. *Ind. J. Agric. Sci.,* 84(1): 37-42.
- Rao, N. and S. Gulati. 2001 Combining ability of F1 and F2 diallels of Indian mustard (*B. juncea* L. Czem and Coss). *Crop Res.,* 21: 72-76.
- Sabaghnia, N., H. Dehghani, B. Alizadeh and M. Mohghaddam. 2010. Heterosis and combining ability analysis for oil yield and its components in rapeseed. *Aust. J.Crop Sci.,* 4(6): 390-397.
- Salunke, D., J. Chavan, R. Adsule and S. Kadam. 1992. World oil seeds: Chemistry, technology and utilization. AVI publishers, New York, pp. 170-173.
- Shah, M.A., F.U. Rehman, A. Mehmood, F. Ullah, S.I. Shah and S.M. Rasheed. 2021. Combining ability, heritability and gene action assessment in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) for yield and yield attributes. *Sarhad J. Agric.,* 37(1): 104-109.
- Shen, J., T. Fu, G. Yang, C. Ma and J. Tu. 2005. Genetic analysis of rapeseed self‐incompatibility lines reveals significant heterosis of different patterns for yield and oil content traits. *Plant Breed.,* 124(2): 111-116.
- Sincik, M., A.T. Goksoy and M.Z. Turan. 2011. The heterosis and combining ability of diallel crosses of rapeseed inbred lines. *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca*., 39(2): 242-248.
- Singh, D.K., K. Kumar and P. Singh. 2012. Heterosis and heritability analysis for different crosses in *Brassica juncea* with inheritance of white rust resistance. *J. Oilseed Brassica,* 3(1): 18-26.
- Singh, P. 2007. Genetics of yield and other quantitative traits in Indian mustard. *Asian J. Biol. Sci.,* 2(1/2): 47-52.
- Thakral, N., K. Parkash, S. Amit and S. Rekha. 2000. Genetic architecture of yield components in Indian mustard. *Int. J. Trop. Agric.,* 18(2): 177-180.
- Tian, H.Y., S.A. Channa and S.W. Hu. 2017. Relationships between genetic distance, combining ability and heterosis in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). *Euphytica,* 213: 1-11.
- Turi, N.A., R.M. Farhatullah and Z.K. Shinwari. 2012. Genetic diversity in the locally collected *Brassica* species of Pakistan based on microsatellite markers. *Pak. J. Bot.,* 44(3): 1029-1035.
- Turi, N.A., F. Raziuddin, N.U. Khan, I. Munir and S. Khan. 2010. Combining ability analysis in *Brassica juncea* L. for oil quality traits. *Afr. J. Biotech.,* 9(26): 3998-4002.
- Vaghela, P., D. Thakkar, H. Bhadauria, D. Sutariya, S. Parmar and D. Prajapati. 2011. Heterosis and combining ability for yield and its component traits in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.)]. *J. Oilseed Brassica*, 2(1): 39-43.
- Variath, M., J. Wu, Y. Li, G. Chen and C. Shi. 2009. Genetic analysis for oil and protein contents of rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) at different developmental times. *Euphytica,* 166: 145-153.
- Wang, X., G. Liu, Q. Yang, W. Hua, J. Liu and H. Wang. 2010. Genetic analysis on oil content in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). *Euphytica,* 173(1): 17-24.
- Warwick, S., A. Francis and I. Al-Shehbaz. 2006. Brassicaceae: Species checklist and database on CD-Rom. *Plant Syst. Evol*., 259: 249-258.
- Yadav, R., A. Chakravarty, J. Yadav and R. Krishna. 2009. Heterosis and combining ability studies in Indian Mustard Under Sodic Soil condition. *Progres. Agric.,* 9(2): 203-208.

(Received for publication 2 May 2024)